



Publication Year	2019
Acceptance in OA @INAF	2021-01-05T15:39:22Z
Title	The infrared-luminous progenitors of high-z quasars
Authors	Ginolfi, M.; Schneider, R.; VALIANTE, ROSA; Pezzulli, E.; Graziani, L.; et al.
DOI	10.1093/mnras/sty3205
Handle	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/29497
Journal	MONTHLY NOTICES OF THE ROYAL ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY
Number	483

The infrared-luminous progenitors of high- z quasars

M. Ginolfi^{1,2*}, R. Schneider^{2,3}, R. Valiante¹, E. Pezzulli^{2,3}, L. Graziani²,
S. Fujimoto⁴, and R. Maiolino^{5,6}

¹INAF/Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, Via di Frascati 33, 00040 Monte Porzio Catone, Italy

²Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, I-00185, Roma, Italy

³INFN, Sezione di Roma I, P.le Aldo Moro 2, 00185 Roma, Italy

⁴Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8582, Japan

⁵Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, 19 J.J Thomson Ave., Cambridge CB3 0HE, UK

⁶Kavli Institute for Cosmology, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

Here we explore the infrared (IR) properties of the progenitors of high- z quasar host galaxies. Adopting the cosmological, data constrained semi-analytic model **GA-METE/QSOdust**, we simulate several independent merger histories of a luminous quasar at $z \sim 6$, following black hole growth and baryonic evolution in all its progenitor galaxies. We find that a fraction of progenitor galaxies (about 0.4 objects per single luminous quasar) at $6.5 < z < 8$ has an IR luminosity of $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{13} L_{\odot}$ (hyper-luminous IR galaxies; HyLIRGs). HyLIRGs progenitors reside in the most massive halos, with dark matter (DM) masses of $M_{\text{DM}} \sim 10^{12.5} - 10^{13} M_{\odot}$. These systems can be easily observed in their ~ 1 mm-continuum emission in a few seconds of integration time with the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA), and at least 40% of them host nuclear BH activity that is potentially observable in the soft and hard X-ray band. Our findings are in line with recent observations of exceptional massive DM halos hosting HyLIRGs at $z \sim 7$, suggesting that $z \sim 6$ luminous quasars are indeed the signposts of these observed rare peaks in the high- z cosmic density field, and that massive IR-luminous galaxies at higher z are their natural ancestors.

Key words: Galaxies: evolution, high-redshift; quasars: general, supermassive black holes; infrared: galaxies; submillimetre: galaxies

1 INTRODUCTION

Observations of dusty star-forming galaxies (DSFGs) at high-redshift, often selected at (sub-)millimeter wavelengths (submillimeter galaxies, or SMGs; Smail et al. 1997; Hughes et al. 1998; Chapman et al. 2005; Hayward et al. 2013), can provide unique insights into our understanding of the early formation of massive galaxies (see e.g., Blain et al. 2002; Casey et al. 2014 for reviews). Because of their large dust content, DSFGs emit most of their luminosity at infrared (IR) wavelengths (8 – 1000 μm) and are typically considered ultra-luminous IR galaxies (ULIRGs), reaching IR luminosities (L_{IR}) of $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{12} L_{\odot}$ (Kovács et al. 2006; Coppin et al. 2008; Hayward et al. 2011). Spectroscopic surveys targeting the redshift distributions of SMGs indicate that the bulk of the DSFGs population peaks at $z \sim 2 - 4$ (e.g., Chapman et al. 2005; Strandet et al. 2016), encompassing the peaks of supermassive black hole (SMBH)

accretion (Cattaneo & Bernardi 2003; Hopkins et al. 2007) and cosmic star formation activity (Madau & Dickinson 2014). However, a significant tail of higher redshift DSFGs appears to be already in place at $z > 5$ (e.g., Riechers et al. 2013, 2017; Walter et al. 2012; Weiß et al. 2013; Strandet et al. 2017). The latter are often found to be extreme hyper-luminous IR galaxies (HyLIRGs), reaching infrared luminosities of $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{13} L_{\odot}$ and star formation rates (SFRs) exceeding $1000 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, likely tracing the result of strong dynamical interactions (i.e., major mergers) and intense gas accretion events occurring in the densest regions of the early Universe (e.g., Riechers et al. 2011, 2017; Ivison et al. 2011; Capak et al. 2011; Oteo et al. 2016; Pavesi et al. 2018).

