



Publication Year	2017
Acceptance in OA@INAF	2020-09-09T14:00:43Z
Title	Thermal and energetic processing of astrophysical ice analogues rich in SO ₂
Authors	Palumbo, R.; Huchová, Z.; Boduch, Ph.; Domaracka, A.; PALUMBO, R.; Rothard, H.; et al.
DOI	10.1051/0004-6361/201730711
Handle	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/27252
Journal	ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS
Number	604

Thermal and energetic processing of astrophysical ice analogues rich in SO₂

Z. Kaňuchová^{1,3}, Ph. Boduch², A. Domaracka², M. E. Palumbo³, H. Rothard², and G. Strazzulla³

¹ Astronomical Institute of Slovak Academy of Sciences, 05960 Tatranská Lomnica, Slovakia
e-mail: pipovci@gmail.com

² Centre de Recherche sur les Ions, les Matériaux et la Photonique, Normandie Univ, ENSICAEN, UNICAEN, CEA, CNRS, CIMAP, 14000 Caen, France

³ INAF–Osservatorio Astrofisico di Catania, via Santa Sofia 78, 95123 Catania, Italy

Received 28 February 2017 / Accepted 8 June 2017

ABSTRACT

Context. Sulfur is an abundant element in the cosmos and it is thus an important contributor to astrochemistry in the interstellar medium and in the solar system. Astronomical observations of the gas and of the solid phases in the dense interstellar/circumstellar regions have evidenced that sulfur is underabundant. The hypothesis to explain such a circumstance is that it is incorporated in some species in the solid phase (i.e. as frozen gases and/or refractory solids) and/or in the gas phase, which for different reasons have not been observed so far.

Aims. Here we wish to give a contribution to the field by studying the chemistry induced by thermal and energetic processing of frozen mixtures of sulfur dioxide (one of the most abundant sulfur-bearing molecules observed so far) and water.

Methods. We present the results of a series of laboratory experiments concerning thermal processing of different H₂O:SO₂ mixtures and ion bombardment (30 keV He⁺) of the same mixtures. We used in situ Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy to investigate the induced effects.

Results. The results indicate that ionic species such as HSO₃⁻, HSO₄⁻, and S₂O₃²⁻ are easily produced. Energetic processing also produces SO₃ polymers and a sulfurous refractory residue.

Conclusions. The produced ionic species exhibit spectral features in a region that, in astronomical spectra of dense molecular clouds, is dominated by strong silicate absorption. However, such a dominant feature is associated with some spectral features, some of which have not yet been identified. We suggest adding the sulfur-bearing ionic species to the list of candidates to help explain some of those features. In addition, we suggest that once expelled in the gas phase by sublimation, due to the temperature increase, and/or by non-thermal erosion those species would constitute a class of molecular ions not detected so far. We also suggest that molecular sulfur-bearing ions could be present on the surfaces and/or in the atmospheres of several objects in the solar system, for example icy satellites of the giant planets and comets.

Key words. astrochemistry – methods: laboratory: molecular – techniques: spectroscopic – ISM: molecules – dust, extinction

1. Introduction

Atomic sulfur is an abundant element in the cosmos. Its abundance relative to hydrogen is about 1.32×10^{-5} (Asplund et al. 2009) and is thus an important contributor to chemical evolution in the galaxies and in the solar system. However, many astronomical observations (both of the gas and of the solid phases) in the dense interstellar medium (ISM) and in star forming regions have evidenced that sulfur is underabundant, i.e. the sum of sulfur atoms locked in the sulfur-bearing molecules detected so far only accounts for a fraction of its cosmic abundance.

As an example, Tieftrunk et al. (1994) summed up the abundances of SO, CS, SO₂, and H₂S, which are the most abundant S-bearing molecules observed in the gas phase both in low- and high-density molecular clouds. They concluded that these molecules only account for a fraction of the sulfur abundance in the cosmos, of the order of 10^{-3} . In the solid phase, only OCS (Palumbo et al. 1995, 1997; Ferrante et al. 2008) and SO₂ (Boogert et al. 1997) have been detected so far in icy grain mantles toward high-mass protostars. Their estimated abundances are low, however, and can account for only about 0.5%, and 0.8–4.0%, respectively, of the total sulfur abundance (Boogert et al. 1997; Palumbo et al. 1997).

Thus, the problem of the missing sulfur is a hot question in astrochemistry. It is obvious to postulate that sulfur is incorporated in some species – either in the solid phase and/or in the gas phase – which for different reasons have not yet been observed. It is important to note that this lack concerns the dense interstellar medium only. In diffuse clouds the amount of gas phase sulfur fully accounts for the total sulfur abundance and rules out the possibility of its depletion on refractory interstellar grains (see e.g. Sofia et al. 1994).

In this context some studies have been performed to try to understand which species, although not yet observed in the solid phase, could be present, and then, once expelled to the gas phase by thermal or non-thermal processes, could be searched for in the gas phase. It is believed that the desorption of grain mantle species into the gas phase, for example after warming by a protostar or sputtering by energetic cosmic ions, gives an important contribution to the gas phase composition (Modica & Palumbo 2010; Palumbo et al. 2008). As outlined by Martín-Doménech et al. (2016) it seems plausible that an important fraction of the observed S-bearing gas phase species is released from grains because molecules such as H₂S, SO₂, OCS, SO, H₂CS, HCS⁺, and NS have abundances that cannot

be explained with gas-phase-only chemical models (Doty et al. 2004; Viti et al. 2004; Wakelam et al. 2011; Woods et al. 2015).

