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We present the second catalog of flaring gamma-ray sources (2FAV) detected with the Fermi All-sky Variability Analysis (FAVA), a tool that blindly searches for transients over the entire sky observed by the Large Area Telescope (LAT) on board the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope. With respect to the first FAVA catalog, this catalog benefits from a larger data set, the latest LAT data release (Pass 8), as well as from an improved analysis that includes likelihood techniques for a more precise localization of the transients. Applying this analysis to the first 7.4 years of Fermi observations, and in two separate energy bands 0.1–0.8 GeV and 0.8–300 GeV, a total of 4547 flares were detected with significance greater than 6σ (before trials), on the timescale of one week. Through spatial clustering of these flares, 518 variable gamma-ray sources were identified. Based on positional coincidence, likely counterparts have been found for 441 sources, mostly among the blazar class of active galactic nuclei. For 77 2FAV sources, no likely gamma-ray counterpart has been found. For each source in the catalog, we provide the time, location, and spectrum of each flaring episode. Studying the spectra of the flares, we observe a harder-when-brighter behavior for flares associated with blazars, with the exception of BL Lac flares detected in the low-energy band. The photon indexes of the flares are never significantly smaller than 1.5. For a leptonic model, and under the assumption of isotropy, this limit suggests that the spectrum of freshly accelerated electrons is never harder than \( p \sim 2 \).
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### 1. Introduction

The Large Area Telescope (LAT; Atwood et al. 2009) on board the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope observes \( \sim 20\% \) of the sky at any given moment. It spends \( \sim 80\% \) of the time in survey mode, imaging the entire sky roughly every three hours. This, together with its wide energy range, high angular resolution, and low detection threshold, makes the LAT well suited for investigating variable and transient phenomena in the gamma-ray sky.

Various analysis pipelines are maintained by the Fermi-LAT Collaboration to search for and monitor gamma-ray transients. Monthly light curves are reported in all Fermi-LAT catalogs, e.g., in the third Fermi-LAT source catalog (3FGL; Acero et al. 2015). The Monitored Source List (MSSL) provides daily and weekly light curves of the brightest sources and transients found during LAT observations. Variability on timescales of 6 hr to 1 day is monitored by the Fermi Flare Advocate program (Ciprini & Fermi-LAT Collaboration 2012) using quick-look science data products of the Automated Science Processing pipeline (Chiang 2012). Finally, the Fermi All-sky Variability Analysis (FAVA; Ackermann et al. 2013) uses photometric analysis to systematically search for transients over the entire sky. FAVA complements the Flare Advocate variability search: it uses a different technique to detect the transients (the Flare Advocates variability search is based on wavelet decomposition of the all-sky counts maps).

FAVA searches for transient gamma-ray emission by comparing, for every direction in the sky, the number of counts observed in a given time interval to the expected number of counts, as derived from a long-term average. With respect to maximum likelihood analysis methods (see, e.g., Mattox et al. 1996), FAVA has several advantages. It is independent of any model of the diffuse gamma-ray emission. This emission is expected to be constant over timescales comparable to the duration of the Fermi mission. It will therefore cancel out when comparing the observed to the expected counts. FAVA also does not rely on any assumption on the spectrum of the source, and it is sensitive to both positive and negative flux variations alike. FAVA is also less computationally intensive when compared to maximum likelihood analysis. It enables an uninformed search for flux variations over the entire sky in a variety of different energy bands and timescales. FAVA was used to build the first catalog of variable LAT sources (1FAV; Ackermann et al. 2013) and has been used to continuously monitor the sky on weekly time bins, its fully automated analysis complementing the information available to the Fermi Flare Advocates (Ajello et al. 2014; Kocevski et al. 2015).

Prior to this work, the major limitation of FAVA was its poor localization precision, especially at low energies. The 68% containment radius of the LAT point-spread function (PSF) is \( \sim 0.8 \) at 1 GeV and increases with decreasing energy, reaching \( \sim 5\circ \) at 100 MeV. Low-energy flares, as localized by FAVA, are often scattered over the scale of one degree or more, even if coming from the same astrophysical source. To address this issue, FAVA has been upgraded to include an automated follow-up analysis with a maximum likelihood detection and localization method. The localization precision has greatly improved with respect to the previous version of FAVA. With the maximum likelihood analysis, the energy spectrum of each transient is derived in a statistically optimal way, taking into account the contribution of the LAT instrumental response and the spatial distribution of the point-like source.
are is also measured, providing better characterization of the transient source. With respect to the 1FAV catalog, this upgraded FAVA analysis also benefits from the increased sensitivity of the latest LAT data release (Pass 8; Atwood et al. 2013). Here we describe the new version of FAVA, and present a list of 518 flaring gamma-ray sources found by applying it to the first 7.4 years of LAT observations. For each source in this catalog, likely gamma-ray counterparts and detailed information on every flare are provided. The FAVA analysis pipeline described here will also continue to monitor the gamma-ray sky, searching for new transients. The results of this online analysis, as well as photometric aperture light curves for an all-sky grid of coordinates, are made publicly available at NASA’s Fermi Science Support Center.58

2. FAVA Analysis

As for the previous catalog, FAVA uses weekly time bins. Two independent energy bands are used, 0.1–0.8 GeV and 0.8–300 GeV, to enhance the sensitivity to spectrally soft and hard flares, respectively. The data used belong to the P8R2_SOURCE event class with an additional cut on the zenith angle at 95° to limit the contamination from the Earth limb.

The FAVA analysis now consists of two sequential steps. The first one comprises all of the photometric analysis that were used to produce the 1FAV. This step, which we will call the photometric FAVA analysis, is now used to provide a list of seed flares that are further analyzed, in the second step, with likelihood techniques.