Recently, Marrone et al. (2018) reported high-resolution Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) observations of SPT0311-58, a IR-luminous system at $z = 6.9$, originally identified in the 2500 deg² South Pole Telescope (SPT) survey (Carlstrom et al. 2011). ALMA reveals this source to be a pair of interacting extremely massive and IR-

* E-mail: michele.ginolfi@inaf.it

luminous star-bursting galaxies: SPT0311-58W, a HyLIRG with $L_{\text{IR}} = 3.3 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{13} L_{\odot}$ and a SFR $\sim 2900 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, and SPT0311-58E, a ULIRG with $L_{\text{IR}} = 4.6 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{12} L_{\odot}$ and SFR $\sim 540 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Using different proxies, [Marrone et al. \(2018\)](#) estimated the DM halo mass hosting this system to be $M_{\text{DM}} = (1.4 - 7) \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}$, showing that SPT0311-58 marks a rare peak in the cosmic density field at this early cosmic time and it lies close to the exclusion curve predicted by the current structure formation paradigm.

Many models of SMBH-galaxy co-evolution suggest an evolutionary link between ULIRGs and quasars (quasars; [Sanders et al. 1988a,b](#); [Silk & Rees 1998](#); [Springel et al. 2005](#); [Di Matteo et al. 2005](#); [Hopkins et al. 2006, 2008](#), and [Alexander & Hickox 2012](#) for a review). ULIRGs may represent the initial, heavily obscured, stages of quasars, which, after shedding the surrounding dust through energetic galaxy-scale outflows (e.g., [Maiolino et al. 2012](#); [Harrison et al. 2012](#); [Fan et al. 2018](#)), evolve into a ultraviolet (UV)/optical bright unobscured phase.

In this work, we explore the hierarchical merger histories of $z \sim 6$ quasars to investigate their connection with ULIRGs and HyLIRGs. To this aim, we use **GAMETE/QSOdust** (hereafter **GQd**, [Valiante et al. 2014, 2016](#)), a semi-analytic model tested to reproduce the observed properties of a sample of high- z quasars ([Valiante et al. 2014](#)). **GQd** consistently follows the formation and evolution of nuclear black holes (BHs) and their host galaxies, accounting for star formation, interstellar medium (ISM) chemical evolution (metals and dust) and mechanical feedback, along different merger histories of the parent DM halo. Therefore, **GQd** is a suitable tool to (i) investigate the physical properties of the ancestors at $z \sim 7 - 9$ of luminous quasars at $z \sim 6$; (ii) statistically quantify the number density of expected ULIRG and HyLIRG progenitors, and (iii) probe whether $z \sim 6$ luminous quasars can be the signposts of the rare peaks in the cosmic density field observed at $z \sim 7$ (i.e., [Marrone et al. 2018](#)).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly describe the **GQd** model. Section 3 and 4 show the results of this work and a discussion of their implications. Throughout the paper, we assume a Λ CDM cosmology with $\Omega_{\text{m}} = 0.24$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.76$, $\Omega_{\text{b}} = 0.04$, and $H_0 = 73 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$.

2 MODEL DESCRIPTION

GQd¹ is a semi-analytic, data-constrained model aimed at studying the formation and evolution of high-redshift quasars and their host galaxies in a cosmological framework. In this section we summarize the main features of **GAMETE/QSOdust**, referring the reader to [Valiante et al. \(2011, 2014, 2016\)](#) for a thorough description of the model.

GQd has been tested to reproduce the observed properties of quasars at $z > 5$, such as the BH mass, the molecular gas and dust masses in the ISM ([Valiante et al. 2014](#)). Following

¹ The model **GAMETE** (Galaxy MERger Tree and Evolution) was originally developed to study the formation and cosmological evolution of local, Milky-Way like galaxies ([Salvadori et al. 2007, 2008](#)).