A molecule that has been considered in particular detail is H₂S. This is a very important point because as soon as the medium recondenses (toward the formation of molecular clouds), the high abundance of hydrogen easily produces hydrogenated solid species such as H₂O, CH₄, and NH₃ which are, indeed, well observed. It is then puzzling that this is not the case for H₂S (Garrod et al. 2007; Vidal et al. 2017). At present it is not clear whether this is due to observational difficulties. The next generation of instruments, namely the *James Webb* Space Telescope (JWST) could clarify the question. In the meantime, a number of laboratory experiments simulating the energetic processing of icy mantles on grains in the ISM have demonstrated that energetic processing of solid H₂S by ions and photons (UV, X-rays) produce sulfur-sulfur bonds (H₂S₂ and HS₂ are easily formed) and also a refractory polymer-like residue (Grim & Greenberg 1987; Moore et al. 2007; Garozzo et al. 2010; Jiménez-Escobar et al. 2012, 2014).

It has been suggested that part of the missing sulfur could be in solid unvolatile refractory grains (Garozzo et al. 2010; Jiménez-Escobar & Muñoz Caro 2011) or it could be released in the gas phase as H₂S₂, HS₂, S₂ (Jiménez-Escobar & Muñoz Caro 2011), or CS₂ (formed when mixtures of H₂S and CO are irradiated in the laboratory, Garozzo et al. 2010). This would also imply that hydrogen sulfide is not detected since it is easily transformed into different species.

These findings stimulated recent efforts to observe H₂S₂, HS₂, and S₂ in the gas phase toward the low-mass warm core IRAS 16293-2422 (Martín-Doménech et al. 2016). Estimated upper limit abundances of these molecules are up to two orders of magnitude lower than the H₂S abundance in the source. This possibly indicates that gas-phase chemistry after their desorption from the icy mantles efficiently destroys those species.

With the aim of contributing to the field, we present here the results of a series of experiments conducted at the laboratories of the Centre de recherche sur les Ions, les MATériaux et la Photonique (CIMAP)-Grand Accélérateur National d'Ions Lourds (GANIL) in Caen (France) and at the Laboratorio di Astrofisica Sperimentale (LAsP) in Catania (Italy). The experiments conducted at CIMAP-GANIL concern the thermal processing of different mixtures H₂O:SO₂ and the implantation of multicharged sulfur ions in water ice; the experiments conducted in Catania are relative to ion bombardment (30 keV He⁺) of the same mixed species. The results indicate that ionic species such as HSO₃⁻, HSO₄⁻, and S₂O₃²⁻ are produced by the three processes (thermal, S-implantation in pure water ice, and ion bombardment) and we suggest that they have to be searched for in the inter- and circumstellar regions where they could contribute to the inventory of the missing sulfur atoms.

Our experiments are also relevant to some objects in the solar system, namely Jupiter's Galilean satellites (see e.g. Dalton et al. 2010). In particular, frozen SO₂ is the dominant species at the surface of Io, and it was also observed in cometary comae (see e.g. Crovisier & Bockelée-Morvan 1999). Our results are therefore discussed also in the light of their relevance for these objects.

2. Experimental procedure

The experiments conducted at CIMAP-GANIL concern the thermal processing of different mixtures of H₂O:SO₂. The frozen samples were prepared by condensing opportune mixtures of water and sulfur dioxide gases on a CsI window at 16 K. A fine

valve allowed the deposition rate to be controlled. A nozzle was used to transmit the gas into the high vacuum chamber and onto the cold CsI substrate installed in the centre of the chamber on a cold finger connected to a closed-cycle helium cryostat. The pressure in the high vacuum chamber was below 10⁻⁷ mbar. The temperature of the substrate was controlled by a carbon resistance and a compound linear thermal sensor (CLTS) situated on the holder, providing a precision of 0.1 K. After deposition the samples were heated up at a rate of about 1 K/min and IR spectra taken at the chosen temperatures in the spectral range 5000–600 cm⁻¹ (2–16.7 μm) with a resolution of 1 cm⁻¹. To this end, a Nicolet Magna 550 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometer (FTIR) was used. The spectra are taken in transmittance, at normal incidence, and were corrected by a background spectrum recorded before deposition (for more details on the experimental set up, see Ding et al. 2013).

In the experiments conducted in Catania, H₂O:SO₂ (1:2) mixtures were accreted onto a cold (16 K) silicon substrate in a vacuum chamber ($P < 10^{-7}$ mbar). Infrared transmittance spectra (resolution of 1 cm⁻¹) were obtained, before and after 30 keV He⁺ ion bombardment, by a Bruker Equinox 55 FTIR spectrometer. Ion beams were produced by an ion implanter (Danfysik 1080-200) and irradiated the sample on a spot greater than the area probed by the infrared beam (for more details on the experimental set up, see Strazzulla et al. 2001; Allodi et al. 2013). As usual in this kind of experiment the molecular ratio of the irradiated mixture is different from that expected in space, which is often dominated by water ice. This is due to the experimental need for a sufficient number of mother molecules to produce the daughter species.

