

# Interacting supernovae and supernova impostors. LSQ13zm: an outburst heralds the death of a massive star

L. Tartaglia,<sup>1,2\*</sup> A. Pastorello,<sup>2\*</sup> M. Sullivan,<sup>3</sup> C. Baltay,<sup>4</sup> D. Rabinowitz,<sup>5</sup>  
 P. Nugent,<sup>5,6</sup> A. J. Drake,<sup>7</sup> S. G. Djorgovski,<sup>7</sup> A. Gal-Yam,<sup>8</sup> S. Fabrika,<sup>9,10</sup> E.  
 A. Barsukova,<sup>9</sup> V. P. Goranskij,<sup>11</sup> A. F. Valeev,<sup>9,10</sup> T. Fatkhullin,<sup>9</sup> S. Schulze,<sup>12,13</sup>  
 A. Mehner,<sup>14</sup> F. E. Bauer,<sup>13,12,15</sup> S. Taubenberger,<sup>16,17</sup> J. Nordin,<sup>17</sup> S. Valenti,<sup>18,19</sup>  
 D. A. Howell,<sup>18,19</sup> S. Benetti,<sup>1</sup> E. Cappellaro,<sup>1</sup> G. Fasano,<sup>1</sup> N. Elias-Rosa,<sup>1</sup>  
 M. Barbieri,<sup>20</sup> D. Bettoni,<sup>1</sup> A. Harutyunyan,<sup>21</sup> T. Kangas,<sup>22</sup> E. Kankare,<sup>23</sup>  
 J. C. Martin,<sup>24</sup> S. Mattila,<sup>25,22</sup> A. Morales-Garoffolo,<sup>26</sup> P. Ochner,<sup>1</sup>  
 Umaa D. Rebbapragada,<sup>27</sup> G. Terreran,<sup>1,23</sup> L. Tomasella,<sup>1</sup> M. Turatto,<sup>1</sup> E. Verroi<sup>28</sup>  
 and P. R. Woźniak<sup>29</sup>

*Affiliations are listed at the end of the paper*

Accepted 2016 March 18. Received 2016 March 18; in original form 2015 September 29

## ABSTRACT

We report photometric and spectroscopic observations of the optical transient LSQ13zm. Historical data reveal the presence of an eruptive episode (that we label as ‘2013a’) followed by a much brighter outburst (‘2013b’) three weeks later, that we argue to be the genuine supernova explosion. This sequence of events closely resemble those observed for SN 2010mc and (in 2012) SN 2009ip. The absolute magnitude reached by LSQ13zm during 2013a ( $M_R = -14.87 \pm 0.25$  mag) is comparable with those of supernova impostors, while that of the 2013b event ( $M_R = -18.46 \pm 0.21$  mag) is consistent with those of interacting supernovae. Our spectra reveal the presence of a dense and structured circumstellar medium, probably produced through numerous pre-supernova mass-loss events. In addition, we find evidence for high-velocity ejecta, with a fraction of gas expelled at more than  $20\,000\text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The spectra of LSQ13zm show remarkable similarity with those of well-studied core-collapse supernovae. From the analysis of the available photometric and spectroscopic data, we conclude that we first observed the last event of an eruptive sequence from a massive star, likely a Luminous Blue Variable, which a short time later exploded as a core-collapse supernova. The detailed analysis of archival images suggest that the host galaxy is a star-forming Blue Dwarf Compact Galaxy.

**Key words:** stars: mass-loss – supernovae: general – supernovae: individual: SN 2009ip – supernovae: individual: SN 2010mc – supernovae: individual: LSQ13zm – galaxies: individual: SDSS J102654.56+195254.8.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

It is widely accepted that most interacting supernovae (SNe) arise from the collapse of the nucleus of massive stars ( $M \gtrsim 8 M_\odot$ ) exploding in a dense circumstellar medium (CSM), although a fraction of them could result from thermonuclear explosions of white

dwarfs in binary systems (e.g. Dilday et al. 2012). In general, the cocoon-like CSM surrounding the progenitor system is generated via stationary stellar winds, binary interaction or even multiple eruptive events as a consequence of instabilities during the latest stages of the stellar life.

The most common interacting core-collapse SNe (CCSNe) have spectra dominated by H lines. Their spectra are characterized by a blue continuum with superimposed prominent Balmer lines in emission, usually showing profiles with multiple components. These are probably produced in gas shells moving at different velocities, and

\*E-mail: [leonardo.tartaglia@oapd.inaf.it](mailto:leonardo.tartaglia@oapd.inaf.it) (LT); [andrea.pastorello@oapd.inaf.it](mailto:andrea.pastorello@oapd.inaf.it) (AP)

having different temperatures and densities (Turatto et al. 1993a). In particular, the spectra show narrow components in emission at all stages of the SN evolution, which are recombination lines emitted by the unshocked photoionized CSM, although, in some cases, narrow components disappear soon after maximum, as the unshocked CSM recombines (see e.g. SN 1998S; Fassia et al. 2001). This gas is located in the outer circumstellar environment, and moves at relatively low velocities, from a few tens to about  $1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (see e.g. Kiewe et al. 2012). Because of the presence of these narrow spectral lines, H-rich interacting SNe are labelled as ‘Type II<sub>n</sub>’ SNe (Schlegel 1990; Filippenko 1997).

Intermediate components in the line profiles (with inferred velocities of a few  $1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) are also frequently observed, and are considered as one of the signatures of interaction between the SN ejecta and the CSM, since they probably form in the regions of shocked gas (Chugai & Danziger 1994). It is important to remark that the photoionized unshocked CSM and the shocked gas interface frequently mask the freely expanding SN ejecta (Fransson et al. 2002), limiting our understanding of the explosion mechanism. However, when the CSM is optically thin or because of its particular geometry, the broad lines associated with the SN ejecta can be observed. The presence of high-velocity ejecta ( $\gtrsim 10^4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  for the bulk of the material) along with the high temperatures of the ejected gas ( $\gtrsim 10^4 \text{ K}$ , usually inferred from a blackbody fit to the spectral continuum), and the slow colour/temperature evolution are key ingredients to characterize the explosion.

The photometric evolution of SNe II<sub>n</sub> is usually slow (although in some cases, fast declines are observed; Fassia et al. 2000, 2001; Di Carlo et al. 2002), with luminous light-curve peaks (absolute magnitudes ranging from  $-18$  to  $-22$ ; Kiewe et al. 2012; Stritzinger et al. 2012). When at later phases the SN luminosity is still dominated by CSM–ejecta interaction, the light curve remains more luminous than that predicted for the  $^{56}\text{Co}$  to  $^{56}\text{Fe}$  decay.

A key improvement in our comprehension of SNe II<sub>n</sub> resulted from the evidence of a connection (Kotak & Vink 2006; Smith & Owocki 2006) between some members of this SN type with a rare class of very massive and unstable stars, the so-called Luminous Blue Variables (LBVs; Humphreys & Davidson 1994). LBVs are luminous ( $10^5$ – $10^6 L_{\odot}$ ) and very massive ( $M \gtrsim 30 M_{\odot}$ ) evolved stars close to the Eddington limit, characterized by an erratic instability of their outer layers and a high rate of mass-loss ( $> 10^{-4} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ). These stars normally sit into the ‘S-Doradus instability strip’ in the Hertzsprung–Russell (H–R) Diagram (within the luminosity–temperature range  $-9 \geq M_{\text{bol}} \geq -11$ ,  $8500 \text{ K} \leq T_{\text{eff}} \leq 35000 \text{ K}$ ; Wolf 1989; Smith, Vink & de Koter 2004). Moderate ‘S Doradus (S Dor) type’ variability, is related to mass-loss episodes involving the outer layers. During this phase, lasting from years to decades, the star moves to the red region of the H–R Diagram, increasing its optical luminosity by 1–2 mag, without changing significantly its bolometric luminosity (although fluctuations in the bolometric luminosity has been observed during the S–Dor phase of AG Car; Groh et al. 2009). Microvariations, of the order of a few tenths magnitudes on a time-scale of weeks to months are also usually observed. During their quiescent phase LBVs experience typical supergiant mass-loss rates ( $\sim 10^{-7} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ), which significantly increase during the S–Dor phase (up to  $\sim 10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ). Occasionally LBVs may produce giant eruptions (like that observed in  $\eta$  Carinae in the mid-19th century), during which they lose a significant mass fraction of their envelope (up to  $\simeq 10 M_{\odot}$ , with mass-loss rates exceeding  $\sim 10^{-4}$ – $10^{-3} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ) and experience a dramatic increase (3–6 mag) in luminosity (Humphreys & Davidson 1994), reaching absolute bolometric magnitudes at peak of above

$-14$  mag. The mechanism triggering the giant eruptions is not fully understood, although a few scenarios have been proposed (see e.g. Lamers & Nugis 2002; Woosley, Blinnikov & Heger 2007).

The link between some SNe II<sub>n</sub> and LBVs is based on the detection of the progenitor stars of two type II<sub>n</sub> SNe in archival *Hubble Space Telescope* (HST) images, viz. SN 2005gl (Gal-Yam et al. 2007; Gal-Yam & Leonard 2009) and SN 2010jl (Smith et al. 2011a). The putative progenitors both showed absolute magnitudes consistent with those observed in quiescent LBVs. An indirect clue of this connection is given by signatures of a structured CSM in the spectra of some type II<sub>n</sub> SNe, including SN 2005gj (Trundle et al. 2008), with evidence of several shell-like layers inferred from the presence of multiple absorption features with bulk velocities consistent with those of LBV winds.

A further step in establishing a connection between LBVs and SNe II<sub>n</sub> has been made through the study of the pre-SN photometric variability of the precursor stars, using archival images collected months to years before the SN explosion. The data archive inspection is, in fact, an invaluable tool to characterize the final stages of the progenitors of interacting transients. Weak transient events with luminosities consistent with those expected in LBV outbursts are occasionally detected weeks to years before major re-brightenings (e.g. Pastorello et al. 2013). Some of them have been proposed to be sequential events leading to an SN explosion (Fraser et al. 2013b; Mauerhan et al. 2013a; Ofek et al. 2013a). Even more robust is the spatial coincidence between the CC SN 2006jc (a Type Ib<sub>n</sub> event, see Matheson et al. 2000; Pastorello et al. 2008, 2015, for more details on this subclass of stripped-envelope SNe) and a stellar outburst of  $\simeq -14$  mag which had occurred  $\sim 2$  yr before (Foley et al. 2007; Pastorello et al. 2007a). In that case, the massive precursor was likely a Wolf–Rayet (WR) star (Foley et al. 2007; Pastorello et al. 2007a; Tominaga et al. 2008) with a residual LBV-like instability.

None the less, in most cases, outbursts attributed to extragalactic massive stars are registered as isolated events (see e.g. Ofek et al. 2014b, for a detailed analysis on the eruption frequencies for these objects). These are fainter than real SNe ( $M \simeq -12$  to  $-14$  mag), but mimic the behaviour of Type II<sub>n</sub> SNe, showing similar spectra dominated by prominent narrow Balmer lines in emission, and sometimes even similar light curves. They are usually labelled as ‘supernova impostors’ (Van Dyk et al. 2000), as they are not terminal SN explosions. Accounting for the evidence of photometric variability from massive stars, a sequential event chain linking LBVs, SN impostors and SNe II<sub>n</sub> has been proposed (Kotak & Vink 2006).

In this context, it is worth mentioning the controversial case of SN 2009ip, an interacting transient whose real nature (SN explosion versus non-terminal outburst) is still debated.<sup>1</sup> The detection of the progenitor star in archival HST images proved it to be consistent with a massive star – most likely an LBV – with a zero-age-main-sequence (ZAMS) mass of  $\simeq 60 M_{\odot}$  (Smith et al. 2010; Foley et al. 2011). SN 2009ip was well studied in the years ahead of the putative SN explosion and exhibited erratic luminosity oscillations since summer 2009 (Pastorello et al. 2013). In 2012 July, it experienced a further re-brightening lasting a few weeks,  $\sim 20$  d before a major outburst, in which the object reached an absolute

<sup>1</sup> Different interpretations have been proposed by Pastorello et al. (2013), Fraser et al. (2013a), Smith et al. (2013), Ofek et al. (2013b), Prieto et al. (2013), Soker & Kashi (2013), Margutti et al. (2014), Smith, Mauerhan & Prieto (2014), Mauerhan et al. (2014), Graham et al. (2014), Levesque et al. (2014), Moriya (2015), Martin et al. (2015), Fraser et al. (2015).

magnitude competing with those of Type II<sub>n</sub> SNe. However, a conclusive proof of the terminal SN explosion is still missing (Fraser et al. 2015), as the expected spectral signatures (such as nucleosynthesized elements) of an SN produced in the explosion of a very massive star have not been detected yet in the spectra of SN 2009ip (Fraser et al. 2013a). A similar sequence of events was observed also for SN 2010mc (Ofek et al. 2013a; Smith et al. 2014), with the detection of an outburst  $\sim 40$  d before the putative terminal SN explosion.

SN 2011ht (Fraser et al. 2013b; Mauerhan et al. 2013b) is another interesting example. It was classified as an SN impostor (Pastorello et al. 2011), before showing a significant spectral metamorphosis which led Prieto et al. (2011) to suggest its re-classification as a Type II<sub>n</sub> SN. Fraser et al. (2013b) later reported the detection of an outburst  $\sim 1$  yr prior to the SN explosion. None the less, also in this case, its nature is also not fully clarified (Humphreys et al. 2012).

The LBV stage is a short-duration phase in the life of very massive stars ( $M \gtrsim 30 M_{\odot}$ ), which are then expected to become H-stripped WR stars before exploding – after a relatively long time (a few  $10^5$  yr, see Georgy et al. 2012) – as Type Ib/c SNe. However, current stellar evolution codes do not predict the explosion of a CCSN soon after a major instability phase (Groh, Meynet & Ekström 2013). None the less, in the light of the sequences of events involving interacting transients that have been observed in growing number, ad hoc scenarios have been proposed (see e.g. Justham, Podsiadlowski & Vink 2014), and some efforts have been devoted to include the effects of instabilities in stellar evolution codes (Smith & Arnett 2014).

In this context, we report the results of the follow-up campaign of LSQ13zm, observed in the galaxy SDSS J102654.56+195254.8. The transient was discovered by the La Silla Quest (LSQ) survey,<sup>2</sup> and later classified as a young Type II<sub>n</sub> SN by the Nearby Supernova Factory (SNF;<sup>3</sup> Benitez et al. 2013) using the Supernova Integral Field Spectrograph (SNIFS, Aldering et al. 2002) mounted on the University of Hawaii 2.2 m telescope. Archival data from different surveys, namely LSQ, the Intermediate Palomar Transient Factory (iPTF;<sup>4</sup> Law et al. 2009; Rau et al. 2009, where the transient was designated as iPTF13ajw) and the Catalina Real-Time Transient Survey (CRTS;<sup>5</sup> Drake et al. 2009; Djorgovski et al. 2012) revealed an outburst (reaching an absolute magnitude at peak of  $M_R = -14.87 \pm 0.25$  mag)  $\sim 3$  weeks before a major re-brightening, where the object reached an absolute magnitude  $M_R = -18.46 \pm 0.21$  mag (see Section 3.1). Hereafter, we will refer to the first outburst as the ‘2013a event’ and the second, more luminous re-brightening as the ‘2013b’ event, in analogy to what has been proposed for SN 2009ip (Pastorello et al. 2013).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we characterize the galaxy hosting LSQ13zm. Sections 3 and 4 report the results of our photometric and spectroscopic follow-up campaigns, highlighting a few observational features, while in Section 5 the historical data are discussed in order to constrain the pre-SN behaviour of the progenitor. The results are discussed and used in Section 6 in order to characterize the explosion scenario. Section 7 summarizes the main results of our study.