Table 1. Observed and inferred properties of the quasar SDSS J1148+5251 at $z = 6.42$.

^(a) L_{FIR} [$10^{13} L_{\odot}$]	^(b) L_{bol} [$10^{14} L_{\odot}$]	^(a) SFR [$10^3 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$]	^(a) M_{dust} [$10^8 M_{\odot}$]	^(c) M_{BH} [$10^9 M_{\odot}$]
2.2 ± 0.33	1.36 ± 0.74	2.0 ± 0.5	$3.4^{+1.38}_{-1.54}$	4.9 ± 2.5

(a) The values of L_{FIR} , SFR, and M_{dust} have been computed by [Valiante et al. \(2011, 2014\)](#).

(b) The bolometric luminosity, L_{bol} , is estimated from the observed flux at 1450 \AA ([Fan et al. 2003](#)) using the bolometric correction by [Richards et al. \(2006\)](#).

(c) The black hole mass, M_{BH} , is estimated from the MgII doublet and the $\lambda = 3000 \text{ \AA}$ continuum ([De Rosa et al. 2011](#)).

[Valiante et al. \(2016\)](#), in this work we focus on the evolution of a SDSS J1148+5251 (hereafter J1148)-like quasar at $z = 6.4$, one the best studied high- z luminous quasars² (e.g., [Willott et al. 2003](#); [Walter et al. 2004](#); [Maiolino et al. 2005](#); [Cicone et al. 2015](#)), and we use it as a prototype for the general class of luminous $z \sim 6$ quasars.

The hierarchical merger history

We first reconstruct different hierarchical merger histories (merger trees) of a $M_{\text{DM}} = 10^{13} M_{\odot}$ DM halo hosting a J1148-like quasar at $z = 6.4$, using a binary Monte Carlo algorithm accounting for mass infall (e.g., [Volonteri et al. 2003](#)), based on the Extended Press-Schechter theory (e.g., [Lacey & Cole 1993](#)). This approach enables us to produce a large (statistically meaningful) number of random, semi-analytical merger trees of the same DM halo, simultaneously resolving the first collapsed objects. The DM resolution mass, M_{res} , is chosen to resolve the first collapsed objects where the gas is able to cool and form stars, i.e., the so-called *mini-haloes*, with masses of $M_{\text{h}} \sim 10^6 M_{\odot}$ and virial temperatures of $T_{\text{vir}} < 10^4 \text{ K}$ (see [Bromm 2013](#) for a review). Given this requirement, we simulate the merger trees adopting a M_{res} of:

$$M_{\text{res}}(z_i) = 10^{-3} M_{\text{h}}(z_0) \left(\frac{1+z_i}{1+z_0} \right)^{-7.5}, \quad (1)$$

where $M_{\text{h}}(z_0)$ is the host DM halo at redshift $z_0 = 6.4$. Mini-haloes dominate the halo mass spectrum at very high- z ; at $z \lesssim 14$ the merger tree halo population is dominated instead by $\text{Ly}\alpha$ -cooling halos, namely DM halos with $T_{\text{vir}} \geq 10^4 \text{ K}$ (see [Valiante et al. 2016](#) for details).

By construction, the entire population of halos along the merger trees, that we call *progenitors*, contribute to assembling the simulated quasar host galaxies. However, when specified within the text, we will restrict the analysis to massive progenitors, hosted by DM halos with $M_{\text{DM}} > 10^{11} M_{\odot}$. This mass-selection, as discussed in Sec. 3, reflects our interest of studying the most IR-luminous galaxies in the simulation.

² Absolute AB magnitude of the continuum in the rest-frame at 1450 \AA of $M_{1450} = 27.82$ ([Fan et al. 2003](#)).

BH growth and baryonic evolution

Merger trees are used as an input to reconstruct the formation and evolution of the J1148-like quasar and its host galaxy through cosmic time. The baryonic evolution inside each progenitor galaxy is regulated by processes of star formation, BH growth and feedback, and followed consistently through the cosmic mass assembly.