The photometric FAVA analysis is described in detail in Ackermann et al. (2013); in this paragraph, we recall its main steps for the convenience of the reader. For every time bin, all-sky maps of the number of observed and expected events are created, with a resolution of 0.25 square degrees (0.5° × 0.5°) per pixel.

The number of expected counts in each pixel and time bin is derived from the total number of events recorded from that pixel during the first four years of the mission, after properly weighting for the different exposures. Four years, the time covered by the 3FGL, is much longer than the one-week duration of the time bins and the statistical uncertainty on the number of expected counts is therefore negligible. This time-averaged emission is then used over the full 7.4 year time span of 2FAV. Both the expected and observed counts maps are smoothed to account for the finite size of the LAT PSF. The smoothing assigns to each pixel all events that are within a distance corresponding to the 68% containment radius of the PSF. As the PSF depends on the energy of the photons and on its incidence angle with respect to the LAT, we integrate over these two parameters. With the numbers of observed and expected counts, the amplitude of flux variations is converted into a probability using Poisson statistics, which is then translated into Gaussian significance for convenience. To enhance the sensitivity for spectrally hard and soft flares, the analysis is performed separately at high and low energies.

For every time bin, FAVA produces all-sky maps of the significance of the observed flux variations in the two energy bands. Examples of these significance maps, as well as of the

58 http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/lat/FAVA/
expected and observed counts maps, are presented in Figure 1. Flares are identified as local maxima, and minima in the case of negative flux variations, in the significance maps. This is accomplished using a peak-finder algorithm (Morháč et al. 2000) that is applied to both the low- and high-energy significance maps. To take advantage of the higher positional precision of the high-energy analysis, low-energy flares are merged to the high-energy ones found in the same week if they are within 3° and if the high-energy flare has a significance greater than 5.5σ. As already found in the 1FAV, the value of 3° gives a good trade-off between the density of flares detected in one week and the positional accuracy of the peak finder.

The time interval used to generate the expected long-term counts maps has a duration of four years and is fixed to the interval used to produce the 3FGL catalog. As the statistical errors on FAVA are dominated by the low counting statistics of the weekly exposures, this choice introduces a comparatively negligible error compared to the choice of a longer integration time for the long-term counts maps. The significance of the flares measured by FAVA is therefore referred to as an excess in flux, above a static 3FGL source population. Other solar flares that occurred on that date (Ajello et al. 2014). If not corrected, this solar flare would increase the number of expected counts around its position, giving rise to spurious negative flares. Other solar flares that occurred in the 3FGL time range have no measurable effect on the long-term counts maps.

The likelihood follow-up analysis is based on the generation of test-statistic (TS) maps using the gttsmap tool from the Fermi Science Tools (v10r01p00, internal to the Fermi-LAT collaboration). The TS represents the logarithm of the ratio of the likelihood evaluated at the best-fit parameters when including a candidate point source with a power-law spectrum (free in index and normalization) to the likelihood under a background-only, null hypothesis. The TS maps are the result of a series of such likelihood ratio tests, performed on a grid of locations in the sky. The TS maps are centered at the flare position measured by the peak-finder algorithm. To limit the amount of computation, the likelihood follow-up is triggered only by flares for which FAVA found a significance greater than 4σ in at least one of the two energy bands. We will refer to this condition simply as the “seed condition.” If the seed condition is satisfied, the TS maps are generated for both energy ranges.

In the low-energy band, the likelihood analysis is binned in 10 logarithmic energy bins between 100 and 800 MeV, and the TS maps have a size of 7 × 7 deg² with a pixel size of 0.15 × 0.15. Unbinned analysis is performed in the high-energy band, and the TS maps have a 3° × 3° size and a pixel size of 0.05 × 0.05. The size and resolution of the TS maps are chosen as a compromise between localization accuracy, tolerance toward the misplaced position of the seed, and the requirement for the likelihood analysis to complete within less than a day. For both energy bands, the model used to derive the TS includes the point sources in the region of interest (15° and 8° in radius at low and high energies, respectively), and the templates for the Galactic and isotropic diffuse emission recommended for the considered event class. The point sources, and their energy spectra used in the model, are taken from the 3FGL. In the fit, the index and normalization of the Galactic diffuse background are free parameters, as is the normalization of the diffuse isotropic emission. All of the point and extended sources are instead fixed to their 3FGL values. The resulting values of TS are therefore referred to an eventual excess in flux, above a static 3FGL source population. Examples of the TS maps are presented in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Examples of the TS maps generated by the automatic likelihood follow-up analysis. The maps are referred to the bright low-energy flare associated with the first periastron passage of the binary system PSR B1259–63/LS 2883 detected by FAVA; see Figures 1 and 6. The values of TS can be read from the color scale. The left panel shows the TS map at low energies, 0.1–0.8 GeV. The right panel shows the TS map at high energies, 0.8–300 GeV. Note the different angular sizes (7° × 7° for the TS map at low energies and 3° × 3° at high energies) and centering of the maps. The dashed line around the maximum marks the 95% CL contour. This flare is soft (Γ = 3), resulting in a much higher detection significance in the low-energy band. The positions and uncertainties of the closest 3FGL sources included in the sky model used for the analysis are also shown as circles (or squares, in the case of extended sources). The black “x” marks the position of PSR B1259–63.
From the TS maps, the position of the flare is measured as the center of the pixel that has the highest TS. The 95% error radius of the flare position, \( r_{95} \), is estimated as the average distance between the maximum of the TS map and its contour at the 95% confidence level (CL), corresponding to a \( \Delta S = 5.99 \). The \( r_{95} \) is not allowed to be smaller than the size of the TS map pixel. The shape of the 95% CL contour and its distance from the border of the map are also analyzed to measure the reliability of the flare localization; see the next section for details. The flux and spectral index of the flare are then measured with an additional likelihood fit (using \texttt{gtlike}). In this fit, the flare is modeled as a point source placed at the position of the maximum TS and whose power-law spectrum is allowed to vary in index and normalization. This analysis step shares the same analysis settings as the TS map generation.