<sup>2</sup> <http://hep.yale.edu/lasillaquest>


<sup>3</sup> <http://snfactory.lbl.gov/>

<sup>4</sup> <http://www.ptf.caltech.edu/iptf>


<sup>5</sup> <http://crtf.caltech.edu/>

## 2 THE HOST GALAXY

SDSS J102654.56+195254.8, the host galaxy of LSQ13zm, is a dwarf galaxy with an apparent *g*-band magnitude (as reported in the SDSS archive) of  $18.95 \pm 0.02$  mag. Fig. 1 shows the radial profile of the surface brightness of the host computed at different epochs, and suggests that the position of the SN (RA=10:26:54.591 and Dec=+19:52:54.91 [J2000]), accurately pin-pointed from a late-phase *g*-band template-subtracted image, is almost coincident with the coordinates of the host galaxy nucleus. A finding chart of LSQ13zm is shown in Fig. 2. The field containing SDSS J102654.56+195254.8 was observed by the Sloan Digital Sky



**Figure 1.** *g*-band surface brightness radial profiles of SDSS J102654.56+195254.8. The red dashed profile was obtained from a late phase image (+284 d) while the black one was obtained from our template image. Both images were obtained with the GTC.




**Figure 2.** Finding chart of LSQ13zm. Information about the orientation, scale, filter and exposure time are reported.

Survey (SDSS<sup>6</sup>) on 2005 March 10, and these data were used as template images for our *griz* early-phase photometric data. No source is visible at the galaxy position in the 2 Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS<sup>7</sup>), while the *Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer* (WISE<sup>8</sup>) catalogue reports the following magnitudes:  $W_1 = 17.006 \pm 0.107$ ,  $W_2 > 17.321$ ,  $W_3 > 12.778$ , suggesting a steep decline in the spectral energy distribution (SED) of the host from the optical to the infrared (IR) bands. From the average positions of the Balmer emission lines H $\alpha$  and H $\beta$  in the spectra of LSQ13zm (see Section 4), we derived a redshift of 0.029. Adopting a standard cosmology ( $H_0 = 73 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ ,  $\Omega_M = 0.27$ ,  $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.73$ ) and using Ned Wright's Cosmological Calculator<sup>9</sup> (Wright 2006), we derived a luminosity distance  $D_L = 122.0 \pm 8.2 \text{ Mpc}$ , and hence a distance modulus of  $\mu = 35.43 \pm 0.21 \text{ mag}$ . For the foreground Galactic extinction, we adopt  $A_V = 0.052 \text{ mag}$ , as derived from the Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) IR-based dust map available through the NED<sup>10</sup> data base. Our spectroscopic analysis, detailed in Section 4, reveals no evidence of narrow absorption lines of the Na I<sub>1D</sub> doublet at the recessional velocity of the host galaxy. For this reason, we will assume hereafter a negligible contribution of the host galaxy to the total extinction towards LSQ13zm.

An accurate study of the host galaxy was performed through our template images obtained with the 10.4 m Gran Telescopio Canarias (GTC) located at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos (ORM, La Palma, Canary Islands, Spain) when the SN faded below the detection threshold. We first fit the isophotes with ellipses (1D fit), obtaining the following total magnitudes:  $g = 19.68 \pm 0.01 \text{ mag}$ ,  $r = 19.14 \pm 0.02 \text{ mag}$ ,  $i = 18.97 \pm 0.01 \text{ mag}$ ,  $z = 18.73 \pm 0.02 \text{ mag}$ , significantly different than those reported in the SDSS archive, while the axial ratio and the position angle remain roughly constant in all bands with values of  $\simeq 0.56$  and  $\simeq -46.5$ , respectively. Accounting for the redshift derived from the spectra of LSQ13zm and the foreground Galactic extinction reported by the NED archive, we infer an absolute magnitude  $M_g = -15.99 \pm 0.21 \text{ mag}$ . The low absolute luminosity already suggests a significantly sub-solar global metallicity of  $12 + \log [\text{O}/\text{H}] = 8.21 \pm 0.37 \text{ dex}$ , following the relation of Pilyugin, Contini & Vílchez (2004).

Global parameters were obtained using the GALFIT<sup>11</sup> code (Peng et al. 2010), hence performing 2D photometry fitting a Sérsic law convolved with the local PSF. The effective radii range from 1.2 arcsec to 1.35 arcsec in the different filters. The results of this analysis confirmed our estimate on the actual position of LSQ13zm with respect to the centre of its host galaxy, that we assumed to be coincident with the centre of the isophotes (namely RA=10:26:54.638, Dec=+19:52:54.711 [J2000]). Their nearly coincident positions, in particular, suggest that the progenitor star belonged to a stellar population located in the central regions of the host galaxy. The Sérsic index is low, ranging from 1.85 to 2.1 in the different bands. This, together with the visual appearance, suggests that the host could be a very early spiral galaxy, an S0, or a low luminosity elliptical galaxy. However, the SN spectra clearly show residual contamination of emission lines from a foreground H II region (see Section 4). For this reason, we rule out the elliptical galaxy classification. This



**Figure 3.** Sky region of SDSS J102654.56+195254.8 at different wavelength. Top, left: polychromatic SDSS image. Top, right: NUV *GALEX* frame. Bottom left: *GALEX* FUV image. Bottom right: polychromatic SDSS image with NVSS contour levels ( $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $4.8 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $4.6 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $4.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mJy}$ , respectively); in all the images the position of the galaxy is marked.

choice is also supported by our estimated blue colours ( $g - r = 0.52$ ,  $r - i = 0.16$ ,  $i - z = 0.23$ ) as well as the clear detection of the host by the *Galaxy Evolution Explorer* (*GALEX*<sup>12</sup>), which also provides the relatively bright total magnitudes  $21.49 \pm 0.14 \text{ mag}$  and  $21.64 \pm 0.12 \text{ mag}$  in the Far-Ultraviolet (NUV, 1529 Å) and Near-Ultraviolet (NUV, 2312 Å) respectively. In Fig. 3 we show multiwavelength images of the field of SDSS J102654.56+195254.8 obtained with SDSS, *GALEX* and the NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS;<sup>13</sup> Condon et al. 1998). Moreover, blue colours, along with [O II], [O III] and [S II] emission lines (clearly visible in the late time spectra of LSQ13zm, see Section 4) suggest high star formation rates (SFRs), and are some of the common features of a class of galaxies known as ‘Blue Compact Dwarf Galaxies’ (BCDGs). BCDGs are active star-forming galaxies first identified by Haro (1956) and Zwicky et al. (1961). They are characterized by blue colours and compactness, but also by low luminosities and metallicities, and usually host stellar superclusters (Adamo 2011). Some of them show spectra similar to those of H II regions of spiral galaxies, while the estimated SFRs range from 0.1 to  $1 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$  (Fanelli, O’Connell & Thuan 1988; Cairós et al. 2001). According to this classification, and following Izotov et al. (2015), we estimated a global metallicity of  $12 + \log [\text{O}/\text{H}] = 7.93 \pm 0.003 \text{ dex}$ . Low metallicities are common among the hosts of SN impostors, with values lower than those measured in galaxies hosting genuine Type II<sub>n</sub> SNe (Anderson et al. 2010; Taddia et al. 2015). As a consequence, it is possible to argue that a fraction of interacting SNe does not belong to the same stellar population as SN impostors and that LBVs are not the only possible progenitor candidates for SNe II<sub>n</sub> (Taddia et al. 2015).

<sup>6</sup> <http://www.sdss.org/>

<sup>7</sup> <http://www.ipac.caltech.edu/2mass/>

<sup>8</sup> [http://www.nasa.gov/mission\\_pages/WISE/main/index.html](http://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/WISE/main/index.html)

<sup>9</sup> <http://www.astro.ucla.edu/~wright/CosmoCalc.html>

<sup>10</sup> <http://ned.ipac.caltech.edu/>

<sup>11</sup> <http://users.obs.carnegiescience.edu/peng/work/galfit/galfit.html>

<sup>12</sup> <http://www.galex.caltech.edu/>

<sup>13</sup> <https://science.nrao.edu/science/surveys/vlass>

The current SFR estimated from the FUV flux (9.2  $\mu\text{Jy}$ ) obtained using the Kennicutt (1998) relation:

$$\text{SFR}_{\text{FUV}} (M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}) = 1.4 \times 10^{-28} L_{\text{FUV}} (\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1}) \quad (1)$$

is 0.025  $M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , which is lower than the typical minimum value expected in this type of galaxies. We also computed an independent value using the integrated flux of the [O II] 3727  $\text{\AA}$  host galaxy luminosity estimated from the +24 d LRS spectrum using the relation:

$$\text{SFR}_{[\text{O II}]} (M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}) = 1.4 \times 10^{-41} L_{[\text{O II}]} (\text{erg s}^{-1}) \quad (2)$$


derived by Rosa-González, Terlevich & Terlevich (2002), which gives a comparable low value of 0.028  $M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ . None the less, also the total mass derived adopting a ‘diet’ Salpeter Initial Mass Function (IMF Bell & de Jong 2001) and using the  $M/L$  – colour relation given in Bell et al. (2003), is relatively low, with a value (in stars) ranging from 8.65 to 8.8  $\text{Log}(M/M_{\odot})$ . Adopting a Kroupa (2002) IMF, we derive an even lower mass ranging from 8.50 to 8.65  $\text{Log}(M/M_{\odot})$ , suggesting an high specific SFR.

The above described parameters show that SDSS J102654.56+195254.8 is peculiar, since it shows compactness and morphological properties typically observed in early-type galaxies, but also characterized by low metallicity and spectroscopic features typically observed in H II regions of star-forming spiral galaxies. The accurate characterization of the host galaxies is an important tool in the study of peculiar transients, and could give an important improvement to our understanding of the physical processes occurring during the late phases of the evolution of massive stars.

### 3 PHOTOMETRY

The multi-band photometric monitoring campaign started on 2013 April 27, and spanned a period of above 200 d. The 25 epochs of Sloan  $g$ ,  $r$ ,  $i$  and  $z$  photometry were primarily obtained using the 1 and 2-m telescopes of the Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope Network (LCOGT;<sup>14</sup> Brown et al. 2013) and are reported in Table B1. Near-Infrared (NIR) final magnitudes are reported in Table B2, while the observations in the Johnson–Cousins  $B$ ,  $V$  and  $R$  bands were obtained using several facilities, all listed in Tables B3 and B4. Historical limits and the first detections of the pre-SN outburst (the 2013a event), were obtained by the Catalina Sky Survey (CSS) 0.7 m Schmidt telescope, which provided up to  $\sim 10$  yr of observations. Additional data were provided by the LSQ and the iPTF surveys. NIR data were obtained using the Rapid Eye Mount (REM) 0.6 m telescope with REMIR and the 2.54 m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) with NOTCam. The details about individual instrumental configurations are reported in the photometry tables (see appendix).

Photometric data were first pre-processed (applying overscan, bias and flat-field corrections) using standard IRAF<sup>15</sup> procedures. Multiple NIR exposures were optimized subtracting clear sky images obtained median-combining dithered images, and then the resulting images were combined to increase the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). The source instrumental magnitudes and their subsequent photometric calibration were obtained using a dedicated pipeline (Cappellaro 2014, SNOOPY). Template subtraction was performed with HOTPANTS by PSF matching of the field stars, using archival



**Figure 4.** Multi-band light curves of the transient LSQ13zm.  $BVR$  and  $JHK$  magnitudes are calibrated to the Vega system, while  $griz$  magnitudes to the AB photometric system. Arbitrary constants were applied to the magnitudes of different bands.

SDSS images and very late images obtained with the GTC as templates. No templates were available for NIR bands, hence instrumental magnitudes for these bands were obtained using the PSF-fitting technique on unsubtracted images. Zero-points and colour terms for the specific instrumental setup were obtained with reference to a selected set of stars in the field. The ‘local sequence’ magnitudes were obtained from the SDSS and the 2MASS catalogues and were used to calibrate the SN magnitudes in the different images.  $BVR$  magnitudes of the reference stars were derived from the Sloan pass-band magnitudes, following Chonis & Gaskell (2008). Photometric errors were estimated through artificial star experiments, combining in quadrature the dispersions of individual measurements with the PSF-fit errors returned by DAOPHOT. For two epochs, multiband SNF synthetic photometry derived from flux-calibrated spectra was obtained using the procedures described in Buton et al. (2013), while the specific LSQ and PTF data reduction procedures are described in detail in Firth et al. (2015). The final magnitudes of LSQ13zm along with the photometric errors are listed in Tables B1, B2 and B3, while the resulting light curves are shown in Fig. 4. The first detection of the transient is dated 2013 March 18 (MJD = 56369.109) and was obtained by LSQ, followed by a marginal detection in a CRTS image obtained on 2013 March 19 (MJD = 56370.150). After these epochs, the  $R$ -band magnitude rose to  $\simeq 20.6$  mag (corresponding to  $M_R \simeq -14.8$  mag, with the distance modulus and extinction values reported in Section 2) until 2013 April 1 ( $\simeq 13$  d after the first detection), when the magnitude started to drop, reaching the value of  $\simeq 21.3$  mag on 2013 April 7. Unfortunately, no information of the colours was obtained for the 2013a event, since the target was

<sup>14</sup> <http://lcogt.net/>

<sup>15</sup> <http://iraf.noao.edu/>

followed in the  $R$ -band only and no spectra were collected at these epochs (Section 4). On 2013 April 8 (MJD = 56390.285, the beginning of the 2013b event) a re-brightening was observed. After this epoch the  $R$ -band magnitude reached  $\simeq 17$  mag, corresponding to  $M_R \simeq -18.4$  mag, on 2013 April 24 (MJD = 56406.375, the peak of the 2013b event), that we will consider hereafter (unless otherwise noted) as a reference for the phases in both the photometric and spectroscopic analysis. During the re-brightening phase, we started to collect multi-band photometry and spectra using other facilities, as will be discussed in Sections 3.1 and 4. Over the 20 d prior to the 2013b maximum, we measure a rise of  $\sim 2.5$  mag in  $R$ -band, while after maximum the light curves evolve faster with a  $r$ -band decline-rate of  $r \sim 5.6$  mag/100 d in the first  $\sim 25$  d, decreasing to  $r \sim 3$  mag/100 d until phase  $\sim 60$  d. At later phases (namely after phase  $+200$  d) we notice a slower decline, with a rate of  $r \sim 0.2$  mag/100 d in the  $r$ -band light curve, while the slopes in the  $g, i$  and  $z$ -bands are greater: 0.96, 3.62 and 3.28 mag/100 d, respectively. This can be explained with an increased contribution of the  $H\alpha$  emission line relative to the continuum, although it has to be mentioned that we have no observations between phases  $+50$  and  $+219$  d in  $g$  and  $i$ -band, and between phases  $+63$  and  $+219$  d in  $z$ -band.