It is important to note that the stoichiometry of a deposited mixture can be evaluated only approximately. In all of the studied mixtures (i.e. at CIMAP-GANIL and in Catania) we evaluated the column density of the deposited species (H₂O and SO₂) from infrared spectroscopy. The results significantly differ from the nominal gas mixtures that we prepared before accretion onto the cold finger. This is due to the different thermodynamic properties of the deposited species.

The IR bands of a given molecule were used to measure the column density N in units of molecules cm⁻² through the formula

$$N = \frac{\int \tau(\nu) d\nu}{A}, \quad (1)$$

where $\tau(\nu)$ is the optical depth (which is 2.3 times the absorbance plotted in the figures) at wavenumber ν (cm⁻¹) and A is the band strength (cm molecule⁻¹).

The used band strength values are given in Table 1 together with band peak positions and assignment. The band strength values are valid for pure species, and using them to evaluate the column density of each molecule in a mixture introduces a large error that can be as high as 50%.


3. Results

3.1. Energetic processing

As an example of the results obtained after ion irradiation of frozen mixtures of water or sulfur dioxide, in Fig. 1 we show the spectra of a deposited (16 K) H₂O:SO₂ (1:2) ice mixture that had a thickness ~0.53 μm, roughly half of the penetration depth of the incoming 30 keV He⁺ ions calculated by the Stopping and Range of Ions in Matter (SRIM) software (Ziegler et al. 2008). Also shown is the spectrum obtained after irradiation with

Table 1. Peak positions, vibration modes, molecule assignments, and strength of the bands used to calculate the column density of the deposited H₂O and SO₂ mixtures.

Peak position cm ⁻¹	Vibration μm	Assignment	Band strength × 10 ⁻¹⁷ cm mol ⁻¹	Ref.
1149	8.703	ν ₁	0.22	Garozzo et al. (2008)
1335	7.491	ν ₃	1.47	Garozzo et al. (2008)
1660	6.024	ν ₂	1.2	Gerakines et al. (1995)
3280	3.045	ν ₁ , ν ₃	14	Hagen et al. (1981)

**Fig. 1.** Infrared transmittance spectra of H₂O:SO₂ = 1:2 ice mixture as deposited at $T = 16$ K and after 30 keV He⁺ ion irradiation (the given fluence corresponds to a deposited dose of 12.3 eV/16 u). We note that the broad band around 1200 cm⁻¹ is due to more than one species, including SO₃ sulfur polymers (see Table 2).

3.5×10^{14} 30 keV He⁺/cm². By using the stopping power of the incoming ions as calculated by the SRIM software (Ziegler et al. 2008) we find that the fluence corresponds to a deposited energy (dose) of 12.3 eV/16 u (for details see Strazzulla et al. 2001).

From Fig. 1 it can be easily seen that the intensity of the two SO₂ bands centred at 1325 cm⁻¹ and 1150 cm⁻¹ diminishes after irradiation. More precisely, the column density of sulfur dioxide decreases from about 5×10^{17} molecules cm⁻² to about 3×10^{17} molecules cm⁻². A fraction of SO₂ molecules (and of H₂O as well) was used to build up new species. In fact, several new bands appeared in the spectrum after ion irradiation (see also Fig. 2). We can observe the formation of the SO₃ polymeric chains testified by the presence of the broad band centred at 1200 cm⁻¹ (Moore et al. 2007). Features of sulfate SO₄²⁻ and bisulfate HSO₄⁻ ions are observed, as are features of the counter-ion H₃O⁺. The results are consistent with the previous findings of Moore et al. (2007) who observed the same bands after 800 keV proton irradiation of H₂O:SO₂ = 3:1 and 30:1 mixtures (at $T = 86$ K, $T = 110$ K, and $T = 132$ K). The peak position of the bands of newly formed sulfur-bearing species observed in the present experiments and in those available in the literature (Moore et al. 2007) are listed in Table 2.

The experiments described so far are relative to ions whose penetration depth is greater than the thickness of the irradiated layers, as usually occurs with ice mantles on dust grains in inter- and circumstellar environments irradiated by cosmic ions. There are, however, many instances in which the thickness of the irradiated icy layers is much greater than the penetration depth of the ions that remain implanted in the target. This is the case of most

of the icy objects in the solar system (e.g. satellites of the giant planets, comets, Pluto). Implanted ions – if they are reactive, like carbon and sulfur ions – have the chance to form molecular species that include the projectile (see e.g. Strazzulla 2011; Ding et al. 2013; Lv et al. 2013).

Relevant to this paper are the results obtained by Ding et al. (2013) concerning the implantation of S^{*q*} ($q = 7, 9, 11$) ions at an energy range between 35 and 176 keV in water ice at 80 K and aimed at simulating the complexity of the irradiation environment to which the surface of icy satellites of the giant planets, particularly Europa, are exposed being embedded in the planetary magnetospheres. The experiments, performed at the low-energy ion beam facility ARIBE of GANIL in Caen (France), indicate that implantation produces hydrated sulfuric acid with yields that increase with ion energy. The identification was due to the appearance, in the IR spectra of implanted targets, of a broad feature characterized by three maxima around 1135 cm⁻¹, 1105 cm⁻¹, and 1070 cm⁻¹ (see the spectrum in the upper panel of Fig. 2). Following Loeffler et al. (2011) the three observed peaks were assigned to H₂SO₄, to HSO₄⁻ in monohydrate, and to SO₄²⁻ in tetrahydrate.