For every flare satisfying the seed condition, there are three different estimators of its position: the merged position from the peak-finder algorithm and the more refined localizations from the TS maps at low and high energies. As the peak-finder algorithm does not provide an error on the peak position, its accuracy can be estimated comparing the flare position to known, bright flaring gamma-ray sources, as described in Ackermann et al. (2013). The resulting average values for the \( r_{95} \) are \( 1.0^\circ \) and \( 0.8^\circ \) for low- and high-energy flares, respectively. For comparison, Figure 3 shows the distribution of \( r_{95} \) from the TS map positions of catalog flares. These distributions peak at \( 0.25^\circ \) at low energies and \( 0.1^\circ \) at high energies, an improvement of a factor of \( \sim 3 \) and \( \sim 8 \), respectively, as compared to the photometric FAVA analysis. These improvements depend on how the peak finder and the likelihood analysis respond to the steep decrease of the PSF radius with increasing energies. Although in general high-energy TS maps provide more accurate flare localization, there are cases when the low-energy analysis provides more accurate positions. The best estimator of the flare position is chosen by comparing the corresponding values of \( r_{95} \).

Besides better positional accuracy, the likelihood analysis follow-up has introduced other advantages. For each flare, a measurement of the uncertainty in the localization is available, facilitating searches for counterparts of the single flares. The likelihood analysis also has better sensitivity. Converting the value of TS into equivalent significance \( S_{\text{TS}} \) (assuming two degrees of freedom) and comparing it with the FAVA significance \( S_{\text{FAVA}} \), we found that, on average, \( S_{\text{TS}} \approx 1.26S_{\text{FAVA}} \) at low energies and \( S_{\text{TS}} \approx 1.1S_{\text{FAVA}} \) at high energies.

### 3. Construction of the Catalog

To build the 2FAV catalog, we apply FAVA to the first 387 weeks of Fermi observations, from Mission Elapsed Time (MET) 239557418 to 473615018, or Modified Julian Date (MJD) from 54682 (2008 August 4) to 57391 (2016 January 4). In this time range, a total of 7106 seed flares were found, roughly 18 per week.

To limit false flares due to statistical fluctuations, strict cuts are applied on the significance of the flares used to construct the catalog. Separate cuts are applied for the different energy bands, and for the likelihood and the photometric FAVA analyses. To be included in the catalog, flares must have:

1. a significance greater than \( 6\sigma \) (or smaller than \(-6\sigma \)) or a TS greater than 39 in a single energy band, or
2. a significance greater than \( 4\sigma \) (or smaller than \(-4\sigma \)) or a TS greater than 18 in both energy bands simultaneously, and a distance between the low- and high-energy flare position smaller than \( 3^\circ \) and \( 1.5^\circ \) for the photometric and TS map positions, respectively. As the two energy bands are independent, this requirement yields a total significance of \( \approx 6\sigma \).

The number of trials is estimated as for 1FAV, counting the number of independent positions on the sky (ratio of \( 4\pi \) to the solid angle of the PSF) and multiplying it by the number of time bins. The expected number of false positives corresponding to this significance threshold is \( \approx 0.001 \) at low energies and \( \approx 0.03 \) at high energies. In each of these three energy ranges (high energy, low energy, and combined), we require the Sun to be more than \( 6^\circ \) away from the position of the flare. Flare information from the likelihood follow-up is not considered if the TS in both energy bands is below 18, or if the 95% CL contour intersects the border of the TS map, or if it is composed of more than one closed path. When the TS map contour has such features, we also discard positive flares detected by the photometric FAVA analysis if the corresponding TS is larger than 18, a situation that can arise from

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy Band</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>FAVA (Positive Flares)</th>
<th>FAVA (Negative Flares)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Energy</td>
<td>1748</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Energy</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>1792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3428</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>967</td>
<td>4547</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** As a single flare can satisfy more than one cut, to construct this table the cuts are made mutually exclusive in order to not count the same flare more than once. A flare is not counted as passing cuts on its photometric FAVA properties if it already satisfies some cut on its likelihood analysis results. For each analysis, flare properties in different energy bands are considered in this order: high energy, low energy, and combined energy range. The last column shows the total number of flares detected in the different energy bands. The bottom row shows the total number of flares passing cuts for TS maps, and for positive and negative photometric FAVA analyses, respectively.

**Figure 3.** Distribution of \( r_{95} \) for the individual 2FAV flares. Red: positions from high-energy analysis; blue: positions from low-energy analysis; hatched black: \( r_{95} \) from the analysis that provides the best flare position. The dotted line marks the division between the better localized flares used in the very first step of the clustering, and the ones added in the second step. See Section 3 for details.
incorrect position of the FAVA flare. The number of flares in the various cut classes is summarized in Table 1.

The sensitivity of the photometric FAVA analysis for a given significance threshold is determined by the maps of expected counts, assuming an average weekly exposure. The sensitivity maps presented in Figure 4 show the minimum flux increase, in a one-week time bin, that corresponds to a FAVA significance greater than 6σ in one of the two FAVA energy bands, or 4σ in both energy bands simultaneously. These maps have been computed assuming a power-law spectrum for the flaring source, and for two reference values of the photon index Γ: 1.5 and 3.5. Most of the 2FAV flares, ∼90% of the ones detected in the low-energy band and ∼96% of the flares detected at high energies, have photon index between these two values (see Section 5). Harder flares (Γ < 2) and softer flares (Γ > 2.5) are more likely to be detected in the high- or low-energy bands, respectively. For intermediate values of Γ, requiring more than 4σ in both energy bands provides better sensitivity. These sensitivity maps do not account for the likelihood follow-up. They provide only an upper limit on the actual sensitivity of the 2FAV analysis. Lower flare fluxes, if bright enough to trigger the likelihood follow-up, could also be detected due to the slightly better sensitivity of the likelihood analysis, which yields roughly 26% and 10% higher significance than the photometric FAVA analysis at low and high energies, respectively. As a first approximation, these values can be used to scale the sensitivity fluxes.