### 3.1 Absolute light curves and colour curves

Fig. 5 shows the  $g - r$  (top) and  $r - i$  (bottom) colour evolution of LSQ13zm along with those of SN 2010mc (Ofek et al. 2013a) and SN 2009ip, two objects showing a similar photometric behaviour, and the Type IIIn SN 1999el (Di Carlo et al. 2002). The  $g - r$  and  $r - i$  colours become progressively redder with time, suggesting a rapid temperature decrease of the ejecta, as will be shown in the spectral analysis (Section 4).


The absolute  $R$ -band light curve of LSQ13zm, with phases relative to the 2013b event, is compared with those of the same SN sample as above in Fig. 6. Adopting for LSQ13zm the distance modulus and extinction discussed in Section 2, we estimate an absolute peak magnitude of  $M_R = -18.46 \pm 0.21$  mag for the 2013b event. As shown by this comparison, the absolute light curve of the 2013b event of LSQ13zm is reminiscent of those of other Type IIIn SNe, showing similar absolute peak magnitudes (in agreement with Kiewe et al. 2012, who found  $-18.4$  mag as a mean value for the peak magnitudes for SNe IIIn) and decline rates. In particular, there is a remarkable similarity between the 2013b absolute light curve of LSQ13zm and that of SN 2010mc.

In Fig. 7, we show the long-term photometric evolution of LSQ13zm (including the pre-discovery phases). Its  $R$ -band absolute light curve is compared with those of other objects classified as Type IIIn SNe showing pre-explosion outbursts. Adopting the same values for the distance modulus and extinction, we infer an absolute peak magnitude of  $M_R = -14.87 \pm 0.25$  mag for the 2013a event of LSQ13zm, comparable with the 2012a event of SN 2009ip.


Fig. 7 also reports observations of the LSQ13zm site obtained prior to the 2013a,b episodes, including the photometric detection limits collected from CTRS, LSQ and iPTF archival images. The top panel shows that the pre-SN bursts of LSQ13zm, SN 2009ip and SN 2010mc are quite similar, with comparable absolute peak magnitudes, although the 2013a episode of LSQ13zm has a shorter duration. The Type IIIn SN 2011ht (bottom panel) is slightly different, showing a pre-SN burst occurred  $\sim 1$  yr before the SN explosion (Fraser et al. 2013b). The bottom panel shows that no transient was observed in the past decade at the position of LSQ13zm, although the detection limits constrain the non-detections only to absolute



**Figure 5.** Comparison among the  $g - r$  and  $r - i$  colour curves of LSQ13zm, SN 2010mc, SN 1999el and SN 2009ip. Reddening estimates of SN 2009ip ( $A_V = 0.055$  mag) and SN 2010mc ( $A_V = 0.046$  mag) were obtained from the NED archive. For the total extinction to the direction of SN 1999el we adopted the value  $A_V = 1.84$  mag, an average of the two extreme values reported by Di Carlo et al. (2002). Magnitudes were calibrated on the AB photometric system. The phases of SN 2009ip are relative to the 2012b event.



**Figure 6.** Comparison among the  $R$ -band absolute light curves of SN 1999el, SN 2010mc, SN 2009ip and LSQ13zm. The phases are relative to the maximum of the brightest event. The distance moduli of SN 1999el ( $\mu = 32.1$  mag), SN 2009ip ( $\mu = 31.55$  mag) and SN 2010mc ( $\mu = 35.79$  mag) were taken from Di Carlo et al. (2002); Smith et al. (2010) and Ofek et al. (2013a), respectively.



**Figure 7.** Comparison of the long-term  $R$ -band absolute light curves of LSQ13zm, SN 2011ht and SN 2009ip. Top: blow-up of the pre-SN outbursts of LSQ13zm (2013a) and SN 2009ip (2012a, Pastorello et al. 2013). Bottom: comparison of historical observations of LSQ13zm, SN 2011ht and SN 2009ip. References are indicated in the main text. The indicated phases are relative to the maximum of the most luminous event, possibly corresponding to the final SN explosion. The distance modulus of SN 2011ht ( $\mu = 31.42$  mag) was taken from Roming et al. (2012) while, the reddening estimate ( $A_V = 0.029$  mag) is from the NED archive.

magnitudes in the range between  $M_R \approx -13.5$  mag and  $-15$  mag. Of course, these observations cannot rule out that previous outburst episodes occurred in the gaps between the observations or at a fainter magnitude. In fact the detection limits of LSQ13zm (prior to the 2013a event) are not very stringent, as they are typically brighter than the erratic bursts observed in SN 2009ip during the period 2009–2011 (Pastorello et al. 2013).

#### 4 SPECTROSCOPY

Our spectroscopic follow-up campaign started on 2013 April 19 and lasted until 2014 November 23, hence covering almost 2 yr. Essential information about the spectra is reported in Table 1. The spectra will be released through the Weizmann Interactive Supernova data REpository (WISereP;<sup>16</sup> Yaron & Gal-Yam 2012).

One-dimensional spectra were obtained using standard IRAF tasks for both pre-reduction (bias, flat-field and overscan correction) and optimized extraction. Wavelength calibration was performed using the spectra of comparison lamps obtained during the same night. The accuracy of the wavelength calibration was then verified measuring the positions of night sky lines, in particular [O I] at 5577.34 Å and 6300.30 Å and shifting the spectrum in wavelength in case of discrepancy. Flux calibration was performed using spectra of standard stars. Measured fluxes were checked against multi-band photome-

try obtained on the nearest nights and, when necessary, a scaling factor was applied. We did not perform any flux correction on the last two OSIRIS spectra, since they were both strongly contaminated by the flux of the host galaxy. Spectral resolutions, reported in Table 1, were computed measuring the mean values of the full widths at half-maximum (FWHM) of unblended night sky lines.

#### 4.1 Line identification and spectral evolution

The full spectral sequence of LSQ13zm is shown in Fig. 8.

The spectra show a blue continuum that becomes progressively redder with time, as the temperature at the photosphere decreases. A significant evolution in the relative strengths of the spectral features can also be noted. The first two spectra are dominated by narrow Balmer lines in emission, probably arising from a photoionized thin shell expelled a relatively short time ahead of the 2013b event. Around +8 d, the spectrum begins to show broad shallow features with P-Cygni profiles, most clearly visible in  $H\alpha$  and  $H\beta$ . From +16 d onwards, broad P-Cygni absorptions dominate the spectrum, including those of  $H\beta$  and other blended lines, as will be discussed in the following sections. We also identify blended Fe II, Mg II and Ca II lines, taking as a comparison the superluminous Type Ic SN 2010gx (Fig. 12; Pastorello et al. 2010b). From +44 d to +67 d, the interaction of the ejecta with the CSM becomes dominant, resulting in a progressively stronger contribution of the  $H\alpha$  intermediate component, which merges with the broad line wings of the profile. At +67 d, the intermediate component dominates the  $H\alpha$  profile over the other components.

In the early spectra, we marked the two He I lines in emission at 5875.6 and 7065.2 Å (see Fig. 8), which are clearly detectable until +8 d. On the basis of the available data (resolution and SNR), we cannot rule out the presence of a weak He I (5875.6 Å) line in absorption also at later phases. At +8 d, we note the presence of the [O III]  $\lambda\lambda 4958.5, 5006.8$  doublet, although the single lines remain unresolved. In addition, at late phases, also the [S II]  $\lambda\lambda 6717-6731$  doublet becomes detectable. Unresolved [S II] lines are uncommon in the nebular spectra of CCSNe, suggesting that they are an evidence of host galaxy contamination. Although these unresolved forbidden lines appear to increase in flux with time, this is likely a consequence of the growing contamination of the galaxy foreground sources. This is clearly shown in Fig. A1, where the latest two-dimensional spectrum of LSQ13zm including the host galaxy is shown for two wavelength windows: the  $H\alpha$  + [S II] 6717–6731 Å doublet (top panel in the figure), and  $H\beta$  + [O III]  $\lambda\lambda 4958.5, 5006.8$  doublet (bottom panel). In both cases, while the H lines are broader, [O III] and [S II] are narrower and spatially offset with respect to the peak of the H emissions. The relatively strong and unresolved [O II]  $\lambda\lambda 3726-3729$  doublet is also visible in the spectra from +24 d to +67 d, most likely due to the host contamination as well.


From +8 d to +67 d, we identify a very broad  $H\alpha$  component and, at the location of the Na ID doublet, another shallow feature in absorption showing a ‘boxy’ profile. The close-by comparisons in Fig. 9 show the temporal evolution of these two spectral regions in the velocity space, where the absorption features observed in  $H\alpha$  and He I/Na ID are compared. We note that broad P-Cygni absorptions are clearly visible in the  $H\alpha$  profile since phase +8 d, indicating the presence of a significant amount of material moving at  $\approx 10^4$  km s<sup>-1</sup>, with a maximum inferred velocity of  $\approx 22$  000 km s<sup>-1</sup>. The existence of fast-moving material is also confirmed by the absorption features observed in the He I/Na ID region. The range of velocities inferred from the two different lines at +44 d also agrees, with a velocity  $\approx 5 \times 10^3$  km s<sup>-1</sup> for the bulk of the ejected

<sup>16</sup> <http://wiserep.weizmann.ac.il/>


**Table 1.** Log of the spectroscopic observations.

Date	MJD	Phase (d)	Instrumental setup	Grism or grating	Spectral range (Å)	Resolution (Å)	Exp. times (s)
20130419	56401.3	-5	2.2 m UH+SNIFS	Channels B+R	3180–9420	9.7	2 × 1820
20130425	56406.4	0	2.2 m UH+SNIFS	Channels B+R	3180–9420	9.4	2 × 1820
20130428	56410.3	+4	FLOYDS	Channels red+blue	3200–6600	8.9	2 × 3600
20130502	56414.5	+8	BTA6 m+SCORPIO	VPHG550G	3100–7300	12.7	2550
20130508	56421.3	+15	Ekar182+AFOSC	Gm4	3600–7900	24	2700
20130510	56422.5	+16	BTA6 m+SCORPIO	VPHG550G	3600–7660	12.7	3600
20150517	56429.9	+24	TNG+LRS	LR-B	3200–7700	11.9	3600
20130523	56435.9	+30	TNG+LRS	LR-B	3200–7700	11.1	3000
20130606	56449.9	+44	TNG+LRS	LR-B	3200–7700	15.2	3000
20130629	56472.9	+67	TNG+LRS	LR-B	3200–7700	18.8	2 × 2700
20131225	56651.9	+245	GTC+OSIRIS	R500R	4670–9000	16.2	1800
20141124	56985.2	+579	GTC+OSIRIS	R300B	4000–9770	17.1	2 × 1800

*Notes.* The observations were carried out using the 6.05 m Bolshoi Teleskop Alt-azimutalnyi (BTA) of the Special Astronomical Observatory equipped with the Spectral Camera with Optical Reducer for Photometrical and Interferometrical Observations (SCORPIO; Zelenchuksky District, Caucasus Mountains, Russia), 2.2 m telescope of the University of Hawaii with the SuperNova Integral Field Spectrograph (SNIFS; Mauna Kea, Hawaii), the 10.4 m Gran Telescopio Canarias (GTC) with OSIRIS and the 3.58 m Telescopio Nazionale Galileo (TNG) with DOLoRes (LRS; both located at Roque de Los Muchachos, La Palma, Canary Islands, Spain), the 1.82 m Copernico telescope with AFOSC (Mt. Ekar, Asiago, Italy) and the LCOGT 2 m Faulkes North Telescope with FLOYDS.



**Figure 8.** Spectral sequence of LSQ13zm. The phases, in days, are reported to the right, and are relative to the light-curve maximum of the 2013b event. The  $\oplus$  symbols mark the positions, where visible, of the strongest telluric absorption bands. All spectra are flux-calibrated using the information obtained from the photometric data, with the exception of the last two OSIRIS spectra for which the galaxy contamination could not be removed. The spectra have been shifted by an arbitrary constant. The strongest lines visible in emission are also marked. The spectra are in the observed frame, and no reddening correction has been applied.




**Figure 9.** Blow-up of the  $H\alpha$  (black line) and He I/Na II features (red line) at  $-5$ ,  $+8$ ,  $16$ ,  $24$ ,  $30$  and  $44$  d, respectively. The dashed line is centred at the corresponding zero velocity of the  $H\alpha$  and He I  $5875.6 \text{ \AA}$  transitions. The fluxes are re-scaled and a constant is applied.

material, and a maximum velocity of the line wing of  $\approx 10^4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , indicating that they arise from the same regions. We also notice a second absorption component in the He I ( $5876 \text{ \AA}$ )/Na II ( $5891$ ,  $5897 \text{ \AA}$ ) P-Cygni profile. This could be explained with the blended contribution of the two He I and Na II lines. Such high velocity absorption features are generally observed in the ejecta of CCSNe at early phases, and are not typical of LBV eruptions. This is one of the main arguments that supports the SN scenario for the 2013b event (see Section 6).

Fig. 10 displays the evolution of the  $H\alpha$  and  $H\beta$  emission line profiles. These two features are the only Balmer lines visible in all the spectra of our sequence, since  $H\gamma$  disappears after a few days. We do not observe a significant shift in the position of the broad  $H\alpha$  and  $H\beta$  components, very likely arguing against a prompt dust formation. A spectrum of SN 2009ip taken at very late phases is also shown as a comparison.

Fig. 11 shows the evolution of a few spectral parameters, viz. continuum temperature (top), velocity (middle) and luminosity (bottom) of the three line components, after correcting the spectra for foreground extinction and redshift. Their values are listed in Table 2. The velocities for the different line components are obtained through a multicomponent fit, using Gaussian and Lorentzian functions to reproduce the different  $H\alpha$  emission profiles. In the two earliest spectra, we obtain a good fit is reached using a single Lorentzian component, while in all other spectra a fair result is obtained using a combination of multiple Gaussians. This choice is based on the fit results, mostly affected by different resolution and SNR of the spectra. When the different components have widths which are larger than the resolution of the spectra, we compute the final velocity  $v = \frac{\text{width}}{\lambda_0} \times c$  from the measured width corrected


for the spectral resolution ( $\text{width} = \sqrt{\text{FWHM}^2 - \text{res}^2}$ ). When the components are unresolved, we adopt the resolution of the spectra as an upper limit of the velocities. For the narrow component we infer a nearly constant velocity, ranging from  $250 \pm 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  to  $470 \pm 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (but strongly affected by spectral resolution limitations), while the broad component has a fast decline in velocity at early phases, from  $11500 \pm 1080 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  to  $4110 \pm 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  in the first 67 d.