3.2. Thermal processing

Three samples of icy mixtures with the H₂O:SO₂ concentration ratios 1:10, 1:1, and 3:1 were deposited at 16 K and then warmed step by step up to $T = 160$ K. A blank experiment of pure SO₂ was also performed. Infrared spectra were taken at low temperature and at various steps during the heating of the samples. Spectra taken at 120 K are plotted in the bottom panel of Fig. 2. Moore et al. (2007), guided by the works of Zhang & Ewing (2002) and Fink & Sill (1982), suggest that the peaks at 1035 and 1011 cm⁻¹ are probably due to the bisulfite ion HSO₃⁻ and either one of its reaction products or an isomer. Another absorbance peak present at around 956 cm⁻¹ (see Fig. 2) is attributed to S₂O₅²⁻, *meta*-bisulfite (Pichler et al. 1997; Moore et al. 2007). Positions of observed absorption peaks were measured (see Fig. 3) and compared with the position of absorption bands identified in the similar heating experiments of H₂O:SO₂ icy mixtures performed by Moore et al. (2007) and later by Loeffler et al. (2010) on different ratios of the same mixture. Our finding for 1:1 and 3:1 mixtures are in excellent agreement with the results of Moore et al. (2007). However, in the experiment of Moore et al. (2007) with the mixture 30:1 (i.e. with the lowest SO₂ concentration), the absorption feature of the bisulfite ion is located at about 1070–1060 cm⁻¹. We do not observe a peak in this region at low T for a mixture 1:10 (i.e. with the highest SO₂ concentration), but only in the spectra taken at high temperature (120 K and above). This could be explained as being due to the sublimation of SO₂ and to the drop in its initial high concentration (see Fig. 4).




Fig. 2. Comparison of the results obtained by three different kinds of ice processing: thermal processing of different H₂O:SO₂ mixtures, ion bombardment (30 keV He⁺) of the same mixed species, and implantation of S⁷⁺ ions in water ice.

Because we do not know the band strengths of the newly formed bands, we are not able to measure the column density of the species. However, assuming that the band strength values do not depend on the temperature, we can deduce the fate of sulfur dioxide and sulfite ions in the mixtures by measuring the band areas with increasing temperature. The band areas of all relevant features and the relative area of the SO₂ band at 1149 cm⁻¹ were measured and they are plotted in Fig. 4. For the newly formed bands, we in fact measured a small initial value for the band areas (in agreement with the finding by Moore et al. 2007 and Loeffler et al. 2010) that we attribute to the thermal reactions induced by the water latent heat of condensation of water ice. When pure SO₂ ice is heated, its sublimation occurs at 120 K as evidenced by a drop in the relative absorption band area (Fig. 4). When SO₂ ice is mixed with water ice, the area of the 1149 cm⁻¹ band decreases well before 120 K. Together with the decrease in the SO₂ band area, the sulfite absorption feature (1035–1065 cm⁻¹) grows with the temperature up to 120 K, after which it is lost as the samples are further warmed. Thus, before it sublimates, about 50% of the sulfur dioxide was used by thermal reactions with H₂O for the formation of sulfur-bearing ionic species. At temperatures ≥120 K, the sublimation of SO₂ takes place and the newly formed species follow the same fate. The rate (efficiency) of the formation of ionic species slightly depends on the relative concentrations of the two ices in the mixture as shown in the two bottom panels of Fig. 4. We also notice that because of a higher number of water molecules surrounding the SO₂ molecules, some of these latter are trapped and sublimate at higher temperatures, as is commonly observed for many other icy mixtures (e.g. Collings et al. 2004).




Fig. 3. Peak positions of bisulfite ions (HSO₃⁻) in different H₂O:SO₂ ice mixtures during thermal processing.




Fig. 4. Variations of the relative SO₂ band area (*top panel*) and band areas of sulfite and sulfate ions in different H₂O:SO₂ ice mixtures during thermal processing.

3.3. Energetic vs. thermal processing

The spectra of H₂O:SO₂ mixtures processed thermally and by ion bombardment, and the spectrum of pure water ice after S⁷⁺ implantation are plotted together in Fig. 2 for comparison. The overview of the bands associated with these molecules for all analysed experiments is given in Table 2. We note that sulfate and bisulfate ions are the result of radiolytic processes, while bisulfite and meta-bisulfite are produced by thermal processing. This finding can be reciprocally confirmed and explained by the comparison with the results of non-radiolytic, thermally driven


Table 2. Overview of the position and assignment of the peaks identified in the spectra of various processed mixtures.