### 3.1. Clustering of the Flares

The sources of the 2FAV catalog are identified through a spatial clustering of the 4547 flares that satisfy the previously discussed cuts. As for the previous catalog, the clustering uses a Minimum Spanning Tree (MST; Nesetril et al. 2001) algorithm. To take full advantage of the increased accuracy of the TS map positions, the clustering is performed in different steps, starting with the best localized flares and gradually including less-precise information.

The catalog flares are divided into three groups, according to the quality of their localization: group A consists of 2471 flares that have TS map position with \( r_{68} \leq 0.2 \), and group B consists of 1087 flares whose positions are also derived from TS maps, but whose \( r_{68} > 0.2 \). Group C consists of the 989 flares that have only photometric FAVA positions, 967 of which are negative. For groups A and B, \( r_{68} \) provides a direct measurement of the error on the flare position. For the clustering, the separation between pairs of flares belonging to these two groups will be measured in units of \( r_{68} \), dividing the angular separation by the combined error on their positions.

As a first step, we build the MST of the flares of group A. Clusters of flares are identified by cutting the graph edges longer than \( 4r_{68} \); neighboring flares are assigned to the same cluster if within \( 4r_{68} \) from one another.\(^\text{60}\) In this first step, 437 clusters are found. Next, we include group-B flares, the ones with worse TS map localization. Among these, 988 flares are assigned to clusters of group-A flares as the flare-cluster distance is smaller than \( 4r_{68} \). The remaining 99 group-B flares are independently clustered, again with a \( 4r_{68} \) cut on the graph edges, yielding 72 more clusters. Finally, group-C flares, the ones with only FAVA

---

\(^{60}\) The corresponding cut on the scaled flare-flare distance, \( d' = d(l, j)[\text{deg}] / \sqrt{\left(\rho_5(l) + \rho_5(j)\right)} \), is \( d' < 2.5 \). Since for a two-dimensional symmetric Gaussian distribution \( \rho_5 \simeq 0.617r_{68} \), this cut corresponds to \( 4r_{68} \).
positions, are added. They are assigned to a pre-existing cluster if within 3\degree5 from it; otherwise, they are independently clustered. In this way, 980 group-C flares are added to pre-existing clusters. The remaining nine group-C flares are widely separated from each other and result in nine additional clusters. Figure 5 presents the positions of the 2FAV sources separated from each other and result in nine additional clusters. Figure 5 shows: yellow circles are flares with the best determined position from the TS maps. Flares with worse TS map positioning are in orange if they have been assigned to a cluster, or cyan otherwise. Flares with only FAVA positions are in magenta if they have been assigned to a cluster, green if they constitute a separate cluster. For all of the flares, the radius of the drawn circles is equal to $r_{95}$.

The 2FAV sources are defined by these flare clusters. As each cluster can contain more than one flare, the positions of the flares in a cluster are combined to estimate the position of the source. The source position is computed as the weighted average of the flare positions, with weights given by $r_{95}^{-2}$. For flares without TS map localization, the values of $r_{95}$ used as weights are taken to be equal to the average values of 0.8 degrees and 1 degree at high and low energies, respectively. The 95\% statistical error radius on the source position is computed as $1/\sqrt{\sum_i r_{95}^{-2}(i)}$. For each cluster, the source position and the associated statistical error are computed taking into account only the flares with the best available localization group, following the hierarchy outlined in the previous paragraph. Additionally, the error on the source position is constrained to be no smaller than the pixel of the finest-resolution map used to derive the positions of the flares in the cluster. Systematic errors on the source position are estimated comparing the positions of the 2FAV sources to those of known flaring gamma-ray sources, resulting in a systematic error $r_{sys} = 0.71$.

**3.2. Association Procedure**

As for the previous catalog, we provide candidate associations for the catalog sources. We look for counterparts of 2FAV sources in the Third (3FGL), the Second (2FGL; Nolan et al. 2012), and the First (1FGL; Abdo et al. 2010) Fermi-LAT Source catalogs, in the First and the updated AGILE Catalogs (1AGL and 1AGLR; Pittori et al. 2009; Verrecchia et al. 2013, respectively), the Third EGRET catalog (SEG; Hartman et al. 1999), the 5th edition of the Roma-BZCAT (5BZ; Massaro et al. 2015), as well as in Astronomer’s Telegrams (ATels) based on Fermi-LAT observations,61 and among LAT-detected gamma-ray bursts (GRBs).62

FAVA sources are associated with the closest counterpart found within the search radius $R_s$ defined as the sum of the 99\% statistical error on the FAVA source position, plus the systematic uncertainty: $R_s = r_{99} + r_{sys}$. This association procedure is based solely on positional coincidence. It does not take into account the temporal or spectral properties of the sources. For this reason, its results have to be interpreted only as likely counterparts, rather than firm associations.

The association procedure is as follows. We search for counterparts of FAVA sources using the Fermi-LAT catalogs. For each of these catalogs, the search is initially restricted to sources that have less than 1\% probability of being constant on monthly timescales.63 The search starts with 3FGL and uses less-recent catalogs in case no counterpart is found. We found 352 and 5 2FAV sources associated with variable sources from the 3FGL and 2FGL, respectively. No 2FAV source has been associated with a variable 1FGL source.