**Figure 10.** Evolution of the profiles of  $H\alpha$  (left-hand panel) and  $H\beta$  (right-hand panel) in the velocity space. The fluxes are re-scaled and a constant is applied. The vertical dashed lines mark the rest wavelength positions of the two lines. A late-phase spectrum of SN 2009ip is also shown for comparison (Fraser et al. 2015). The fluxes of the two lines were normalized and then divided by a factor 2 ( $H\alpha$ ) and 4 ( $H\beta$ ) in the SN 2009ip spectrum to facilitate the comparison.

From  $+67$  d onwards, we include an intermediate component with velocities declining from  $2560 \pm 350 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  to  $1900 \pm 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . As discussed in Turatto et al. (1993b) and Kiewe et al. (2012), spectra with multicomponent line profiles are common in interacting objects. The narrow line components have velocities consistent with those expected for the material expelled from an LBV, and are interpreted as recombination lines emitted by an outer unshocked CSM. The FWHM velocities of the broad component are consistent with those measured in the expanding ejecta of a CCSN, although occasionally large velocities have been observed during major eruptions of LBVs (see e.g. SN 2009ip, Pastorello et al. 2013, during the period 2009–2011). Finally, the velocities of the intermediate component are fully consistent with those predicted for shocked gas, lying in the region between the forward and the reverse shock fronts.

The evolution of the temperature of the photosphere (Fig. 11, top) is inferred through a blackbody fit to the spectral continuum, since the spectra at early and intermediate phases (from  $+30$  d to  $+67$  d) are all characterized by a significant contribution of the continuum to the total emission. The temperature rapidly decreases from  $12540 \pm 770 \text{ K}$  to  $6170 \pm 250 \text{ K}$  in the first two months after maximum light. We do not compute a temperature in the last two spectra, since at this phase the continuum is strongly contaminated by the emission of the host galaxy. The temperature evolution is consistent



**Figure 11.** Top: evolution of the temperature of the ejecta obtained through blackbody fits. Middle: FWHM evolution for the broad (black dots), intermediate (green squares) and narrow (red pentagons) of the H $\alpha$  components. Bottom: luminosity evolution of the three H $\alpha$  components.

with that of the broad-band colours discussed in Section 3.1 (see also Fig. 5).

We also derive the luminosity evolution for the different components of H $\alpha$  (Fig. 11, bottom). The luminosity declines from  $1.50 \times 10^{40}$  to  $1.30 \times 10^{38}$  erg s $^{-1}$  for the narrow component, from  $1.80 \times 10^{40}$  to  $1.80 \times 10^{39}$  erg s $^{-1}$  for the broad component and from  $1.10 \times 10^{39}$  to  $5.50 \times 10^{38}$  erg s $^{-1}$  for the intermediate components over the period of the spectroscopic monitoring.

#### 4.2 Comparison with spectra of interacting SNe

A comparison with the spectra of different CCSNe is shown in Fig. 12 (top). The comparison is made on the basis of the best match to the +16 d and the +24 d spectra with those of other CCSNe obtained using the GELATO<sup>17</sup> comparison tool (Harutyunyan et al. 2008). A fair agreement is obtained with the Type IIb SN 1993J (Baron, Hauschildt & Branch 1993; Guinan, Marshall & McCook 1993; Barbon et al. 1995) and the Type II SNe 2007od (Andrews et al. 2010; Inserra et al. 2011) and 2009bw (Inserra et al. 2012). None the less, we note that a better match is provided by a sample of superluminous SNe (SLSNe; Gal-Yam 2012, Fig. 12, bottom), in particular SN 2008es (Miller et al. 2009; Gezari et al. 2009). SN 2008es is an overluminous Type II-L SN at  $z=0.205$  with an absolute  $R$ -band magnitude of  $\simeq -22$  mag. Although it reached a much higher luminosity, it showed a temperature evolution similar to that observed for LSQ13zm (namely from  $\sim 14\,000$  K to  $\sim 6400$  K

during the first 65 d after maximum), along with comparable line velocities ( $\simeq 10\,000$  km s $^{-1}$ ) for the broad components. The Type II SN CSS121015:004244+132827, likely an interacting object (Benetti et al. 2014), has also a similar spectrum. This object has a  $B$ -band absolute magnitude of  $\simeq -22.6$  mag, and shows a linearly declining light curve.

Among the sample of SNe with H-rich CSM, also the energetic Type II<sub>n</sub> SN 2008fz (Drake et al. 2010) provides a good match with our spectrum of LSQ13zm. SN 2008fz reaches an absolute  $V$ -band magnitude of  $\simeq -22$  mag with an inferred radiated energy of  $\gtrsim 1.4 \times 10^{51}$  erg s $^{-1}$ , showing slow-evolving light curves and spectra with multi-components emission lines. The comparison in Fig. 12 (bottom) suggests that all these luminous, CSM-interacting SNe are likely CCSN events.

In Fig. 13, we also report a comparison of the  $-5$  d,  $+16$  d and  $+44$  d spectra of LSQ13zm (2013b event), with the spectra of SN 2009ip (Pastorello et al. 2013) and SN 2010mc (Ofek et al. 2013a) at similar phases. These two comparison objects are characterized by a similar sequence of events as those observed in LSQ13zm. The strong similarity in the spectroscopic and photometric properties of the three objects is remarkable, although this does not necessarily imply that their sequence of photometric outbursts has to be interpreted in a similar way (see Section 6).

## 5 DATING MASS-LOSS EPISODES

In Section 4, we reported the results of our spectroscopic follow-up campaign of LSQ13zm. A blue continuum with prominent narrow Balmer lines in emission characterizes the spectra at around the 2013b maximum, suggesting the presence of a photoionized unshocked CSM, which recombines and re-emits photons. If the 2013b event was a genuine SN explosion, one might claim that this ionized gas was expelled during the 2013a outburst.

The spectra show the first unequivocal signs of interaction (namely the rise of the intermediate H $\alpha$  component) between  $+44$  d and  $+67$  d after maximum. The onset of the interaction, in fact, is generally characterized by the emergence of intermediate-width components (i.e. with FWHM velocities a few  $10^3$  km s $^{-1}$ ) and an increase in the continuum luminosity of the transient. We remark that the absence of a significant increase in the spectral continua between  $+44$  d and  $+67$  d is probably the consequence of the strong contamination by the host galaxy starting at these phases. Hereafter, we will assume that the SN explosion occurred 16 d before maximum (MJD<sub>expl</sub> =  $56387.9 \pm 2.5$ , computed from a parabolic fit to the  $R$ -band light curve of the 2013b event). Considering an intermediate phase (namely day 55 post-max) as the indicative epoch when the SN ejecta reach the pre-existing CSM, we can approximately estimate the epoch of the ejection of this circumstellar shell. Adopting  $11\,500$  km s $^{-1}$  as an indicative velocity for the SN ejecta (the velocity inferred from the broad component of the  $+8$  d spectrum) and  $470$  km s $^{-1}$  as the velocity of the unshocked CSM (as inferred from our highest resolution spectrum), we find that this gas was expelled by the star  $\simeq 4$  yr before the putative SN explosion. Therefore this material had been lost well ahead the 2013a event. Under the same gross assumptions, we can infer that the gas moving at  $470$  km s $^{-1}$  and expelled at the beginning of the 2013a event (that we assume to be on MJD<sub>out</sub> =  $56366.1 \pm 3.0$ , i.e.  $\sim 22$  d before the epoch of the explosion; also estimated through a polynomial fit of the  $R$ -band light curve) has been blown away by the SN ejecta in  $\sim 1$  d.


The SN shock breakout and the early interaction between SN ejecta and inner CSM would provide enough energy to ionize the

<sup>17</sup> <https://gelato.tng.iac.es/>

**Table 2.** Main spectral parameters, as result from the analysis performed on the H $\alpha$  line profiles.


Phase (d)	Temperature (K)	FWHM <sub>nar</sub> (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	FWHM <sub>brd</sub> (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	FWHM <sub>int</sub> (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	$L_{\text{nar}}$ (erg s <sup>-1</sup> )	$L_{\text{brd}}$ (erg s <sup>-1</sup> )	$L_{\text{int}}$ (erg s <sup>-1</sup> )
-5	12 540(770)	~250*	-	-	$1.50(0.55) \times 10^{40}$	-	-
0	12 800(700)	470*(20)	-	-	$1.90(0.70) \times 10^{40}$	-	-
+4	11 370(1410)	440(50)	-	-	$1.90(0.50) \times 10^{40}$	-	-
+8	10 930(590)	300(10)	11 500(1080)	-	$9.90(0.40) \times 10^{39}$	$1.80(0.30) \times 10^{40}$	-
+15	917 806(10)	<1100	10 670(740)	-	$7.60(0.30) \times 10^{39}$	$1.90(0.30) \times 10^{40}$	-
+16	8680(490)	~330	10 660(730)	-	$6.20(0.35) \times 10^{39}$	$1.50(0.20) \times 10^{40}$	-
+24	6620(430)	~250	10 410(720)	-	$3.40(0.30) \times 10^{39}$	$1.20(0.30) \times 10^{40}$	-
+30	6230(310)	460(10)	7280(440)	-	$3.20(0.40) \times 10^{39}$	$1.00(0.40) \times 10^{40}$	-
+44	5920(410)	~340	4660(560)	-	$1.90(0.50) \times 10^{39}$	$6.00(0.35) \times 10^{39}$	-
+67	6170(250)	<8600	4110(300)	2560(350)	$7.70(0.40) \times 10^{38}$	$1.80(0.30) \times 10^{39}$	$1.10(0.50) \times 10^{39}$
+245	-	<740	-	2490(280)	$2.00(0.30) \times 10^{38}$	-	$3.00(0.60) \times 10^{39}$
+579	-	380(10)	-	1900(400)	$1.30(0.60) \times 10^{38}$	-	$5.50(0.50) \times 10^{39}$

*Notes.* Column 1 reports the phases relative to the light-curve maximum, column 2 lists the temperatures derived through a blackbody fit on the spectra continuum, columns 3, 4 and 5 report the FWHM velocities inferred from multi-component fits of the H $\alpha$  emission profiles for the narrow (nar), broad (brd) and intermediate (int) components, respectively. Columns 6,7 and 8 report the total luminosities inferred from the same H $\alpha$  emission line components. We remark that values for the luminosities of the narrow and intermediate components in the last two spectra (phases +245 and +579 d) are strongly affected by the contamination of the host galaxy emission lines. The \* symbol refers to the velocities inferred through a Lorentzian fit only. The ~ symbol refers to narrow lines marginally resolved.



**Figure 12.** Comparison of the +16 d and the +24 d spectra with those of a sample of CCSNe and SLSNe. The objects were selected on the basis of good fits obtained using GELATO. Different constants have been applied to the logarithm of the fluxes.

outer CSM expelled in past, unobserved mass-loss events. The latter mechanism would be the most natural explanation for injecting ionizing radiation to power the narrow H $\alpha$  line produced in the outer CSM, and observed from our first spectrum of LSQ13zm to that



**Figure 13.** Comparison of the spectra of LSQ13zm, SN 2009ip and SN 2010mc at similar phases. The phases of SN 2009ip refer to the 2012b maximum, those of SN 2010mc to the maximum light.

at ~44 d after light-curve peak. We note that some spectroscopic indicators would argue against strong ejecta-CSM interaction at early phases in LSQ13zm. In fact, until day +44, there is no direct evidence for the presence of intermediate-width line components typical of shocked gas shells, and broad features from unshocked SN ejecta are clearly detected. None the less, higher-density clumps

in more diluted gas and/or geometrical effects may produce the abovementioned spectral observables.

At epoch later than +44 d, the interaction between the ejecta of LSQ13zm and outer CSM becomes more evident, with the detection of intermediate-width line components. This provides a sufficient amount of high-energy photons to ionize the unshocked external material, as proposed by Chugai & Danziger (1994) to explain the observed spectroscopic features of SN 1988Z. We will widely discuss the implications of the photometric and spectroscopic properties of LSQ13zm in Section 6.

## 6 ON THE NATURE OF LSQ13ZM

In Sections 3 and 4, we reported the photometric and spectroscopic analysis of the optical transient LSQ13zm. In a remarkable sequence of events, this object showed a first outburst, the ‘2013a event’, during which it reached the absolute magnitude  $M_R = -14.87 \pm 0.25$  mag. This brightening was followed after  $\sim 3$  weeks by another episode, the ‘2013b event’, reaching an absolute peak magnitude  $M_R = -18.46 \pm 0.21$  mag, similar to those observed in Type IIIn SNe. We need to remark that the presence of previous outbursts in the past decade cannot be ruled out since, as shown in Fig. 7, our historical limits are not deep enough to detect eruptive episodes fainter than  $M_R \simeq -15$  mag. Moreover, as discussed in Section 5, the results of our photometric and spectroscopic analysis suggest that an unobserved eruptive event might have occurred  $\gtrsim 4$  yr before the 2013a event.

Our spectra, covering almost 2 yr after the 2013b episode onset, are characterized by three main phases. At early phases (before and around the 2013b maximum), the spectra show a blue continuum (with an inferred blackbody temperature of  $\sim 13\,000$  K) with narrow Balmer lines in emission characterized by Lorentzian profiles. At intermediate epochs (namely during the early decline after the 2013b light-curve maximum), the spectra show broad absorption features, particularly evident for the  $H\alpha$ ,  $H\beta$  and  $He\ I/Na\ I_D$  features, suggesting the presence of underlying high-velocity ejecta. Finally, late-phase spectra are dominated by the intermediate-width features typical of ejecta-CSM interaction, although the contamination of the host galaxy strongly affects the spectral appearance at these phases.

While early-phase spectra show unequivocal evidence of a surrounding photoionized CSM, different physical mechanisms can produce the ionizing photons required to explain the observed features. Lorentzian wings are, in fact, typical of hot ionized gas and are usually related to Thomson-scattering due to free electrons in the medium. While inner ejecta-CSM collisions may produce the sufficient amount of energy to ionize pre-existing H-rich material, narrow recombination lines may also be powered by a long-lived shock-breakout within a dense, optically thick surrounding CSM. The lower velocity limit for SN shocks breaking out in such dense media was found to be  $\sim 10^4$  km s $^{-1}$ , supporting the conclusion that the rise of the light curves of some Type IIIn SNe might be powered by shock break-out within a dense CSM (Ofek et al. 2014a). Ofek et al. (2010) also explained the fast rising UV emission with shock break-out through a dense CSM for the Type IIIn SN PTF09uj. The subsequent visible emission at later times was then interpreted as the diffusion of the energy deposited in the CSM by the shock itself.