BHs growth is driven by:

- *mergers*: we assume that in major mergers³ the BHs present in the nuclei of the two interacting galaxies coalesce, forming a new more massive BH. In minor mergers, the merger time-scale of the two BHs is of the order of the Hubble time or longer (see e.g., Tanaka & Haiman 2009). The less massive BH of the merging pair is assumed to remain as a satellite and we do not follow its evolution.

- *gas accretion*: the accretion rate is described by a modified Bondi-Hoyle-Lyttleton (BHL) formula, capped at the Eddington rate (see Valiante et al. 2014 for details). We find that, while BH growth is mostly driven by mergers at very high- z (i.e., $z \gtrsim 11$), gas accretion is the dominant growth mode at lower redshifts (Valiante et al. 2016).

At each snapshot of the merger tree, stars in the progenitor galaxies form according to a SFR proportional to the available gas mass, with an efficiency that is enhanced during major mergers (see Valiante et al. 2014). Following star formation, supernovae (SNe) and asymptotic giant branch stars (AGB) progressively enrich the ISM of each galaxy with metals and dust according to their specific mass and metallicity-dependent yields and to their evolutionary time-scales. We follow the life-cycle of metals and dust including physical prescriptions for dust processing in a two-phase ISM: SN shocks can destroy dust grains in the hot, diffuse medium while dust grains can grow in mass by accreting gas-phase heavy elements in the cold medium (see Valiante et al. 2014 and de Bennassuti et al. 2014, for details). A fraction of the energy released by SN explosions (0.2%) and BH accretion (0.25%) is converted into kinetic energy of the gas in the host galaxy, driving gas outflows in the form of winds. While these efficiencies are lower than what generally adopted in hydrodynamical simulations⁵ the predicted strength of mechanical feedback is in good agreement with observations of the outflowing gas in J1148 (Maiolino et al. 2005, 2012; Valiante et al. 2012; Cicone et al. 2015; see also Bischetti et al. 2018, who carry out a stacking analysis of a sample of 48 quasars at $4.5 < z < 7.1$ detected by ALMA in the [CII] 158 μm line, and find an outflow kinetic power that is $\sim 0.1\%$ of the AGN luminosity).

³ A major merger occurs when two DM halos with mass ratio (less massive over the most massive) $\mu > 1/4$ coalesce.

⁴ We re-scaled by a factor $\alpha_{\text{BH}} = 50$, to account for the higher central densities around accreting BHs (e.g., Di Matteo et al. 2005).

⁵ In one of the most popular descriptions of AGN-driven winds in hydrodynamical simulations, 5% of the radiation energy is thermally coupled to the surrounding gas (Di Matteo et al. 2005). This difference may be due to the complex interaction of the outflow with the inhomogeneous ISM and the effects of radiative losses that can not be captured by our simple semi-analytical model.

Table 2. Compilation of the k_0 , λ_0 and β parameters, defining the opacity coefficient per unit dust mass in the different models of dust composition used in this work.

Model (reference)	k_0 [cm^2/gr]	λ_0 [μm]	β [β]
Bertoldi et al. (2003)	7.5	230	1.5
Robson et al. (2004)	30	125	2.0
Beelen et al. (2006)	0.4	1200	1.6
Weingartner & Draine (2001) ^(a)	34.7	100	2.2
Bianchi & Schneider (2007)	40	100	1.4
THEMIS - Jones et al. (2017)	6.4	250	1.79
AC - Galliano et al. (2011)	16	160	1.7

(a) Fit to the model for the Small Magellanic Cloud in the spectral range [40 – 200] μm .