If no variable counterpart is found in any of the LAT source catalogs, we search among new LAT sources announced via ATels and in 5BZ, finding additional counterparts for 16 and 39 sources, respectively. For 2FAV sources that are still unassociated, the search among the LAT catalogs is repeated, this time considering

---

61 The list of ATels used was current as of 2016 May 30. The most recent version is available at http://www.asdc.asi.it/feratel/.
62 http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/observations/types/grbs/lat_grbs/
63 Such sources are selected requiring variability indexes greater than 23.21, 41.64, and 72.44 in 1FGL, 2FGL, and 3FGL respectively, resulting in 241, 458, and 647 variable sources in each of these catalogs.
all of the FGL sources, not only the variable ones. With a much larger sample of possible counterparts, these associations have a higher probability of being spurious. For this reason, the name of the LAT catalog counterpart will be flagged with “*”. With this procedure, we find 12 and 1 non-variable counterparts of 2FAV sources in 3FGL and 2FGL, respectively. Finally, the 1AGLR, 1AQLG, and 3EG catalogs are used, in this order. We found one counterpart for a 2FAV source in 1AGLR and one in 3EG.

Finally, associations with LAT-detected GRBs are tested. To be associated with a GRB, the 2FAV source must have only a single flaring event coincident in time (within the weekly binning) with the time of the GRB. Moreover, the distance between the source and the GRB must be smaller than the combined error on the GRB localization, plus the source search radius. Fourteen FAVA sources have been associated with GRBs. In none of these cases were alternative counterparts found in the other catalogs.

4. The Second FAVA Catalog

The second FAVA catalog is presented in Table 2. 2FAV sources are named 2FAV JHHMM+DD.d, where HHMM expresses the right ascension (J2000) of the source in hours and minutes, and DD.d is the declination in degrees, truncated to the first decimal place. Figure 5 presents the position of the catalog sources and flares. For an all-sky grid of coordinates, we produce photometric aperture light curves of the relative flux variations in both energy bands and make them available online.64 An example of these light curves can be seen in Figure 6.

Out of the 518 sources constituting the catalog, 155 have been detected at low energy only. Negative flares are expected from the most variable sources, as bright and frequent flares can push the long-term average flux above the level of the quiescent emission of the source. Negative flares have been recorded for 35 sources, most of them belonging to the Flat Spectrum Radio Quasar (FSRQ) and BL Lacertae (BL Lac) classes, but also from the Crab pulsar wind nebula (2FAV J0534+21.9) and the high-mass X-ray binary system (HMB) LS I+61 303 (2FAV J0240+61.4).

Within the catalog, there are nine flaring sources localized solely by the photometric FAVA analysis. Each of these sources is associated with a single flaring event. Of the nine flares constituting these sources, four have been detected only at low energies and five have a significance greater than 4σ in both energy bands. For most of these flares, the TS is below the threshold and the 95% CL contour closes on the border of the map. As the disagreement between the two analyses suggests caution with these sources, they are flagged by adding an “i” to the name of their counterpart. For example 2FAV J2350–06.1f.

The results of the association procedure are presented in Table 3. For the source classes, we follow the classifications used in 3FGL, unless otherwise noted. Counterparts have been found for 441 sources, from 13 different classes. Of these, 395 have a likely counterpart belonging to the Active Galactic Nucleus (AGN) class, making up 90% of the associated 2FAV sources. Among these, the most represented class is FSRQs. Among the counterparts of 2FAV sources, 16 (12 from 3FGL, 1 from 3EG, 1 from 1AGLR, and 2 from ATels) are published as unassociated in their respective catalogs. In 3FGL, unassociated sources make up roughly 33% of the whole list, yet they only represent ≲3% of the counterparts of 2FAV sources. Unassociated 3FGL sources, regardless of class, are less likely to be flaring gamma-ray emitters, at least on the weekly timescales.

Among the FAVA sources that have non-blazar counterparts, we find three narrow-line Seyfert 1 galaxies: 2FAV J0948+00.3, 2FAV J0849+51.1, and 2FAV J0324+34.2 associated with PMN J0948+0022 (D’Ammando et al. 2015), SBS 0846+513 (D’Ammando et al. 2012), and 1H 0323+342 (Paliya et al. 2014), respectively, and three radio galaxies: 2FAV J0419+38.2, 2FAV J0319+41.5, and 2FAV J0433+05.4 associated with 3C 111 (Grandi et al. 2012), NGC 1275 (Abdo et al.

64 http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/lat/FAVA/CatalogView_2FAV.php
Figure 6. Weekly light curves from the direction of the pulsar binary system PSR B1259–63/LS 2883 (2FAV J1302–63.7). The top panels show the relative variation of counts, $\Delta N_{\text{rel}} = (N - N^{\text{exp}}) / N^{\text{exp}}$, where $N$ is the number of observed counts in a given time bin and $N^{\text{exp}}$ is the number of expected counts. The bottom panels show the significance that corresponds to these counts variations. Plots on the left refer to low-energy analysis, while the ones on the right refer to high-energy analysis.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Association Classes for the 2FAV Sources</th>
<th>Number of Associated Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source Class</td>
<td>Designator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulsar wind nebula</td>
<td>pwn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulsar</td>
<td>psr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-blazar active galaxy</td>
<td>agn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-mass binary</td>
<td>hmb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio galaxy</td>
<td>rdg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrow-line Seyfert 1</td>
<td>nlsy1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nova</td>
<td>nov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma-ray burst</td>
<td>grb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blazar candidate of uncertain type</td>
<td>bcu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL Lac</td>
<td>bill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSRQ</td>
<td>fsrq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unassociated counterpart</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unassociated</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. The labels of the various classes are the same as the ones used in 3FGL.