The spectroscopic evolution during the early decline phases is characterized by prominent absorption features strengthening with time, with relatively high and constant expansion velocities ( $\sim 10^4$  km s $^{-1}$ , inferred from the minima of the absorption profiles). The absorption feature observed for  $H\alpha$  and  $He\ I/Na\ I_D$  is of particular interest, showing boxy profiles with wing velocities extending

to  $\sim 22\,000$  km s $^{-1}$  (see Fig. 9), without showing a significant evolution from  $\sim +8$  d to  $\sim +44$  d after maximum. Such very high velocities, along with the peculiar profile of  $H\alpha$  and  $He\ I/Na\ I_D$ , were never observed in SN 2009ip, which, on the other hand, showed blue wings with an inferred velocity of  $\sim 13\,000$  km s $^{-1}$  only.

Clear signatures of ejecta-CSM interaction become unequivocally visible only at late phases, after +67 d, when an intermediate component clearly appears in the  $H\alpha$  line profile. Moreover, in analogy with many other interacting transients, the late spectra show no trace of  $\alpha$ - or Fe-peak elements produced in the stellar/explosive nucleosynthesis.


The case of SN 2009ip is widely debated, and different interpretations to the nature of the two 2012 events are offered in the literature. While the photometric properties of the 2012a event were comparable with those displayed by SN impostors, the spectroscopic analysis at these epochs showed broad P-Cygni line components. However, broad absorptions had already been observed during the numerous re-brightenings in the 2009–2011 period, and this was an indication that a relatively small amount of gas was expanding at velocities much higher than those typically observed in erupting LBVs.

The effects of a particular geometrical configuration on the observables were discussed by Mauerhan et al. (2014) using spectropolarimetry data of SN 2009ip. Their analysis revealed that the two eruptive episodes occurred in 2012 were both highly aspherical (with the second episode showing an higher level of asphericity) and, most importantly, exhibited orthogonal geometries on the sky. Their results supported the scenario according to SN 2009ip exploded during the 2012a event with a prolate/bipolar photosphere geometry partially obstructed by a toroidal (disc- or ring-shaped) dense CSM (see fig. 9 in Mauerhan et al. 2014). Toroidal geometry for the surrounding CSM of SN 2009ip was already suggested by Levesque et al. (2014) on the basis of spectroscopic arguments, while bipolar geometry was proposed by Wang et al. (2001) to explain other cases of highly polarized CCSNe. We cannot rule out that high asphericity can characterize also LSQ13zm, although we do not have spectropolarimetry observations to verify this claim.

In the forthcoming sections, we compare the photometric and spectroscopic properties of LSQ13zm with those of other similar transients, in particular SN 2009ip, in order to infer the true nature of the 2013a,b events. To do that, we consider for LSQ13zm the most plausible scenarios on the basis of the different interpretations given for SN 2009ip. In Section 6.1 we will discuss the scenario proposed by Pastorello et al. (2013), which supports a pulsational pair-instability (PPI) event powered by collisions between shells expelled at different times, while in Section 6.2 we will inspect the scenario proposed by Mauerhan et al. (2013a), suggesting an SN explosion followed by ejecta-CSM interaction. In Section 6.3 we will briefly analyse the possibility that the 2013a event is the result of binary interactions between an evolved LBV star with a unevolved, less massive star, with the 2013b event triggered by a violent merger (following the interpretation proposed by Kashi, Soker & Moskovitz 2013; Soker & Kashi 2013, for SN 2009ip). Finally, in Section 6.4 we will discuss the scenario in which the giant eruption of a massive progenitor (most likely an LBV star) is followed by a terminal explosion.

### 6.1 2013b as a PPI event powered by shell-shell collisions

The first scenario illustrated for LSQ13zm is that of an unusual SN impostor, where the first, weaker light-curve peak would be produced by a giant, non-terminal outburst, followed by a major



**Figure 14.** Top: comparison of the absolute  $R$ -band light curve of LSQ13zm with those of known SN impostors. The distance moduli of 2007sv ( $\mu = 31.38$  mag), 1997bs ( $\mu = 31.1$  mag) were taken from Tartaglia et al. (2015) and Van Dyk et al. (2000), respectively, while the reddening estimates for the same two objects ( $A_V = 0.056$  mag and  $A_V = 0.093$  mag, respectively) were taken from the NED archive. The phases are relative to the maximum light. For LSQ13zm we refer to the maximum of the 2013a episode, for SN 2009ip to the maximum of the 2012a event (Pastorello et al. 2013). Bottom: comparison with the absolute  $R$ -band magnitudes of a sample of faint SNe. The distance moduli and the reddening estimates for SN 2005cs ( $\mu = 29.26$  mag,  $A_V = 0.155$  mag), SN 2008S ( $\mu = 28.74$  mag,  $A_V = 1.13$  mag) and SN 2008ha ( $\mu = 31.55$  mag,  $A_V = 0.236$  mag) and they were taken from Pastorello et al. (2009); Botticella et al. (2009) and Valenti et al. (2009), respectively. The phases are relative to the maximum light. Phase 0 in LSQ13zm is coincident with the epoch of the 2013a event maximum.

re-brightening due to interaction between two dense circumstellar shells. To support this, we first compare in Fig. 14 (top panel) the absolute light curve of the LSQ13zm 2013a event with those of proposed SN impostors, and with the 2012a event of SN 2009ip. All these transients show very similar absolute peak magnitudes, which are relatively faint for SNe (but see Fig. 14, bottom panel, and Section 6.2), and frequently observed in non-terminal eruptions of massive stars (see e.g. Smith et al. 2011b, and references therein). What triggers these eruptions is still debated. One possibility is that ‘PPI’ in very massive stars may produce some of these impostors.

During a PPI event, the production of electron–positron pairs due to high temperatures leads to a reduction of the radiative pressure inside the core followed by a partial collapse and runaway thermonuclear explosions. However, these explosive events have not necessarily sufficient energy to unbind the entire star causing the disruption of the progenitor, but can provide a sufficient amount of energy to trigger violent ejections of several solar masses of the envelope. If the remaining core mass is high enough, subsequent eruptions may happen, and collisions of shells expelled at different times would eventually lead to a dramatic increase in the luminosity of the transient. The conversion of kinetic energy into radiation can be extremely efficient, providing an energy output up to  $10^{50}$  erg, much greater than that measured even in CCSNe. For this reason,

PPI is one of the mechanisms proposed to explain the major eruption of LBVs (Woosley et al. 2007).

In a detailed spectroscopic analysis, Pastorello et al. (2013) compared the spectra of SN 2009ip collected during the erratic variability in 2009–2011, and during the 2012a episode with those of the SN impostor NGC 3432-LBV1 (aka 2000ch; Wagner et al. 2004; Pastorello et al. 2010a), very likely an hyper-active LBV. In their paper, Pastorello et al. showed that high-velocity ejecta can be observed also during major eruptions of LBVs, with broad wings extending up to  $9000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . As the erratic variability observed in SN 2009ip from 2009 to 2011 closely resemble that still experienced by NGC 3432-LBV1, one of the main conclusions of Pastorello et al. (2013) was that the major re-brightening of SN 2009ip (called 2012b) was the consequence of a PPI event, in which the gas expelled in a major outburst (possibly the event 2012a) collided with pre-existing CSM, likely collected during the previous erratic variability phase of the massive LBV precursor. The consequence was that SN 2009ip did not undergo core-collapse during the 2012b event, but was the result of collisions among shells expelled at different times. Multiple repeated outbursts, similar to those observed in SN impostors and not leading to a terminal SN explosion are a possible scenario, and have already been observed (see e.g. the case of SNHunt248; Kankare et al. 2015; Mauerhan et al. 2015 or SN 1994W; Dessart et al. 2009). According to the above scenario, the 2013a event in LSQ13zm would be a major outburst produced by PPI, while the light curve of the 2013b event would be a large re-brightening powered by the conversion of kinetic energy into radiation. With the above scenario, there would be no need of additional energy input from the decay of radioactive material.

None the less, the PPI plus shell–shell collision does not convincingly explain all the observables of LSQ13zm. First of all, some concerns may derive from energetic consideration. As we do not have any data in the ultraviolet (UV) domain for LSQ13zm, we estimate its quasi-bolometric peak luminosity assuming a UV contribution to the total luminosity similar to that of SN 2009ip. We obtain a peak luminosity for LSQ13zm of  $\gtrsim 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ . Even assuming a 10 per cent of efficiency, the conversion of the kinetic energy of  $1 M_{\odot}$  of gas moving at  $11500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (a crude estimate of the velocity of the ejecta based on our early spectra of LSQ13zm) into radiation would give  $\sim 10^{51}$  erg of total radiated energy, which is a factor  $\sim 10$  larger than the total energy radiated during the 2013b event. We also remark that this value might even be significantly underestimated since, as pointed out by Mauerhan et al. (2014), particular geometrical configurations may lead to a reduction in the ejecta–CSM interacting surface and, hence, a less efficient conversion of kinetic energy into radiation. However, the spectral properties of LSQ13zm, including the presence of very broad features similar to those observed in CCSNe spectra (see Fig. 12 and discussion in Section 4.2), do not favour the PPI mechanism for the 2013a event, favouring an alternative explanation (Section 6.4).

## 6.2 The 2013a event was a faint SN, with 2013b being powered by ejecta–CSM interaction

Another possibility is that we first observed a very weak CCSN followed by the interaction between the ejecta and pre-existing CSM. This scenario was first proposed by Mauerhan et al. (2013a) to explain the chain of events producing the final brightenings of SN 2009ip, and should be re-discussed in the context of LSQ13zm. The faint absolute magnitude of the 2013a event is not a major problem, since weak CCSNe do exist (e.g. Fig. 14, bottom panel).

Weak explosions may result from the CC of moderate-mass (8–10  $M_{\odot}$ ) super-AGB stars producing so-called ‘electron-capture (EC) SNe’ (e.g. Pumo et al. 2009), as well as from the core-collapse of more massive stars (above 25–30  $M_{\odot}$ ) where a large fraction of the progenitor’s mantle falls back on to the nucleus, likely producing a black hole (Heger et al. 2003). In both terminal explosion scenarios, the final outcomes are expected to be faint CCSNe with absolute magnitudes ranging from  $-13$  mag to  $-15$  mag.

Although faint absolute magnitudes at maximum are often arguments used to discriminate SN impostors from genuine SNe (see e.g. Tartaglia et al. 2015), as pointed out by Pastorello et al. (2007b) there are a few classes of genuine SNe characterized by weak peak magnitudes, including the most common CCSNe, i.e. Type II-P events. The faintest member of this class was SN 1999br (Pastorello et al. 2004), reaching an absolute peak magnitude of  $\simeq -14.2$  mag, but also SN 2010id showed a similarly faint magnitude ( $M_R < -14$  mag; Gal-Yam et al. 2011). This evidence was also used by Mauerhan et al. (2013a) and Smith et al. (2014) to state that the weakness of the 2012a event in SN 2009ip was not a strong argument to rule out the CCSN scenario.

Consequently, the photometric analysis alone is not sufficient to rule out either the genuine SN or the SN impostor scenarios for this class of transients (Tartaglia et al. 2015). Therefore, additional clues are needed to discriminate between the different types of explosions. One plausible clue is the width of the broadest line components, that can be associated with the velocities of the fastest moving ejected material. In the spectra of SN 2009ip, the detection of broad H $\alpha$  line components led Mauerhan et al. (2013a) to conclude that it was indeed the final explosion of an LBV progenitor, although this argument has been questioned by other authors (e.g. Pastorello et al. 2013; Margutti et al. 2014; Fraser et al. 2015).

Following Mauerhan et al. (2013a), in Fig. 14 (bottom panel) we compare the absolute light curve of the 2013a event in LSQ13zm with those of a sample of faint SNe, including the Type II-P SN 2005cs (Pastorello et al. 2006), the peculiar Type IIn SN 2008S (Botticella et al. 2009) and SN 2008ha (Valenti et al. 2009). Clearly, the absolute magnitude and the evolution of the light curve of the 2013a event of LSQ13zm are consistent with those of faint SNe. For this reason, assuming that 2013a is a true SN explosion, we then need to provide a coherent interpretation for the 2013b event. As mentioned in the previous section, we consider the possibility that the 2013b re-brightening is powered by the interaction of the SN–ejecta with a dense CSM expelled during past mass-loss episodes.

Collisions between H-rich SN ejecta and circumstellar gas usually produce spectra with a blue continuum and strong Balmer lines in emission, with prominent intermediate-width components (namely with FWHM velocities of a few  $\sim 10^3$  km s $^{-1}$ ). Intermediate-velocity components are typical of the spectra of interacting objects, and arise in the gas interface between the forward and reverse shocks (see Chevalier & Fransson 1994). However, the first two spectra in Fig. 8 are characterized by a blue continuum with narrow H and He I lines in emission, with low FWHM velocities (a few  $10^2$  km s $^{-1}$ ), and no evidence of intermediate components. Narrow lines are generally indicative of a slow-moving, ionized thin shell, that may eventually produce an opaque pseudo-photosphere masking the underlying ejecta–CSM interaction. After the 2013b event peak, the spectra evolve showing very broad features similar to those of canonical non-interacting CCSNe, and likely attributed to SN ejecta. The fact that the spectral signatures can now be directly observed, can be explained with a peculiar geometrical configuration of the CSM (e.g. Mauerhan et al. 2014), or a non-homogeneous clumpy CSM structure. The characteristic boxy absorptions detected in the

H $\alpha$  and He I/Na I $\text{D}$  regions up to  $\sim +44$  d from the 2013b maximum, suggest the presence of a fraction of expelled gas moving at very high velocities (up to 22 000 km s $^{-1}$ ). Adopting a scenario according to which the 2013a event is a faint SN followed by ejecta–CSM interaction (2013b), the very high expansion velocities would be measured at over 90 d after the explosion. Such high velocities have never been observed at late SN phases in any CCSN Type. Hence this observed parameter would not favour the SN scenario for the 2013a event of LSQ13zm.

### 6.3 2013a and 2013b events generated by repeated binary interactions and a final merger-burst

A further scenario that could potentially explain the unusual light curve of LSQ13zm was first proposed for SN 2009ip by Soker & Kashi (2013) and Kashi et al. (2013). An overall similarity, in fact, should be remarked between the light curves of SN 2009ip (and – consequently – LSQ13zm) and the binary merger V838 Mon (Brown et al. 2002; Kimeswenger et al. 2002; Munari et al. 2002; Crause et al. 2003; Soker & Tyndea 2003; Kipper et al. 2004; Tyndea 2005, but see Goranskij et al. 2007 for a different interpretation on the progenitor system). According to this scenario, the sequence of outbursts experienced by SN 2009ip before the main 2012b event, is explained with mass transfer in a strongly interacting binary system consisting in an evolved massive ( $M_1 = 60$ – $100 M_{\odot}$ ) star with a lower mass main-sequence companion of  $M_2 = 12$ – $50 M_{\odot}$  lying in an eccentric orbit. A final merger-burst would be responsible for the re-brightening observed during 2012b. A binary merger (e.g. Soker & Tyndea 2006) is a violent event in which two (or more) stars merge, due to direct collision or interaction. As the primary star evolves beyond the main sequence, it expands triggering the merging event, although also orbital angular momentum losses via tidal interaction can lead the binary system to a collision. Extreme cases of mass transfer at very high rates have also been suggested as an alternative mechanism to trigger outbursts or eruptive episodes of evolved massive stars like LBVs (see e.g. Kashi & Soker 2010). The presence of multiple minor peaks in the light curve of SN 2009ip after the 2012b maximum, carefully analysed by Martin et al. (2015), is in fact reminiscent of the secondary luminosity peaks observed during the Giant Eruption of  $\eta$  Car, and attributed to binary interaction at periastron passages (Damineli 1996; Kashi & Soker 2010; Smith & Frew 2011). These bumps, superposed to the main light curve of the 2012b event, were observed with amplitudes of several tenths of magnitude (Martin et al. 2015). Soker & Kashi (2013) and Kashi et al. (2013) focused their discussion, in particular, on one major fluctuation, which occurred at  $+30$  d after the 2013b maximum. In their scenario, this peak is due to interaction of the gas expelled during the merger event, with a low mass shell expelled in a previous binary interaction episode.