Peak position cm ⁻¹	Assignment	Process/Ice	Ref.
611	SO ₄ ²⁻	H ⁺ → H ₂ O:SO ₂ (3:1; 30:1)	Moore et al. (2007)
891	???	He ⁺ → H ₂ O:SO ₂ (1:2)	this work
950	S ₂ O ₅ ²⁻	thermal, H ₂ O:SO ₂ (1:1)	this work
956	S ₂ O ₅ ²⁻	thermal, H ₂ O:SO ₂ (3:1; 30:1)	Moore et al. (2007)
982	SO ₄ ²⁻	H ⁺ → H ₂ O:SO ₂ (3:1)	Moore et al. (2007)
1005–1011	HSO ₃ ⁻	thermal, H ₂ O:SO ₂ (3:1; 1:10)	Moore et al. (2007); this work
1035–1037	HSO ₃ ⁻	thermal, H ₂ O:SO ₂ (3:1; 1:1; 1:10)	Moore et al. (2007); this work
1044	HSO ₃ ⁻ , HSO ₄ ⁻	He ⁺ → H ₂ O:SO ₂ (1:2)	this work
1052	HSO ₄ ⁻	H ⁺ → H ₂ O:SO ₂ (3:1; 30:1)	Moore et al. (2007)
1060–1065	HSO ₃ ⁻	thermal, H ₂ O:SO ₂ (1:10)	this work
1070	SO ₄ ²⁻	implantation, S ⁷⁺ → H ₂ O	Ding et al. (2013)
1105	HSO ₄ ⁻	implantation, S ⁷⁺ → H ₂ O	Ding et al. (2013)
1110	SO ₄ ²⁻	H ⁺ → H ₂ O:SO ₂ (3:1; 30:1)	Moore et al. (2007)
1120	SO ₄ ²⁻ ?	He ⁺ → H ₂ O:SO ₂ (1:2)	this work
1135	H ₂ SO ₄	implantation, S ⁷⁺ → H ₂ O	Ding et al. (2013)
1200	poly SO ₃	He ⁺ → H ₂ O:SO ₂ (1:2)	this work
1235	HSO ₃ ⁻ , HSO ₄ ⁻	H ⁺ → H ₂ O:SO ₂ (3:1; 30:1)	Moore et al. (2007)

experiments (Loeffler et al. 2013, 2016). Loeffler et al. (2013) performed experiments by heating frozen H₂O:SO₂:H₂O₂ mixtures, the last component being the main product of water ice radiolysis. They found that sulfate ions are produced when H₂O₂ is present, in contrast to what happens after thermal processing of the binary mixture H₂O:SO₂. Thus, for the binary mixture we have



By adding H₂O₂ (product of radiolysis) this is rapidly followed by



It is relevant to mention that Loeffler et al. (2013) used these results as a possible explanation for some of the observations related to the presence and distribution of hydrogen peroxide across Europa's surface and of its lack on Ganymede and Callisto.

Similarly Loeffler et al. (2016) studied the thermal processing of the solid mixture H₂O:SO₂:O₃. They demonstrated that thermally driven reactions in solid phase occur below 150 K, and the main sulfur-bearing species is bisulfate. They also suggested that SO₂ and O₃ on the surface of the icy Jovian satellites will efficiently react making detection of these molecules in the same vicinity unlikely.

4. Discussion

4.1. Protostellar regions

As already said, the only sulfur-bearing molecules observed in the solid phase toward high-mass protostars are OCS (Palumbo et al. 1995, 1997) and SO₂ (Boogert et al. 1997), but they have low abundances and can account only for a minor amount of the elemental sulfur. Nevertheless, the presence of SO₂ in the icy mantles in protostellar regions has stimulated the experiments presented here, aimed at investigating which additional sulfur-bearing species are formed after energetic and/or thermal processing of sulfur dioxide mixed with water ice. Our finding that

ionic species such as HSO₃⁻, HSO₄⁻, and S₂O₅²⁻ are produced raises two questions: (1) are they observed/observable in the interstellar medium in the solid phase and/or in the gas phase after they are desorbed from the icy mantles because of thermal and/or non-thermal mechanisms? and (2) can they give a significant contribution to the inventory of sulfur species?

In order to find an answer to the question of the presence of the ionic sulfur-bearing species in the solid phase, we have given a look at the literature and made a comparison between our experimental results and the observations by Lacy et al. (1998) relative to the infrared spectra of four embedded protostars in the 750–1230 cm⁻¹ range. This spectral region is dominated by the very intense silicate band that complicates the detection of possible further contributing species. However, Lacy et al. (1998) were able to detect, for NGC 7538 IRS9, a band at 1110 cm⁻¹ that they attributed to frozen ammonia in a polar water-rich interstellar ice, and several others near 785, 820, 900, 1030, and 1075 cm⁻¹ that were unidentified. Later, the band at 1030 cm⁻¹ was confirmed by ISO observations and attributed to frozen methanol (Gibb et al. 2004). Unfortunately, a fitting procedure between astronomical and laboratory spectra is not feasible in the present case. In fact, the profile of the observed features, which overlap with the dominant silicate band, cannot be well defined. In addition, the relative intensities of the possibly observed features cannot be reproduced by a single laboratory spectrum. Each one should be treated as a single feature, but this would introduce an indetermination that is too strong. Therefore, here we can only outline that the sulfur species which we identify in laboratory spectra are in a spectral region where there are several unidentified bands whose peak positions are coincident or very close to those measured in the laboratory. Future astronomical observations (e.g. by the JWST) could help to clarify their attribution. In particular, it would be interesting to observe MonR2-IRS3 (or similar sources), a source warm enough to have caused the sublimation of the most volatile species and retained the less volatile ones (Schutte & Khanna 2003).