Other Galactic 2FAV sources include the Crab Nebula (2FAV J0534+21.9; Abdo et al. 2011; Tavani et al. 2011; Buehler et al. 2012; Mayer et al. 2013) and three gamma-ray-emitting binaries: 2FAV J2032+40.9 associated with Cyg X–3 (Fermi-LAT Collaboration et al. 2009; Bulgarelli et al. 2012), 2FAV J0240+61.4 associated with LS 1+61 303 (Abdo et al. 2009b), and 2FAV J1302–63.7 associated with the pulsar/Be-star binary system PSR B1259–63/LS 2883 (Abdo et al. 2010; Caliandro et al. 2015). The gamma-ray binaries LS 5039 (Abdo et al. 2009c) and 1FGL J1018.6–5856 (Fermi-LAT Collaboration et al. 2012) are not part of the 2FAV catalog (they were not in 1FGL either).

Two FAVA sources are found to be positionally coincident with LAT-detected pulsars when the search for counterparts has been repeated to include non-variable 3FGL sources. 2FAV J1023+00.6 is associated with the millisecond pulsar binary PSR J1023+0038, whose gamma-ray flux increased in 2013 June/July (Stappers et al. 2014), possibly due to the propeller effect (Papitto et al. 2014; Papitto & Torres 2015) or the development of an accretion disk (Takata et al. 2014). The other pulsar that shows gamma-ray flux variability, PSR J2021+4026 (Allafort et al. 2013), is not included in this catalog. Due to the reduced sensitivity of FAVA along the Galactic plane (PSR J2021+4026 is at $b \approx 2^\circ$), the $\approx 20\%$ flux drop observed for this source around MJD 55850 has a significance of $\approx 3.8\sigma$, just below the threshold used in this analysis. 2FAV J1824–13.0 is positionally coincident with the pulsar PSR J1826–1256, for which no evidence of flaring activity in gamma-rays has been found to date. Two low-energy flares have been associated with 2FAV J1824–13.0. However, only in one case was the pulsar the only source contained inside the 95% contour of the TS map. Moreover,

...
during the weekly time bin when the flare occurred, the LAT was performing a long target of opportunity observation of V5668 Sgr 2015 (see Cheung et al. 2016), pointing only 2.3 degrees from the pulsar. As the association of the flaring event with PSR J1826−1256 cannot be established firmly, 2FAV J1824−13.0 is listed as unassociated in this catalog. For future occurrences, prompt follow-up observations to determine eventual correlated variability at other wavelengths will be crucial to establish the source of the outburst.

In the 2FAV catalog, there are six sources that have counterparts in 2FGL. Three of these counterparts are sources that have been “lost” in 3FGL (see Acero et al. 2015, Section 4.2). In the other cases, the 2FGL counterpart of the 2FAV source is also present in 3FGL. The former 2FAV sources are not directly associated with the 3FGL counterpart either because the source has no longer been found variable in 3FGL or because the 3FGL position is outside of the search radius. These details are presented in Table 4.

To evaluate the improvements with respect to 1FAV, we compare the lists of flares detected by the two versions of FAVA in the same time span, the first 47 months of Fermi observations. For this common time interval, the number of detected flares has increased by ∼43% (from 1419 in 1FAV to 2025 in 2FAV), despite the lower significance threshold used in 1FAV (5.5σ). The increase in the number of detected flares is mostly due to the addition of the likelihood analysis and to the inclusion of 4σ flares found simultaneously in both energy bands. The independence of the two energy bands of the 2FAV analysis makes it possible to combine two weak (4σ), spatially coincident, and simultaneous detections in the two energy bands into a more significant event. The effect of the new Pass 8 data release on the photometric analysis is estimated by comparing the significances and the localization accuracy (by means of the source−flare distance) of the flares in the two catalogs. The harmonic mean of the source−flare distance has improved from 0.542 in 1FAV to 0.344 in 2FAV. The high-energy significances of the 2FAV flares are also ∼6% higher than those measured for the same flares in 1FAV. In the low-energy band, no appreciable improvement of the photometric significance has been found. Considering the sources in the two FAVA catalogs, for 15 of the 215 1FAV sources, no 2FAV counterpart is found within the combined search radius. The lower significance threshold used to construct the 1FAV catalog accounts for 10 of these cases. The flares associated with the remaining five 1FAV sources either have a 1FAV significance that is just above 6σ in 1FAV, which could have gone below the threshold in 2FAV, or are spatially coincident with some 2FAV sources (this can happen even if the 1FAV source to which these flares were assigned is not positionally compatible with the 2FAV one). In the second case, the 1FAV flares are not lost in 2FAV and the discrepancies between the two catalogs are due to different assignments in the clustering of flares that moved the 2FAV source away from the 1FAV position. For 77 2FVA sources, no counterpart has been found in the catalogs used for the association search. The spectral properties of the flares of these potentially new sources are presented in Section 5.2. The great majority of these sources are located outside of the Galactic plane, with only two sources with |b| < 5°: 2FVA J1259−65.4 and 2FVA J2010+35.7. A dedicated search for counterparts of these low-latitude sources suggests as a reasonable counterpart candidate for 2FVA J2010+35.7 the compact radio source B2 2008+35 (VERA J20089+3543; Petrov et al. 2007), 4.8 arcmin away from the 2FVA source. No plausible counterpart candidate has been found for 2FVA J1259−65.4.

Our analysis covers a much wider time span than the one used to construct 3FGL. This could, at least partially, explain the relatively large number of potentially new sources found. Twenty-one of these unassociated sources flared solely during the 3FGL period, while for 49 of them, the first measured flare happened after the 3FGL time span. The great majority of the 21 sources that flared only during the 3FGL period flared only once; in only one case (2FAV J0905+01.3) were two flares detected.