As LSQ13zm, SN 2009ip and (more marginally) V838 Mon show similar light curves, the above scenario has to be considered also to explain the photometric properties of LSQ13zm. While erratic variability has been registered for SN 2009ip in the period 2009–2011 (Soker & Kashi 2013), these were not detected in the historical data of LSQ13zm. However, as mentioned in Section 3.1, these detection limits were not deep enough to rule out that outbursts fainter than  $-15$  mag had occurred in the past, including eruptive mass-loss events triggered by binary interaction. On the other hand, luminosity fluctuations similar to those observed during the 2012b event in SN 2009ip are not observed during the 2013b event of LSQ13zm, whose light curve shows a monotonic decline in all bands. For this reason, the binary merger scenario is less plausible

for LSQ13zm, although we admit that our data are not sufficiently well-sampled to definitely rule out this scenario.

#### 6.4 2013a was an eruption, 2013b the SN explosion

The most promising scenario which explains fairly well the double brightening observed in the light curve of LSQ13zm includes an initial outburst similar to those observed in some LBVs (i.e. an SN impostor), followed by the genuine SN explosion a short time later. The photometric similarity of the 2013a event with light curves of SN impostors, viz. 1997bs (Van Dyk et al. 2000) and 2007sv (Tartaglia et al. 2015) has been remarked in Section 6.1 (see also top panel in Fig. 14), and provides support to the non-terminal burst for the first brightening of LSQ13zm. However, we have to remark that the nature of the 1997bs transient was recently questioned by Adams & Kochanek (2015), who proposed it to be a faint SN on the basis of new analysis performed on both space and ground-based data.

SN impostors are believed to be stellar outbursts with light curves similar to those of genuine Type II In SNe, though (on average) with fainter peak luminosities. As discussed in Section 1, their spectra share some similarity with those of Type II In SNe, although they usually display a faster evolution in the continuum temperature, and weak or no evidence of multicomponent line profiles (in particular, very broad line wings). In addition, strong high-velocity  $\alpha$  – or Fe-peak element lines typically identified in the spectra of CCSNe are not comfortably detected in those of SN impostors. Therefore, the spectra and the photometric data together give crucial information to discriminate between SNe and SN impostors.

Since we do not have any direct information on the spectroscopic properties of LSQ13zm during the 2013a event, we have to extrapolate useful information from the available light curve and the spectroscopic features of the 2013b event. If we assume that 2013b was the real SN light curve, 2013a was necessarily a pre-SN outburst. As mentioned above, a conclusive proof for establishing the SN nature of the 2013b brightening would be the detection of  $\alpha$  – or Fe-peak nebular lines in the late spectra. However, the late spectra are contaminated by strong residual features from foreground emission and heavily affected by features produced in the ejecta–CSM interaction. This is a common issue for many interacting transients, since the signatures of the interaction usually dominate the spectral emission for months to years after the explosion, veiling the typical features of the SN ejecta during the nebular phase. Interestingly, from +16 d past-maximum, a broad H $\alpha$  emission component and other shallower spectral features with blueshifted P-Cygni components (cfr. Section 4.1) become prominent, and are interpreted as the first direct evidence of the underlying SN ejecta. The broad component of H $\alpha$  dominates the total line flux until +67 d, when the intermediate component become preponderant over the others. The high photospheric velocities and the ions producing the broad lines in the spectrum of LSQ13zm, are normally observed in the spectra of H-rich CCSNe (see the excellent match of the +16 d spectrum of LSQ13zm with that of SN 2008es in Fig. 12).

As a natural consequence, if the 2013b event of LSQ13zm is the real SN explosion, as suggested by the detection of broad P-Cygni lines in the spectra taken during that episode, then 2013a should be regarded as the last burp of the progenitor. In other words, the 2013a event is a luminous outburst similar to those observed in active LBVs. This conclusion is also supported by the similarity of the light curve of the 2013a event with those of some SN impostors (Fig. 14, top.)

## 7 CONCLUSIONS

We presented our study on the photometric and spectroscopic evolution of the optical transient LSQ13zm, that exploded in the galaxy SDSS J102654.56+195254.8, most likely a BDCG with low mass (8.65 to 8.8  $\log(M/M_{\odot})$ ) and a relatively low global SFR (0.025  $M_{\odot}\text{yr}^{-1}$ ). The transient object experienced two main brightenings: the former – labelled as 2013a – reached an absolute magnitude of  $M_R = -14.87 \pm 0.25$  mag, and the latter – named 2013b – with a peak magnitude of  $M_R = -18.46 \pm 0.21$  mag. The multi-band light curves indicate that LSQ13zm was photometrically similar to the debated SNe 2009ip and 2010mc. In particular, the photometric properties (peak magnitude and colours) of the 2013b event of LSQ13zm are consistent with those of a true SN. On the other hand, the light curve of the 2013a event is strikingly similar to outbursts of massive stars known as SN impostors, including its faint absolute magnitude at peak and the rapid luminosity decline.

The spectroscopic properties of the transient observed during the 2013b episode (namely, the presence of high velocity ejecta with wings up to  $\approx 20\,000$  km  $\text{s}^{-1}$ ), support the terminal SN explosion, most likely occurred during the 2013b event. Hence, the 2013a event is an outburst of a massive star, likely an LBV, which occurred few weeks before the stellar core-collapse. Other considerations on the spectroscopic evolution of LSQ13zm suggest that 2013a is likely the last episode of a sequence of mass-loss events that produced as final outcome the observables of a Type II In SN.

The lack of a clear evidence of synthesized  $\alpha$ - or Fe-peak elements in the spectra of LSQ13zm is a common feature of Type II In SNe and does not constitute a problem for the SN interpretation for LSQ13zm. In fact, the presence of an opaque ionized CSM expelled during the latest stages of the progenitor’s life frequently masks the spectral signatures of the SN ejecta.

Although most observational clues suggest that the 2013b event observed during the LSQ13zm evolution was actually a genuine CCSN explosion, we cannot definitely rule out the alternative scenarios discussed in previous Sections. We finally remark that – although LSQ13zm and SN 2009ip are photometrically very similar – they display subtle spectroscopic differences, suggesting a somewhat different interpretation for their sequence of outbursts.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Based on observations made with: The Cima Ekar 1.82 m Telescopio Copernico of the INAF (Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica) – Astronomical Observatory of Padova, Italy. The Italian Telescopio Nazionale Galileo (TNG) operated on the island of La Palma by the Fundacion Galileo Galilei of the INAF at the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias. The La Silla Quest (LSQ) ESO 1.5 m Schmidt telescope (ESO La Silla, Chile). The Intermediate Palomar Transient Factory (iPTF) 1.2 m Samuel Oschin telescope. The LCOGT 1.0-m telescope at McDonald Observatory (Texas, USA) and the 2.0-m FTN with FLOYDS of the LCOGT network. The Gran Telescopio Canarias (GTC) operated on the island of La Palma at the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias. The Liverpool Telescope operated on the island of La Palma by Liverpool John Moores University at the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias with financial support from the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council. The Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT), operated by the NOT Scientific Association at the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the

Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. The *William Herschel Telescope (WHT)* operated on island of La Palma by the Isaac Newton Group at the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. The 6 m Bolshoi Teleskop Alt-azimutalnyi (BTA) and the 1 m Zeiss-1000 telescope (both located at Mount Pastukhov, Caucasus Mountains, Russia). The 0.6 m Rapid Eye Mount (REM) telescope (ESO La Silla, Chile). The Catalina Real Time Survey (CRTS) Catalina Sky Survey (CSS) 0.7 m Schmidt and Mt Lemmon Survey (MLS) 1.5 m Cassegrain telescopes. The 2.2 m University of Hawaii telescope. The UIS Barber Research Observatory 20 arcsec telescope. The 2.2 m University of Hawaii telescope.

We thank C. Barbieri, G. Naletto, L. Zampieri for the support with the observations of LSQ13zm with ACAM and M. Graham for the LCOGT observations.

AP, SB, NER, AH, LT, GT, and MT are partially supported by the PRIN-INAF 2014 with the project ‘Transient Universe: unveiling new types of stellar explosions with PESSTO’.

NER acknowledges the support from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n. 267251 ‘Astronomy Fellowships in Italy’ (AstroFit).

MS acknowledges support from the Royal Society and EU/FP7-ERC Grant No. [615929].

ST acknowledges support by TRR33, ‘The dark Universe’ of the German Research Foundation.

AGY is supported by the EU/FP7 via ERC grant No. 307260, the Quantum Universe I-Core programme by the Israeli Committee for planning and budgeting and the ISF, by Minerva and ISF grants, by the Weizmann-UK ‘making connections’ program and by Kimmel and ARCHES awards.

AMG acknowledges financial support by the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (MINECO), Grant ESP2013-41268-R.

VPG, EAB, AFV are supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research Grant 14-02-00759.

SF acknowledges support of the Russian Government Program of Competitive Growth of Kazan University.

SS acknowledges support from CONICYT – Chile FONDECYT 3140534, Basal – CATA PFB – 06/2007 and Project IC120009 ‘Millennium Institute of Astrophysics (MAS)’ of Iniciativa Científica Milenio del Ministerio de Economía, Fomento y Turismo. JM acknowledges the National Science Foundation (NSF), Grant 1108890.

REM data were obtained as part of the programme CN2013A-FT-12.

ACAM data were obtained as part of the programme OPT 43.

The CRTS survey is supported by the US National Science Foundation under grants AST-1313422 and AST-1413600.

This research used resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, a DOE Office of Science User Facility supported by the Office of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

A portion of this work was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory under a Research and Technology Development Grant, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Copyright 2015 California Institute of Technology. All Rights Reserved. US Government Support Acknowledged.

LANL participation in iPTF is supported by the US Department of Energy as part of the Laboratory Directed Research and Development program.

Funding for SDSS-III has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Sci-

ence Foundation, and the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science.

The 2MASS project is a collaboration between The University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center (JPL/Caltech). Funding is provided primarily by NASA and the NSF. The University of Massachusetts constructed and maintained the observatory facilities, and operated the survey. All data processing and data product generation is being carried out by IPAC. Survey operations began in Spring 1997 and concluded in Spring 2001.

This research was supported by the Russian Scientific Foundation (grant no. 14-50-00043). IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatory, which is operated by the Associated Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.


## REFERENCES

- Adamo A., 2011, PhD Thesis, University of Stockholm, Faculty of Science, Department of Astronomy
- Adams S. M., Kochanek C. S., 2015, *MNRAS*, 452, 2195
- Aldering G. et al., 2002, *Proc. SPIE*, 4836, 61
- Anderson J. P., Covarrubias R. A., James P. A., Hamuy M., Haberman M., 2010, *MNRAS*, 407, 2660
- Andrews J. E. et al., 2010, *ApJ*, 715, 541
- Barbon R., Benetti S., Cappellaro E., Patat F., Turatto M., Iijima T., 1995, *A&AS*, 110, 513
- Baron E., Hauschildt P. H., Branch D., 1993, *BAAS*, 25, 1348
- Bell E. F., de Jong R. S., 2001, *ApJ*, 550, 212
- Bell E. F., McIntosh D. H., Katz N., Weinberg M. D., 2003, *ApJS*, 149, 289
- Benetti S. et al., 2014, *MNRAS*, 441, 289
- Benitez S. et al., 2013, *The Astron. Telegram*, 4994, 1
- Botticella M. T. et al., 2009, *MNRAS*, 398, 1041
- Brown N. J., Waagen E. O., Scovill C., Nelson P., Oksanen A., Solonen J., Price A., 2002, *IAU Circ.*, 7785, 1
- Brown T. M. et al., 2013, *PASP*, 125, 1031
- Buton C. et al., 2013, *A&A*, 549, A8
- Cairós L. M., Vílchez J. M., González Pérez J. N., Iglesias-Páramo J., Caon N., 2001, *ApJS*, 133, 321
- Cappellaro E., 2014, *SNOOPY: A Package for SN Photometry*, available at <http://sngroup.oapd.inaf.it/snoopy.html>.
- Chevalier R. A., Fransson C., 1994, *ApJ*, 420, 268
- Chonis T. S., Gaskell C. M., 2008, *AJ*, 135, 264
- Chugai N. N., Danziger I. J., 1994, *MNRAS*, 268, 173
- Condon J. J., Cotton W. D., Greisen E. W., Yin Q. F., Perley R. A., Taylor G. B., Broderick J. J., 1998, *AJ*, 115, 1693
- Crause L. A., Lawson W. A., Kilkeny D., van Wyk F., Marang F., Jones A. F., 2003, *MNRAS*, 341, 785
- Damineli A., 1996, *ApJ*, 460, L49
- Dessart L., Hillier D. J., Gezari S., Basa S., Matheson T., 2009, *MNRAS*, 394, 21
- Di Carlo E. et al., 2002, *ApJ*, 573, 144
- Dilday B. et al., 2012, *Science*, 337, 942
- Djorgovski S. G. et al., 2012, in Mihara T., Serino M., eds, *The Catalina Real-Time Transient Survey (CRTS)*. RIKEN, Tokyo, p. 263
- Drake A. J. et al., 2009, *ApJ*, 696, 870
- Drake A. J. et al., 2010, *ApJ*, 718, L127
- Fanelli M. N., O’Connell R. W., Thuan T. X., 1988, *ApJ*, 334, 665
- Fassia A. et al., 2000, *MNRAS*, 318, 1093
- Fassia A. et al., 2001, *MNRAS*, 325, 907