The lack of an appreciable amount of SO₂ in the observed spectra gives some insight into the chemical pathway that drives the formation of sulfur-bearing species on icy mantles. It is in

fact thought that sulfur atoms accreting on grains are mostly hydrogenated and should produce H_2S ; however, this is not observed. As suggested by [Garozzo et al. \(2010\)](#) this could be due to energetic processing by cosmic ions that in presence of oxygen and carbon bearing species (e.g. CO) easily converts hydrogenated sulfur into other species, including SO_2 . However, the further ion processing of SO_2 in the presence of oxygen and carbon bearing species reduces the amount of SO_2 in favour of other species such as those investigated here. In addition, the lack of SO_2 after sulfur implantation into water ice has already been evidenced by [Ding et al. \(2013\)](#). Those authors outlined that SO_2 is formed by the addition of S to O_2 (and/or HO_2), but it is easily converted to hydrated sulfuric acid via



In other words, atomic sulfur that hits a grain surface is efficiently converted by energetic and thermal processes to more complex sulfur-bearing species rather than being accumulated as sulfur dioxide. In this scenario, we can suggest that these species contribute to the inventory of sulfur-bearing species, but at present it is not possible to establish whether their abundance can significantly contribute to solving the question of the missing sulfur because we are not able, due to the lack of suitable band strength values and the paucity of observational evidences, to measure the column density of these species in the solid phase. In addition, these ionic species and the fragments of SO_3 polymers have not yet been observed in the gas phase after their sublimation when the temperature increases, for example in the regions nearer to the forming star. We hope that the results presented here stimulate the search for these species in the gas phase in opportune environments by using the ALMA facility, for example. Adequate laboratory studies on the relevant spectral line parameters of the sulfur-bearing species are also necessary.

4.2. Solar system objects

The experimental results presented here are relevant to a number of objects in the solar system where sulfur dioxide has been observed or is presumed to be present. These objects include Jupiter's Galilean satellites Io, Europa, Ganymede, and Callisto. Being embedded in the Jovian magnetosphere, they are exposed to the complex flux of low- (plasma) and high-energy electron and ion bombardment ([Dalton et al. 2010](#)).

Io's surface is in fact dominated by sulfur dioxide, which is expelled from the very intense volcanic activity triggered by tidal effects ([Peale et al. 1979](#)). Although it is thought that Io has lost nearly all of its hydrogen ([Zolotov & Fegley 1999](#)), the detection of hydrogen pickup ions by *Galileo*'s plasma analyser ([Frank & Paterson 1999](#)) in the space surrounding the satellite raised the question regarding its origin. A first suggestion was hydrogen sulfide ([Nash & Howell 1989](#)). However, the abundance of this compound is very low (with an upper limit of 10^{-4}) with respect to SO_2 ([Schmitt & Rodriguez 2003](#)). The next candidates as hydrogen bearing species are then water ice and/or hydrate materials whose absorption bands around 3150 cm^{-1} were tentatively observed ([Salama et al. 1984](#); [Carlson et al. 1997](#)). It is, however, also possible that the detected flux of hydrogen ions comes from the Jovian magnetosphere and not from the satellite.

In this scenario, and assuming that hydrogen bearing species are present on Io's surface along with the certain presence of intense fluxes of energetic ions and electrons, radiolytic products are likely to be present. The most abundant should be dehydrated species such as the fragments of the elemental sulfur residue formed after ion bombardment of pure sulfur dioxide

([Gomis & Strazzulla 2008](#)). The sulfur residue could be responsible of the observed red slope in the near-infrared/visible spectral region of Io's spectra and of the molecular fragments S_4 and S_8 (see e.g. Fig. 4 in [Dalton et al. 2010](#)). Much less abundant (and difficult to observe) are the hydrated ions that we have synthesized in the experiments described here; nevertheless, they merit further investigation.

The present experiments are of primary relevance to the remaining three water ice dominated Galilean satellites and for the other icy satellites orbiting Jupiter, Saturn (e.g. Enceladus), and Uranus. As already mentioned above, [Ding et al. \(2013\)](#) have demonstrated experimentally that magnetospheric sulfur ions implanted in Europa's surface produce hydrated sulfuric acid. The production rate is high enough to explain the quantity of hydrated sulfuric acid on the surface of Europa inferred to be present by modelling the near infrared ($2 \mu\text{m}$) water ice band ([Dalton et al. 2013](#)) as observed by *Galileo* the Near-Infrared Mapping Spectrometer (NIMS). However, the bands due to hydrated sulfuric acid in the laboratory spectra of sulfur-implanted water ice targets appear in the 1100 cm^{-1} region (see Fig. 2 and Table 2). Such a spectral region has been investigated by instruments on board Voyager and Cassini and will be investigated by instruments on board the *James Webb* Space Telescope (JWST). The data collected by flyby and orbiter missions is incomplete and/or collected under imperfect illumination conditions (e.g. high phase angles). Therefore, the contribution of JWST will be relevant and we suggest that a particular effort should be made to identify the hydrated sulfuric acid features, particularly on Europa which exhibits surface regions exposed to very intense fluxes of energetic sulfur ions ([Dalton et al. 2010](#)). Sulfur-bearing ions should also be searched for in the exospheres of the icy satellites where they could be expelled by thermal and non-thermal processes.