5. Flare Spectra

In this section, we study the spectral properties of the flares associated with the 2FAV sources. The high-energy spectra are not corrected to account for absorption on the Extragalactic Background Light (EBL). The farthest associated 2FAV source is 2FAV J0539−28.8 (FSRQ PKS 0537−286) at a redshift z = 3.1. For this redshift, EBL absorption affects the shape of spectra above ≥6 GeV (Ackermann et al. 2012). Spectral measurements in the low-energy band are therefore unaffected. Since the high-energy FAVA band reaches down to 800 MeV, the measure of the spectral slope in this energy band is still dominated by the lowest energies. Even for the farthest source, the EBL is expected to have little effect, and will be neglected.

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2FAV ID</th>
<th>R_s (&quot;)</th>
<th>2FGL Assoc</th>
<th>2FGL Dist (&quot;)</th>
<th>2FGL Var. Index</th>
<th>3FGL Assoc</th>
<th>3FGL Dist (&quot;)</th>
<th>3FGL Var. Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J0709+22.7</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>J0709.0+2236</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>45.65</td>
<td>J0708.9+2239</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>56.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2022+76.2</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>J2022.5+7614</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>57.89</td>
<td>J2022.5+7612</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>66.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0059−56.8</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>J0059.7−5700</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>43.01</td>
<td>J0059.1−5701</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>64.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0910−50.8</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>J0910.4−5050</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>59.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1023+00.6</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>J1023.6+0040</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>15.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2032+40.9</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>J2032.1+4049</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>121.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. The first two columns show the 2FAV name and the search radius used to search for counterparts. The third, fourth, and fifth columns show the name of the 2FGL counterpart, its distance from the 2FAV source, and its variability index. The last three columns show the same information for the candidate 3FGL source with which the 2FGL counterpart is associated. The last rows of the table refer to sources that have been associated with 2FGL sources that are “lost” in 3FGL. We note that to be considered variable at the 99% confidence level, a source must have a variability index greater than 41.64 and 72.44 in 2FGL and 3FGL, respectively (Nolan et al. 2012; Acero et al. 2015).
To account for the instrumental flux limit, we compute photometric sensitivity maps such as those on Figure 4 for a range of photon indexes. For each value of the photon index, the sensitivities at the positions of the 2FAV blazars are read from the corresponding map. The average sensitivity and the spread of its distribution are presented by the magenta and violet boxes show the mean photon index for different bands of 2FAV sources associated with FSRQs and BL Lacs, respectively. The size of the boxes indicates the width of the flux bin (in the y-direction) and the error on the mean photon index (x-direction). The top panels show the distribution of the photon index of the flares in the two respective energy bands.

5.1. Blazars

Figure 7 shows the spectral parameters of low- and high-energy flares from 2FAV sources associated with BL Lacs and FSRQs. We see that BL Lac flares are on average harder than the ones from FSRQs, a difference already observed in the time-averaged spectra for these sources. Above the sensitivity threshold, the mean and standard deviation of the photon index distributions for FSRQs and BL Lac flares are, respectively, 2.19 ± 0.33 and 1.97 ± 0.27 at low energies, and 2.50 ± 0.36 and 2.14 ± 0.32 at high energies. These values are in agreement with the average photon indexes for the entire BL Lac and FSRQ populations found in the Third Fermi-LAT AGN catalog (3LAC; Ackermann et al. 2015). The energy bands of 2FAV differ from the ones used in the 3LAC and 3FGL catalogs. As a consequence, a source-wise comparison between the photon indexes of the flares and those corresponding to the time-integrated emission is not possible.

We measure the variation of the photon index with flux level. The samples of flares above the sensitivity limits are divided into equally populated flux bins (three for FSRQs and two for BL Lacs), and the mean flare photon index is computed for each bin. The difference between the average photon index in the lowest- and highest-flux bin has a significance of 2.4σ for FSRQs and 0.4σ for BL Lacs at low energies, and of 6.2σ for FSRQs and 4.3σ for BL Lacs at high energies. The “harder-when-brighter” behavior, often observed for individual sources (see, e.g., Albert et al. 2007; Aharonian et al. 2009; Britto et al. 2015), is apparent for entire samples of flares, with the exception of low-energy BL Lac flares. In this case, the flare

---

**Figure 7.** Parameters of the low-energy (left) and high-energy (right) spectra for flares of 2FAV sources associated with FSRQs and BL Lacs. Individual flares are plotted in shades of blue. The gray band represents the average sensitivity (not accounting for the likelihood follow-up) computed at the position of the sources (solid black line), plus or minus one standard deviation. The dotted line represents the flux limit, chosen as the average sensitivity plus one standard deviation, for Γ = 3.5 and Γ = 1.5 at low and high energies, respectively; see the text for details. Magenta and violet boxes show the mean photon index for different energy bands. The black line and Figure 7 show the spectral parameters of low- and high-energy bands of 2FAV sources associated with BL Lacs and FSRQs, respectively. The size of the boxes indicates the width of the flux bin (in the y-direction) and the error on the mean photon index (x-direction). The top panels show the distribution of the photon index of the flares in the two respective energy bands.
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**Table 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SED Class</th>
<th>$E \in [0.1, 0.8]$ GeV</th>
<th>$E \in [0.8, 300]$ GeV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Signif. ($\sigma$)</td>
<td>$N_{\text{flares}}$($N_{\text{sources}}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSP</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>901 (107)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISP</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>65 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSP</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** The second and third columns refer to flare spectra measured in the low-energy band, while the last two columns refer to the high-energy band. In the third and fifth columns are the numbers of flares (and sources) that are above the flux limit and contribute to the result. See the text for details.
sample is dominated by three objects\(^{67}\) which together account for \(\approx 60\%\) of the 82 flares in the sample. Of these three sources, only for 2FAV J0238+16.6 does the spectra of its flares show a significant hardening for higher fluxes.