- Filippenko A. V., 1997, *ARA&A*, 35, 309
- Firth R. E. et al., 2015, *MNRAS*, 446, 3895
- Foley R. J., Smith N., Ganeshalingam M., Li W., Chornock R., Filippenko A. V., 2007, *ApJ*, 657, L105
- Foley R. J. et al., 2011, *ApJ*, 732, 32
- Fransson C. et al., 2002, *ApJ*, 572, 350
- Fraser M. et al., 2013a, *MNRAS*, 433, 1312
- Fraser M. et al., 2013b, *ApJ*, 779, L8
- Fraser M. et al., 2015, *MNRAS*, 453, 3886
- Gal-Yam A., 2012, *Science*, 337, 927
- Gal-Yam A., Leonard D. C., 2009, *Nature*, 458, 865
- Gal-Yam A. et al., 2007, *ApJ*, 656, 372
- Gal-Yam A. et al., 2011, *ApJ*, 736, 159
- Georgy C. et al., 2012, *A&A*, 542, A29
- Gezari S. et al., 2009, *ApJ*, 690, 1313
- Goranskij V. et al., 2007, in Corradi R. L. M., Munari U., eds, *ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 363, The Nature of V838 Mon and Its Light Echo*. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 214
- Graham M. L. et al., 2014, *ApJ*, 787, 163
- Groh J. H., Hillier D. J., Damiani A., Whitelock P. A., Marang F., Rossi C., 2009, *ApJ*, 698, 1698
- Groh J. H., Meynet G., Ekström S., 2013, *A&A*, 550, L7
- Guinan E. F., Marshall J., McCook G. P., 1993, *BAAS*, 25, 1349
- Haro G., 1956, *Bol. Obs. Tonantzintla Tacubaya*, 2, 8
- Harutyunyan A. H. et al., 2008, *A&A*, 488, 383
- Heger A., Fryer C. L., Woosley S. E., Langer N., Hartmann D. H., 2003, *ApJ*, 591, 288
- Humphreys R. M., Davidson K., 1994, *PASP*, 106, 1025
- Humphreys R. M. et al., 2012, *ApJ*, 760, 93
- Inserra C. et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 417, 261
- Inserra C. et al., 2012, *MNRAS*, 422, 1122
- Izotov Y. I., Guseva N. G., Fricke K. J., Henkel C., 2015, *MNRAS*, 451, 2251
- Justham S., Podsiadlowski P., Vink J. S., 2014, *ApJ*, 796, 121
- Kankare E. et al., 2015, *A&A*, 581, L4
- Kashi A., Soker N., 2010, *ApJ*, 723, 602
- Kashi A., Soker N., Moskovitz N., 2013, *MNRAS*, 436, 2484
- Kennicutt R. C., Jr, 1998, *ARA&A*, 36, 189
- Kiewe M. et al., 2012, *ApJ*, 744, 10
- Kimeswenger S., Lederle C., Schmeja S., Armsdorfer B., 2002, *MNRAS*, 336, L43
- Kipper T. et al., 2004, *A&A*, 416, 1107
- Kotak R., Vink J. S., 2006, *A&A*, 460, L5
- Kroupa P., 2002, *Science*, 295, 82
- Lamers H. J. G. L. M., Nugis T., 2002, *A&A*, 395, L1
- Law N. M. et al., 2009, *PASP*, 121, 1395
- Levesque E. M., Stringfellow G. S., Ginsburg A. G., Bally J., Keeney B. A., 2014, *AJ*, 147, 23
- Margutti R. et al., 2014, *ApJ*, 780, 21
- Martin J. C., Hamsch F.-J., Margutti R., Tan T. G., Curtis I., Soderberg A., 2015, *AJ*, 149, 9
- Matheson T., Filippenko A. V., Chornock R., Leonard D. C., Li W., 2000, *AJ*, 119, 2303
- Mauerhan J. C. et al., 2013a, *MNRAS*, 430, 1801
- Mauerhan J. C. et al., 2013b, *MNRAS*, 431, 2599
- Mauerhan J. et al., 2014, *MNRAS*, 442, 1166
- Mauerhan J. C. et al., 2015, *MNRAS*, 447, 1922
- Miller A. A. et al., 2009, *ApJ*, 690, 1303
- Moriya T. J., 2015, *ApJ*, 803, L26
- Munari U. et al., 2002, *A&A*, 389, L51
- Ofek E. O. et al., 2010, *ApJ*, 724, 1396
- Ofek E. O. et al., 2013a, *Nature*, 494, 65
- Ofek E. O., Lin L., Kouveliotou C., Younes G., Gööş E., Kasliwal M. M., Cao Y., 2013b, *ApJ*, 768, 47
- Ofek E. O. et al., 2014a, *ApJ*, 788, 154
- Ofek E. O. et al., 2014b, *ApJ*, 789, 104
- Pastorello A. et al., 2004, *MNRAS*, 347, 74
- Pastorello A. et al., 2006, *MNRAS*, 370, 1752
- Pastorello A. et al., 2007a, *Nature*, 447, 829
- Pastorello A. et al., 2007b, *Nature*, 449, 1
- Pastorello A. et al., 2008, *MNRAS*, 389, 131
- Pastorello A. et al., 2009, *MNRAS*, 394, 2266
- Pastorello A. et al., 2010a, *MNRAS*, 408, 181
- Pastorello A. et al., 2010b, *ApJ*, 724, L16
- Pastorello A., Stanishev V., Smartt S. J., Fraser M., Lindborg M., 2011, *Cent. Bur. Electron. Telegrams*, 2851, 2
- Pastorello A. et al., 2013, *ApJ*, 767, 1
- Pastorello A. et al., 2015, *MNRAS*, 449, 1954
- Peng C. Y., Ho L. C., Impey C. D., Rix H.-W., 2010, *AJ*, 139, 2097
- Pilyugin L. S., Contini T., Vílchez J. M., 2004, *A&A*, 423, 427
- Prieto J. L., McMillan R., Bakos G., Grennan D., 2011, *Cent. Bur. Electron. Telegrams*, 2903, 1
- Prieto J. L., Brimacombe J., Drake A. J., Howerton S., 2013, *ApJ*, 763, L27
- Pumo M. L. et al., 2009, *ApJ*, 705, L138
- Rau A. et al., 2009, *PASP*, 121, 1334
- Roming P. W. A. et al., 2012, *ApJ*, 751, 92
- Rosa-González D., Terlevich E., Terlevich R., 2002, *MNRAS*, 332, 283
- Schlafly E. F., Finkbeiner D. P., 2011, *ApJ*, 737, 103
- Schlegel E. M., 1990, *MNRAS*, 244, 269
- Smith N., Arnett W. D., 2014, *ApJ*, 785, 82
- Smith N., Frew D. J., 2011, *MNRAS*, 415, 2009
- Smith N., Owocki S. P., 2006, *ApJ*, 645, L45
- Smith N., Vink J. S., de Koter A., 2004, *ApJ*, 615, 475
- Smith N. et al., 2010, *AJ*, 139, 1451
- Smith N. et al., 2011a, *ApJ*, 732, 63
- Smith N., Li W., Silverman J. M., Ganeshalingam M., Filippenko A. V., 2011b, *MNRAS*, 415, 773
- Smith N., Mauerhan J. C., Kasliwal M. M., Burgasser A. J., 2013, *MNRAS*, 434, 2721
- Smith N., Mauerhan J. C., Prieto J. L., 2014, *MNRAS*, 438, 1191
- Soker N., Kashi A., 2013, *ApJ*, 764, L6
- Soker N., Tylenda R., 2003, *ApJ*, 582, L105
- Soker N., Tylenda R., 2006, *MNRAS*, 373, 733
- Stritzinger M. et al., 2012, *ApJ*, 756, 173
- Taddia F. et al., 2015, *A&A*, 580, A131
- Tartaglia L. et al., 2015, *MNRAS*, 447, 117
- Tominaga N. et al., 2008, *ApJ*, 687, 1208
- Trundle C., Kotak R., Vink J. S., Meikle W. P. S., 2008, *A&A*, 483, L47
- Turatto M., Cappellaro E., Danziger I. J., Benetti S., Gouiffes C., della Valle M., 1993a, *MNRAS*, 262, 128
- Turatto M., Cappellaro E., Benetti S., Danziger I. J., 1993b, *MNRAS*, 265, 471
- Tylenda R., 2005, *A&A*, 436, 1009
- Valenti S. et al., 2009, *Nature*, 459, 674
- Van Dyk S. D. et al., 2000, *PASP*, 112, 1532
- Wagner R. M. et al., 2004, *PASP*, 116, 326
- Wang L., Howell D. A., Höflich P., Wheeler J. C., 2001, *ApJ*, 550, 1030
- Wolf B., 1989, *A&A*, 217, 87
- Woosley S. E., Blinnikov S., Heger A., 2007, *Nature*, 450, 390
- Wright E. L., 2006, *PASP*, 118, 1711
- Yaron O., Gal-Yam A., 2012, *PASP*, 124, 668
- Zwicky F., Herzog E., Wild P., Karpowicz M., Kowal C. T., 1961, *Catalogue of galaxies and of clusters of galaxies, Vol. 1*. California Institute of Technology, Pasadena

## APPENDIX A: [O III] LINES IN THE SPECTRA OF LSQ13ZM

Fig. A1 shows a zoom-in of the  $H\alpha/S\text{II}$  region and of the  $H\beta/[O\text{III}]$  region in the last +579 d two-dimensional spectrum. The narrow  $H\alpha$  component, as well as the  $[O\text{III}]$  doublet, have clearly a broader spatial extension than the SN emission region. We believe that these lines are not related to the SN CSM, but are part of the strong flux-contamination of the host galaxy.



**Figure A1.** Zoom-in of the H $\alpha$  and O III/H $\beta$  regions of the two-dimensional +579 d spectrum.

## APPENDIX B: LIGHT CURVES OF LSQ13ZM

**Table B1.** *griz* light curves. The phases are relative to the 2013b maximum.

Date	MJD	Phase [d]	<i>g</i> (err)	<i>r</i> (err)	<i>i</i> (err)	<i>z</i> (err)	Instrument
20130419	56401.30	−5	17.40(0.01)	17.52(0.01)	17.67(0.01)	−	SNIFS
20130424	56406.38	0	17.11(0.05)	17.22(0.05)	17.35(0.05)	−	SNIFS
20130427	56409.24	3	17.10(0.03)	17.18(0.03)	17.32(0.06)	17.36(0.15)	Spectral Camera
20130428	56410.25	4	17.20(0.02)	17.18(0.03)	17.36(0.06)	17.50(0.09)	Spectral Camera
20130429	56411.24	5	17.17(0.04)	17.20(0.04)	17.36(0.06)	17.54(0.12)	Spectral Camera
20130430	56412.24	6	17.30(0.04)	17.30(0.05)	17.42(0.05)	17.54(0.14)	Spectral Camera
20130502	56414.34	8	−	17.34(0.03)	17.42(0.03)	17.49(0.30)	Spectral Camera
20130503	56415.32	9	17.57(0.03)	17.50(0.04)	17.53(0.03)	17.70(0.19)	Spectral Camera
20130504	56416.27	10	17.68(0.04)	17.56(0.04)	17.62(0.04)	17.66(0.10)	Spectral Camera
20130520	56432.15	26	19.47(0.06)	19.09(0.08)	19.17(0.12)	−	SBIG
20130524	56436.26	30	19.50(0.16)	19.27(0.08)	19.21(0.07)	19.35(0.24)	Spectral Camera
20130527	56439.35	33	>19.4	−	>19.2	−	Spectral Camera
20130529	56441.15	35	19.87(0.17)	19.38(0.08)	−	−	SBIG
20130529	56441.15	35	−	−	>19.1	−	SBIG
20130605	56448.16	42	>19.5	−	−	−	SBIG
20130607	56450.88	45	−	−	>19.4	−	AFOSC
20130607	56450.31	44	−	19.54(0.11)	19.62(0.16)	−	Spectral Camera
20130607	56450.31	44	>19.4	−	−	>19.0	Spectral Camera
20130609	56452.30	46	−	−	>18.7	−	Spectral Camera
20130613	56456.27	50	−	19.88(0.17)	19.74(0.15)	−	Spectral Camera
20130613	56456.27	50	>19.9	−	−	−	Spectral Camera
20130625	56468.88	63	−	−	−	19.78(0.33)	RATCam
20130625	56468.88	63	−	>19.9	>19.2	−	RATCam
20131123	56619.17	213	−	22.20(0.35)	−	−	IO:O
20131125	56621.22	215	−	22.23(0.40)	−	−	IO:O
20131129	56625.21	219	22.54(0.29)	22.22(0.18)	21.18(0.16)	21.57(0.19)	ACAM
20131208	56634.20	228	−	−	21.38(0.61)	−	AFOSC
20131212	56638.09	232	−	−	>20.2	−	AFOSC
20140202	56690.26	284	23.16(0.27)	23.30(0.18)	−	−	OSIRIS
12341234	56688.00	282	−	−	−	23.65(0.40)	OSIRIS
20140205	56693.21	287	−	−	23.59(0.12)	23.79(0.36)	OSIRIS

*Notes.* AFOSC: 1.82 m Telescopio Copernico with AFOSC. SBIG: LCOGT 1.0-m telescope at McDonald Observatory (Texas, USA) equipped with an SBIG camera. Spectral Camera: 2.0-m FSTN + FLOYDS. SNIFS: 2.2 m telescope of the University of Hawaii with SNIFS. IO:O, RATCam: 2 m Liverpool Telescope with IO:O and RATCam. OSIRIS: 10.4 m Gran Telescopio Canarias (GTC) with OSIRIS. ACAM: 4.2 m *William Herschel Telescope (WHT)* with ACAM.

**Table B2.** *JHK* light curves. The phases are relative to the 2013b maximum.

Date	MJD	Phase [d]	<i>J</i> (err)	<i>H</i> (err)	<i>K</i> (err)	Instrument
20130421	56403.99	−2	17.18(0.42)	16.94(0.28)	>15.0	REMIR
20130423	56405.98	0	>16.1	>16.2	>15.0	REMIR
20130425	56407.93	2	16.63(0.07)	16.34(0.07)	16.054(0.32)	NOTCam
20130426	56408.06	2	16.54(0.30)	16.28(0.30)	>15.0	REMIR
20130430	56412.11	6	16.77(0.20)	16.39(0.45)	>15.0	REMIR
20130503	56415.07	9	–	>16.4	>14.6	REMIR
20130504	56416.97	11	17.38(0.38)	16.87(0.29)	>15.6	REMIR
20130506	56418.04	12	17.37(0.47)	>16.9	>15.0	REMIR
20130526	56438.98	33	18.10(0.20)	17.66(0.17)	17.514(0.15)	NOTCam
20130623	56466.90	61	18.91(0.18)	18.51(0.19)	18.233(0.13)	NOTCam
20131224	56650.07	244	19.06(0.20)	18.61(0.27)	18.696(0.25)	NICS

*Notes.* REMIR: 0.6 m Rapid Eye Mount (REM) telescope with REMIR.

NOTCam: 2.56 m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) with NOTCam.

NICS: 3.58 m Telescopio Nazionale Galileo (TNG) with NICS.

**Table B3.** *BVR* light curves starting from the first 2013a event detection. The phases are relative to the 2013b maximum.