Our experiments are also relevant to comets and to all of the small objects in the outer solar system (trans-Neptunian objects). Several sulfur-bearing species have already been observed in different families of comets (see e.g. [Crovisier & Bockelée-Morvan 1999](#); [Crovisier 2006](#)).

The question of the type and abundance of sulfur-bearing species was revised by [Calmonte et al. \(2016\)](#) based on the results obtained by the Rosetta Orbiter Spectrometer for Ion and Neutral Analysis/Double Focusing Mass Spectrometer in the coma of comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko. Those authors measured the abundances of the species that were previously known to be present on comets, namely H_2S , OCS, SO, S_2 , SO_2 , and CS_2 . [Calmonte et al. \(2016\)](#) detected S_3 , S_4 , CH_3SH , and $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6\text{S}$ for the first time, and they concluded that the derived total elemental sulfur abundance of 67P does not show any sulfur depletion. In addition, those authors presented results indicating that sulfur-bearing species have been processed by radiolysis in the pre-solar cloud and that at least some of the ice from this cloud has survived in comets up to the present. This conclusion is in fact based on experimental results that show how ion irradiation of sulfur-bearing species produce a solid unvolatile sulfur-rich residue ([Gomis & Strazzulla 2008](#); [Woods et al. 2015](#)) and also molecules originally not present such as CS_2 (formed when mixtures H_2S and CO are irradiated in the laboratory, [Garozzo et al. 2010](#)) and the ionic species discussed here that we suggest should be searched for.

5. Conclusion

In this paper we have described the results of a series of experiments concerning thermal and energetic processing of SO_2 ices

mixed with water ice. The results indicate that ionic species such as HSO₃⁻, HSO₄⁻, and S₂O₅²⁻ are formed. Ion bombardment also produces SO₃ polymers and a sulfur-rich refractory residue. The results have been discussed in view of their potential relevance to the debate on the missing sulfur in the interstellar and circumstellar regions, and to the chemical evolution of the surfaces of icy objects in the solar system. The results can be summarized as follows:

- We suggest that sulfur-bearing ionic species could be synthesized on interstellar icy grain mantles by energetic or thermal processes. These species and the fragments of SO₃ polymers sublimate when the temperature increases, for example in the regions close to the forming star. This finding should stimulate theoretical, observational, and experimental researches. It is in fact important to theoretically investigate the contribution of the ionic species expelled in the gas phase to the chemistry of those regions. At the same time experimental efforts to measure the rotational spectra of these molecules are necessary in order to allow the observers to identify them through astronomical observations.
- Hydrated sulfuric acid formed after sulfur ion implantation in water ice produces alterations of the shape of its 2 μm band as already observed (Dalton et al. 2010). It also gives origin to a multi-peaked band in the mid-IR spectral region (Ding et al. 2013), which should be searched for in the spectra of water-dominated solid surfaces. Sulfur-bearing ionic species should be searched for in the gas phase after being released from the surface by thermal and/or non-thermal processes.
- On Io it is possible that non-hydrated sulfur-bearing species have already been observed. Only a small amount of hydrated sulfur-bearing species is predicted to be present on Io's surface, if any.
- The inventory of sulfur-bearing molecules recently implemented by the Rosetta finding (Calmonte et al. 2016) supports evidence for the occurrence of radiolysis. If so, that inventory would be even more implemented including the species discussed here.

Considering the ensemble of the results presented here, and in agreement with recent findings by the NASA-Goddard group (e.g. Loeffler et al. 2016) the present work suggests that the combined effect of thermal and radiolytic processes plays a fundamental role in driving the chemical evolution of sulfur-bearing ices.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the Italian Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca through the grant Progetti Premiali 2012-iALMA (CUP C52I13000140001). G.S. was supported by the Italian Space Agency (ASI 2013-056 JUICE Partecipazione Italiana alla fase A/B1) and by the European COST Action CM1401-Our Astrochemical History. Z.K. was supported by VEGA – The Slovak Agency for Science, Grant No. 2/0032/14. This work was also supported by COST Action TD1308 – ORIGINS.

References

Allodi, M. A., Baragiola, R. A., Baratta, G. A., et al. 2013, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **180**, 101
 Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., & Scott, P. 2009, *ARA&A*, **47**, 481
 Boogert, A. C. A., Schutte, W. A., Helmich, F. P., Tielens, A. G. G. M., & Wooden, D. H. 1997, *A&A*, **317**, 929