According to the position of the synchrotron peak in the Spectral Energy Distribution (SED), blazars can be classified into low-synchrotron-peaked (LSP; for sources with \(\nu_{\text{peak}} < 10^{14}\) Hz), intermediate-synchrotron-peaked (ISP; \(10^{14}\) Hz < \(\nu_{\text{peak}} < 10^{15}\) Hz), and high-synchrotron-peaked (HSP; \(\nu_{\text{peak}} > 10^{15}\) Hz). Using 3LAC, we find SED classifications for 349 2FAV sources: 295 LSP, 46 ISP, and 19 HSP. We use the method described above (with three flux bins for LSP and two for ISP and HSP) to measure the “harder-when-brighter” behavior for the different SED classes. The results are summarized in Table 5. A significant effect is measured only for high-energy flares of LSP blazars.

Above the sensitivity threshold, no flare with photon index harder than \(\sim 1.5\) is detected. This is consistent with what is already known about the time-averaged spectra of blazars (see, e.g., Dermer & Giebels 2016). For photon indexes <2, the SED is rising. In leptonic models, this implies that the electron—photon interaction is likely to happen in the Thomson regime. Under the assumption of isotropic electron and seed photon

---

\(^{67}\) 2FAV J2202+42.2 (BL Lacertae), 2FAV J0238+16.6 (AO 0235+164), and 2FAV J0428–37.9 (PKS 0426–380).
fields, we have $\Gamma = (p + 1)/2$ (Rybicki & Lightman 1979), where $p$ is the power-law index of the electron spectrum $dN/dE$. The observed limit $\Gamma \gtrsim 1.5$ implies $p \gtrsim 2$. This is compatible with both shock and low-magnetization magnetic acceleration of particles (for reviews, see Blandford & Eichler 1987; Malkov & Drury 2001; Kagan et al. 2015; Sironi et al. 2015).

5.2. Non-blazar Sources

The distribution of spectral parameters for the flares of all of the other 2FAV sources is presented in Figures 8 and 9. Interestingly, low-energy flares associated with the Crab Nebula and the three binary systems Cyg X–3, LS I+61 303, and PSR B1259–63/LS 2883 populate a different region of the parameter space than the one occupied by the rest of the sources (see the lower-left panel of Figure 8). This could hint at differences in the emission mechanism responsible for the flares. In the case of the Crab Nebula for example, magnetic reconnection is preferred over shock acceleration (Bühler & Blandford 2014).

In both energy bands, the flares from sources associated with active galaxies of non-blazar type (agn) are harder than those from blazars. The medians of the photon index distributions for agn flares are 1.7 and 2.1, at low and high energies, respectively. The medians of the photon index for the entire blazar flare sample are 2.1 and 2.4 in the low- and high-energy bands, respectively. Flares from sources associated with radio galaxies (rdg) have a median low-energy photon index of 2.0,
similar to that of blazars, but are harder in the high-energy band (with a median of 2.2). Flares associated with narrow-line Seyfert 1 galaxies (nlsy1) also appear less curved: with a median photon index of 2.2, they are softer than those of blazars in the low-energy band, but have a compatible median (2.4) at high energies.

As visible in the bottom-left panel of Figure 9, some high-energy flares of unassociated sources are faint and hard. Their flux in the $0.8–300$ GeV band is less than $1 \times 10^{-8}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, and their photon index is smaller than 2. Most of these flares are included in the catalog because they have been detected with TS $> 18$ simultaneously in the two energy bands. The test statistic of the high-energy detection is TS $\sim 20$ on average. The statistical errors of the spectral measurement are therefore large. The high-energy photon indexes of these flares are compatible with $\Gamma = 1.5$ within less than 1.5σ in all cases.

6. Outlook and Conclusions

FAVA analysis has been upgraded with the addition of an automated likelihood follow-up analysis for flares above 4σ. This has improved the flare localization accuracy by a factor of 3 and 8, at low and high energy respectively. Making use of the Pass 8 data and IRFs, FAVA has been applied to the search for transient and variable emission on weekly timescales over the first 7.4 years of the Fermi-LAT mission.

A total of 4547 flares have been detected with significance above 6σ. Clustering the positions of these flares, 518 flaring gamma-ray sources have been found. For each of these sources, the catalog provides possible counterparts and a detailed list of the gamma-ray flares associated with the source. These results, as well as the photometric aperture light curves for an all-sky grid of coordinates, are made available online.68 For 77 2FAV sources, no counterparts have been found in the catalogs used for the association procedure.

A harder-when-brighter behavior has been observed in the spectra of the collective sample of FSRQ flares and for the high-energy flares from BL Lacs. No flare with a spectrum significantly harder than $\Gamma \leq 1.5$ has been detected. Under the assumption of a leptonic model with isotropic particle distribution, this implies that the number energy spectrum of the freshly accelerated electrons is never harder than $\sim 2$. This is compatible with shock acceleration models and magnetic reconnection scenarios.

To maintain compatibility with 1FAV, we applied FAVA on weekly time bins. However, FAVA can be used to monitor short-term flares down to timescales of a few hours, as well as longer timescales of a few months, covering variability at timescales that are not currently being monitored by the LAT Collaboration. Future plans include running FAVA for shorter timescales and making the results publicly available. With its all-sky view, and fast and robust analysis running online, FAVA could provide rapid alerts to the community. The online tool, in particular, will allow users who study variable sources at other wavelengths to quickly search the Fermi data for correlated variability.
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68 http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/lat/FAVA/CatalogView_2FAV.php
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