Date	MJD	Phase [d]	<i>B</i> (err)	<i>V</i> (err)	<i>R</i> (err)	Instrument
20130318	56369.11	−37	–	–	21.79(0.25)	QUEST
20130318	56369.16	−37	–	–	>20.9	CFH12K
20130319	56370.15	−36	–	–	21.10(0.65)	SI 600-277
20130319	56370.30	−36	–	–	>21.0	CFH12K
20130320	56371.10	−35	–	–	21.64(0.26)	QUEST
20130321	56372.17	−34	–	–	>19.4	CFH12K
20130322	56373.06	−33	–	–	21.64(1.01)	QUEST
20130322	56373.28	−33	–	–	>20.8	CFH12K
20130328	56379.12	−27	–	–	20.99(0.64)	QUEST
20130330	56381.07	−25	–	–	20.74(0.14)	QUEST
20130331	56382.17	−24	–	–	20.65(0.19)	CFH12K
20130401	56383.07	−23	–	–	20.60(0.14)	QUEST
20130402	56384.26	−22	–	–	19.65(0.44)	SI 600-277
20130403	56385.07	−21	–	–	20.89(0.12)	QUEST
20130404	56386.17	−20	–	–	20.98(0.25)	CFH12K
20130405	56387.06	−19	–	–	21.09(0.15)	QUEST
20130405	56387.22	−19	–	–	21.05(0.26)	CFH12K
20130407	56389.05	−17	–	–	21.27(0.16)	QUEST
20130408	56390.29	−16	–	–	19.73(0.10)	CFH12K
20130409	56391.01	−15	–	–	19.09(0.03)	QUEST
20130411	56393.24	−13	–	–	18.34(0.03)	CFH12K
20130412	56394.30	−12	–	–	18.08(0.02)	CFH12K
20130412	56394.99	−11	–	–	18.09(0.01)	QUEST
20130413	56395.08	−11	–	–	18.05(0.01)	QUEST
20130414	56396.21	−10	–	–	17.74(0.02)	CFH12K
20130416	56398.29	−8	–	–	17.52(0.20)	SI 600-277
20130419	56401.30	−5	17.48(0.01)	17.47(0.01)	17.36(0.01)	SNIFS
20130424	56406.26	0	–	–	17.06(0.04)	SI 600-386
20130424	56406.38	0	17.20(0.05)	17.18(0.05)	17.01(0.05)	SNIFS
20130501	56413.77	7	17.56(0.03)	17.38(0.02)	17.21(0.04)	Zeiss-1000
20130502	56414.77	8	–	17.46(0.14)	–	SCORPIO
20130502	56414.78	8	17.67(0.03)	17.45(0.01)	17.27(0.01)	Zeiss-1000
20130503	56415.17	9	–	–	17.38(0.21)	SI 600-277
20130504	56416.20	10	–	–	17.51(0.02)	CFH12K
20130505	56417.21	11	–	–	17.61(0.02)	CFH12K
20130508	56420.13	14	18.32(0.05)	18.01(0.04)	17.83(0.14)	Alta
20130510	56422.76	16	18.42(0.03)	18.08(0.06)	18.00(0.25)	SCORPIO
20130521	56433.20	27	–	–	18.85(0.07)	CFH12K
20130530	56442.11	36	>18.9	–	–	Alta
20130603	56446.18	40	–	–	19.36(0.21)	SI 600-277
20130607	56450.88	45	–	–	>19.3	AFOSC
20130608	56451.79	45	–	20.05(0.05)	19.51(0.21)	SCORPIO

**Table B3** – *continued*

Date	MJD	Phase [d]	$B(\text{err})$	$V(\text{err})$	$R(\text{err})$	Instrument
20130609	56452.30	46	19.74(0.28)	–	–	Spectral Camera
20130610	56453.19	47	–	–	19.39(0.00)	SI 600-277
20130627	56470.87	64	>18.2	–	–	RATCam
20131208	56634.17	228	–	–	>19.9	AFOSC
20131212	56638.06	232	–	–	>20.2	AFOSC
20131218	56644.17	238	>20.4	>19.9	–	IO:O

Notes. AFOSC: 1.82 m Telescopio Copernico with AFOSC.

SNIFS: 2.2 m telescope of the University of Hawaii with SNIFS.

SCORPIO: 6 m Bolshoi Teleskop Alt-azimutalnyi (BTA) with SCORPIO

Zeiss-1000: 1 m Zeiss-1000 telescope.

QUEST: 60 arcsec ESO Schmidt Telescope of the La Silla Quest (LSQ) survey with QUEST.

SI 300-386: 1.5 m Cassegrain reflector of the Mt. Lemmon Survey (MLS) with SI 300-386.

SI 600-277: 0.7 m Schmidt telescope of the Catalina Sky Survey (CSS) with SI 600-277.

CFH12K: 1.2 m Samuel Oschin Telescope of the Intermediate Palomar Transient Factory (iPTF) with CFH12K.

Spectral Camera: LCOGT 2.0-m Faulkes North Telescope.

IO:O: 2 m Liverpool Telescope with IO:O.

Alta: 20 arcsec telescope of the UIS Barber Research Observatory with an Alta U42 CCD Camera.

**Table B4.**  $R$ -band detection limits of LSQ13zm prior to the 2013a event. The transient was not detected at any reported epoch in the table. The phases are relative to the 2013b maximum.

Date	MJD	phase [d]	$R$	Instrument
20040128	53032.26	–3374	>21.2	SI 600-277
20050109	53379.35	–3027	>20.4	SI 600-277
20060104	53739.41	–2667	>20.5	SI 600-277
20070110	54110.46	–2296	>20.9	SI 600-277
20070314	54173.30	–2233	>20.3	SI 600-386
20080111	54476.37	–1930	>20.8	SI 600-277
20080302	54527.40	–1879	>20.1	SI 600-386
20081029	54768.49	–1638	>20.3	SI 600-386
20090102	54833.39	–1573	>21.1	SI 600-277
20090131	54862.31	–1544	>20.5	SI 600-386
20090302	54892.27	–1514	>20.3	SI 600-386
20090517	54968.19	–1438	>20.4	SI 600-386
20090930	55104.53	–1302	>18.7	CFH12K
20091003	55107.52	–1299	>20.1	CFH12K
20091016	55120.52	–1286	>20.9	CFH12K
20091022	55126.49	–1280	>20.9	CFH12K
20091031	55135.51	–1271	>20.8	CFH12K
20091103	55138.46	–1268	>20.2	CFH12K
20091107	55142.45	–1264	>20.6	CFH12K
20091116	55151.44	–1255	>20.9	CFH12K
20091218	55183.35	–1223	>20.7	CFH12K
20091230	55195.50	–1211	>19.7	CFH12K
20100106	55202.47	–1204	>19.9	CFH12K
20100108	55204.53	–1202	>18.9	CFH12K
20100111	55207.48	–1199	>21.4	CFH12K
20100115	55211.55	–1195	>20.6	CFH12K
20100125	55221.23	–1185	>20.8	CFH12K
20100129	55225.29	–1181	>18.3	CFH12K
20100209	55236.26	–1170	>20.6	SI 600-277
20100216	55243.54	–1163	>20.9	CFH12K
20100217	55244.31	–1162	>21.5	CFH12K
20100219	55246.49	–1160	>20.8	CFH12K
20100223	55250.53	–1156	>20.7	CFH12K
20100224	55251.15	–1155	>18.8	CFH12K
20100313	55268.49	–1138	>20.6	CFH12K
20100315	55270.18	–1136	>21.3	CFH12K
20101113	55513.47	–893	>20.8	CFH12K

**Table B4** – *continued*

Date	MJD	Phase [d]	$R$	Instrument
20101114	55514.51	–892	>21.0	CFH12K
20101115	55515.55	–891	>21.0	CFH12K
20101116	55516.46	–890	>21.3	CFH12K
20101117	55517.46	–889	>21.1	CFH12K
20101118	55518.46	–888	>21.6	CFH12K
20101119	55519.48	–887	>19.5	CFH12K
20101130	55530.44	–876	>20.5	CFH12K
20101201	55531.45	–875	>22.0	CFH12K
20101202	55532.53	–874	>21.4	CFH12K
20101205	55535.43	–871	>20.5	CFH12K
20101207	55537.38	–869	>20.9	CFH12K
20101208	55538.42	–868	>21.4	CFH12K
20101209	55539.50	–867	>21.4	CFH12K
20101211	55541.52	–865	>21.2	CFH12K
20101213	55543.53	–863	>21.4	CFH12K
20101231	55561.36	–845	>20.9	CFH12K
20110102	55563.39	–843	>21.4	SI 600-277
20110111	55572.27	–834	>20.7	CFH12K
20110112	55573.39	–833	>21.3	CFH12K
20110113	55574.43	–832	>21.5	CFH12K
20110114	55575.41	–831	>21.4	CFH12K
20110115	55576.43	–830	>20.5	CFH12K
20110116	55577.45	–829	>20.6	CFH12K
20110117	55578.45	–828	>20.8	CFH12K
20110118	55579.46	–827	>20.9	CFH12K
20110119	55580.54	–825.84	>20.4	CFH12K
20110124	55585.37	–821.01	>20.7	CFH12K
20110125	55586.38	–820.00	>21.1	CFH12K
20110126	55587.46	–818.92	>20.8	CFH12K
20110128	55589.26	–817.12	>20.9	CFH12K
20110129	55590.33	–816.05	>21.5	CFH12K
20110130	55591.36	–815.02	>19.5	CFH12K
20110201	55593.43	–812.95	>21.3	CFH12K
20110209	55601.25	–805.13	>20.5	CFH12K
20110211	55603.25	–803.13	>22.1	CFH12K
20110212	55604.26	–802.12	>21.0	CFH12K
20110213	55605.28	–801.10	>21.1	CFH12K
20110214	55606.33	–800.05	>17.9	CFH12K
20110216	55608.26	–798.12	>20.9	CFH12K

Table B4 – continued

Date	MJD	Phase [d]	R	Instrument
20110222	55614.43	-791.95	>20.9	CFH12K
20110315	55635.15	-771.23	>20.9	CFH12K
20110417	55668.25	-738.13	>20.9	CFH12K
20120118	55944.36	-462.02	>21.1	SI 600-277
20120316	56002.29	-404.09	>20.5	SI 600-386
20120407	56024.01	-382.37	>20.7	QUEST
20120409	56026.05	-380.33	>21.3	QUEST
20120507	56055.00	-351.38	>21.6	QUEST
20121223	56284.48	-121.90	>20.2	SI 600-386
20130106	56298.29	-108.09	>20.2	SI 600-277
20130117	56309.46	-96.92	>19.7	SI 600-277
20130201	56324.35	-82.03	>20.9	CFH12K
20130202	56325.26	-81.12	>19.8	SI 600-277
20130204	56327.38	-79.00	>20.9	CFH12K
20130205	56328.27	-78.11	>21.6	CFH12K
20130206	56329.24	-77.14	>19.4	CFH12K
20130206	56329.36	-77.02	>19.8	SI 600-277
20130207	56330.28	-76.10	>21.5	CFH12K
20130301	56352.42	-53.96	>19.7	SI 600-277
20130303	56354.24	-52.14	>19.6	CFH12K
20130304	56355.28	-51.10	>21.3	CFH12K
20130305	56356.26	-50.12	>21.5	CFH12K
20130306	56357.23	-49.15	>21.3	CFH12K
20130311	56362.21	-44.17	>21.3	CFH12K
20130311	56362.31	-44.07	>20.3	SI 600-386
20130312	56363.26	-43.12	>21.5	CFH12K
20130313	56364.22	-42.16	>21.4	CFH12K
20130316	56367.17	-39.21	>21.6	CFH12K
20130317	56368.16	-38.22	>21.1	CFH12K

Notes. SI 600-277: 0.7 m Schmidt telescope of the Catalina Sky Survey (CSS) with SI 600-277

SI 300-386: 1.5 m Cassegrain reflector of the Mt. Lemmon Survey (MLS) with SI 300-386

CFH12K: 1.2 m Samuel Oschin Telescope of the Intermediate Palomar Transient Factory (iPTF) with CFH12K

QUEST: 60" ESO Schmidt Telescope of the La Silla Quest (LSQ) survey with QUEST.

<sup>1</sup>INAF – Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, Vicolo dell'Osservatorio 5, I-35122 Padova, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Università degli Studi di Padova, Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Vicolo dell'Osservatorio 2, I-35122 Padova, Italy

<sup>3</sup>School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK

<sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520-8120, USA

<sup>5</sup>Computational Cosmology Center, Computational Research Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road MS 50B-4206, Berkeley, CA 94611, USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of Astronomy, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3411, USA

<sup>7</sup>Astronomy Department, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

<sup>8</sup>Benozziyo Center for Astrophysics, Faculty of Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel

<sup>9</sup>Special Astrophysical Observatory, Nizhnij Arkhyz 369167, Russia

<sup>10</sup>Kazan Federal University, Kazan 420008, Russia

<sup>11</sup>Sternberg Astronomical Institute, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Universitetskii pr. 13, 119992 Moscow, Russia

<sup>12</sup>Millennium Institute of Astrophysics, Vicuña Mackenna 4860, 7820436 Macul, Santiago, Chile

<sup>13</sup>Instituto de Astrofísica, Facultad de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Vicuña Mackenna, 4860436 Macul, Santiago de Chile, Chile

<sup>14</sup>European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Córdova 3107, Vitacura, Casilla 19001, Santiago 19, Chile

<sup>15</sup>Space Science Institute, 4750 Walnut Street, Suite 205, Boulder, CO 80301, USA

<sup>16</sup>European Southern Observatories, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str., D-85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>17</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 1, D-85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>18</sup>Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope Network, 6740 Cortona Dr., Suite 102, Goleta, CA 93117, USA

<sup>19</sup>Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, Broida Hall, Mail Code 9530, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-9530, USA

<sup>20</sup>Universidad de Atacama, Departamento de Física, Copayapu 485, Copiapo, Chile

<sup>21</sup>Fundación Galileo Galilei – INAF, Telescopio Nazionale Galileo, Rambla JoséAnaFernández Pérez 7, E-38712 Breña Baja, Tenerife, Spain

<sup>22</sup>Tuorla Observatory, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Turku, Väisäläntie 20, FI-21500 Piikkiö, Finland

<sup>23</sup>Astrophysics Research Centre, School of Mathematics and Physics, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, UK

<sup>24</sup>Astronomy/Physics MS HSB 314, One University Plaza Springfield, IL 62730, USA

<sup>25</sup>Finnish Centre for Astronomy with ESO (FINCA), University of Turku, Väisäläntie 20, FI- 21500 Piikkiö, Finland

<sup>26</sup>Institut de Ciències de l'Espai (CSIC – IEEC), Campus UAB, Cam'i de Can Magrans S/N, E-08193 Cerdanyola (Barcelona), Spain

<sup>27</sup>Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Dr, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA

<sup>28</sup>Centro Interdipartimentale Studi e Attività Spaziali (CISAS) – G. Colombo, Università degli Studi di Padova, Via Venezia 15, I-35131 Padova, Italy

<sup>29</sup>Space and Remote Sensing, MS B244, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

This paper has been typeset from a  $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$  file prepared by the author.