Calmonte, U., Altwegg, K., Balsiger, H., et al. 2016, *MNRAS* **462**, S253
 Carlson, R. W., Smythe, W. D., Lopes-Gautier, R. M. C., et al. 1997, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **24**, 2479
 Collings, M. P., Anderson, M. A., Chen, et al. 2004, *MNRAS* **354**, 1133
 Crovisier, J. 2006, *Mol. Phys.*, **104**, 2737
 Crovisier, J., & Bockelée-Morvan, D. 1999, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **90**, 19
 Dalton, J. B., Cruikshank, D. P., Stephan, K., et al. 2010, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **153**, 113
 Dalton, J. B., Cassidy, T., Paranicas, C., et al. 2013, *Planet. Space Sci.*, **77**, 45
 Ding, J. J., Boduch, P., Domaracka, A., et al. 2013, *Icarus*, **226**, 860
 Doty, S. D., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Tan, J. 2004, *BAAS*, **36**, 1505
 Ferrante, R. F., Moore, M. H., Spiliotis, M. M., & Hudson, R. L. 2008, *ApJ*, **684**, 1210
 Fink, U., & Sill, G. T. 1982, *The infrared spectral properties of frozen volatiles*, ed. L. Wilkening (Tucson: Univ. of Arizona Press), 164
 Frank, L. A., & Paterson, W. R. 1999, *JRG*, **104**, 28657
 Garrod, R. T., Wakelam, V., & Herbst, E. 2007, *A&A*, **467**, 1103
 Garozzo, M., Fulvio, D., Gomis, O., Palumbo, M. E., & Strazzulla, G. 2008, *Planet. Space Sci.*, **56**, 1300
 Garozzo, M., Fulvio, D., Kaňuchová, Z., Palumbo, M. E., & Strazzulla, G. 2010, *A&A*, **509**, A67
 Gerakines, P. A., Schutte, W. A., Greenberg, J. M., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 1995, *A&A*, **296**, 810
 Gibb, E. L., Whittet, D. C. B., Boogert, A. C. A., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 2004, *ApJS*, **151**, 35
 Gomis, O., & Strazzulla, G. 2008, *Icarus* **194**, 146
 Grim, R. J. A., & Greenberg, J. M. 1987, *A&A*, **181**, 155
 Hagen, W., Tielens, A. G. G. M., & Greenberg, J. M. 1981, *Chem. Phys.*, **56**, 367
 Jiménez-Escobar A., & Muñoz Caro, G. M. 2011, *A&A*, **536**, A91
 Jiménez-Escobar A., Muñoz Caro, G. M., Cicarelli, A., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **751**, 343
 Jiménez-Escobar A., Muñoz Caro, G. M., & Chen, Y.-J. 2014, *MNRAS*, **443**, 343
 Lacy, J. H., Faraji, H., Sandford, S. A., & Allamandola, L. J. 1998, *ApJ*, **501**, L105
 Loeffler, M. J., & Hudson, R. L. 2010, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **37**, L19201
 Loeffler, M. J., & Hudson, R. L. 2013, *Icarus*, **224**, 257
 Loeffler, M. J., & Hudson, R. L. 2016, *ApJ*, **833**, L9
 Loeffler, M. J., Hudson, R. L., Moore, M. H., & Carlson, R. W. 2011, *Icarus*, **215**, 270
 Lv, X. Y., Boduch, P., Ding, J. J., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, **438**, 922
 Martín-Doménech, R., Jiménez-Serra, I., Muñoz Caro, G. M., et al. 2016, *A&A*, **585**, A112
 Modica, P., & Palumbo, M. E. 2010, *A&A*, **519**, A22
 Moore, M. H., Hudson, R. L., & Carlson, R. W. 2007, *Icarus*, **189**, 409
 Nash, D. B., & Howell, R. R. 1989, *Science*, **244**, 454
 Palumbo, M. E., Tielens, A. G. G. M., & Tokunaga, A. T. 1995, *ApJ*, **449**, 674
 Palumbo, M. E., Geballe, T. R., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 1997, *ApJ*, **479**, 839
 Palumbo, M. E., Leto, P., Siringo, C., & Trigilio, C. 2008, *ApJ*, **685**, 1033
 Peale, S. J., Cassen, P., & Reynolds, R. T. 1979, *Science*, **203**, 892
 Pichler, A., Fleissner, G., Hallbrucker, A., Mayer, E., 1997, *J. Mol. Struct.* **408**, 521
 Salama, F., Allamandola, L. J., Sandford, S. A., et al. 1994, *Icarus*, **107**, 413
 Schmitt, B., & Rodriguez, J. 2003, *JGR*, **108**, 5104
 Schutte, W. A., & Khanna, R. K. 2003, *A&A*, **98**, 1049
 Sofia, U. J., Cardelli, J. A., & Savage, B. D. 1994, *ApJ*, **430**, 650
 Strazzulla, G. 2011, *NIMB*, **269**, 842
 Strazzulla, G., Baratta, G. A., Palumbo, M. E. 2001, *Spectrochim. Acta A*, **57**, 825
 Tiefertunk, A., Pineau des Forets, G., Schilke, P., & Walmsley, C. M. 1994, *A&A*, **289**, 579
 Vidal, T. H. G., Loison, J.-C., Jaziri, Y. A., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, **469**, 435
 Viti, S., Collings, M. P., Dever, J. W., McCoustra, M. R. S., & Williams, D. A. 2004, *MNRAS*, **354**, 1141
 Wakelam, V., Hersant, F., & Herpin, F. 2011, *A&A*, **529**, A112
 Woods, P. M., Occhiogrosso, A., Viti, S., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, **450**, 1256
 Zhang, Z., & Ewing, G. E. 2002, *Spectrochim. Acta*, **58**, 2105
 Ziegler, J. F., Biersack, J. P., & Ziegler, M. D. 2008, *The stopping and range of ions in solids* (New York: Pergamon Press)
 Zolotov, M. J., & Fegley, Jr., B. 1999, *Icarus*, **141**, 40