3 THE IR PROPERTIES OF MASSIVE PROGENITORS OF HIGH-Z QUASAR HOST GALAXIES

We calculate the IR luminosity of each galaxy by assuming that in the Rayleigh-Jeans part of the spectrum (in the limit of small frequencies, that is $h\nu \ll k_{\text{B}}T$), dust radiates as a ‘grey-body’ with an opacity coefficient per unit dust mass, $k_{\text{d}}(\nu) = k_0 (\nu/\nu_0)^\beta$, where ν_0 , k_0 , β depend on the adopted model of dust. Integrating over the IR wavelength range 8 – 1000 μm (300 – 38000 GHz), we compute the corresponding L_{IR} as,

$$L_{\text{IR}} = 4\pi M_{\text{dust}} \int k_{\text{d}}(\nu) B(\nu, T_{\text{dust}}) d\nu, \quad (2)$$

where $B(\nu, T_{\text{dust}})$ is the Planck function for a dust temperature T_{dust} . We estimate L_{IR} , averaging over 7 different models of dust composition⁶ (each of them providing a unique combination of the parameters k_0 , ν_0 , β ; see Table 2) and we vary the dust temperature⁷ in the range $T_{\text{dust}} = [35 - 55]$ K.

Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 show respectively (i) the redshift distribution of simulated DM halo masses and (ii) the IR luminosities of massive progenitors ($M_{\text{DM}} > 10^{11} M_{\odot}$) of J1148-like quasar host galaxies at $z \sim 6.4$, in all the simulated merger trees. Fig. 1 shows that, although the bulk of the mass distribution of massive progenitors is concentrated in the mass range $11 < \log(M_{\text{DM}}/M_{\odot}) < 12$ (especially at $z \sim 8$; see percentile levels in the density plot), IR-luminous galaxies with $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{12} L_{\odot}$ occupy DM halos with $M_{\text{DM}} > 10^{12} M_{\odot}$, at least in the redshift interval $6.4 < z < 7.5$. In particular, we find that galaxies with HyLIRGs-like luminosity ($L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{13} L_{\odot}$, consistent with the IR luminosity measured in SPT0311-58W; Marrone et al. 2018) reside in the most massive halos, with masses of

⁶ Following Valiante et al. (2011) we use models of dust composition from Bertoldi et al. (2003), Robson et al. (2004), Beelen et al. (2006), Weingartner & Draine (2001), Bianchi & Schneider (2007). In addition, we also consider the more recent ‘AC’-model by Galliano et al. (2011) and ‘THEMIS’ by Jones et al. (2017) (see the review by Galliano et al. 2017 for a discussion).

⁷ Warm interstellar dust, associated to starburst regions, dominates the emission in the rest-frame far-IR (Dunne et al. 2000; Wang et al. 2008; Valiante et al. 2016).




Figure 1. Density plot showing the M_{DM} distribution of DM halos hosting massive progenitor galaxies ($M_{\text{DM}} > 10^{11} M_{\odot}$) of a J1148-like quasar host galaxy (red point), in the redshift interval $6.4 < z < 9$. The density distribution is based on the total statistics of 10 different merger trees (see Sec. 2); the percentile levels are marked by the filled colour contours and labeled in the figure. The red dashed line and points represent the redshift evolution of the averaged M_{DM} of all massive progenitors ($M_{\text{DM}} > 10^{11} M_{\odot}$). The gold and green lines and points represent the redshift evolution of the average M_{DM} of halos hosting massive progenitors with $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{12} L_{\odot}$ (ULIRGs) and $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{13} L_{\odot}$ (HyLIRGs), respectively. Error-bars are indicative of the 1σ standard deviation calculated in equispaced redshift bins (the slight offset of the bins position for red, gold and green points has been introduced to avoid overlapping and help visualization). The orange point represents the DM halo mass hosting the HyLIRG system SPT0311-58, at $z = 6.9$ (Marrone et al. 2018). The blue solid and magenta dashed lines represent the 1σ exclusion curves, i.e., the most massive halos that are expected to be observable within the whole sky and a 2500 deg^2 area (the size of the SPT survey in which SPT0311-58 was identified) respectively, as a function of redshift (Harrison & Hotchkiss 2013; Marrone et al. 2018).

about $M_{\text{DM}} \sim 10^{12.5} - 10^{13} M_{\odot}$, consistent with the dynamical mass inferred for the interacting system SPT0311-58 (see orange hexagon in Fig. 1).

These findings indicate that exceptionally massive DM halos hosting HyLIRGs, as the $\sim 10^{12.6} M_{\odot}$ halo hosting SPT0311-58 at $z \sim 7$, can be found within the family tree of UV/optical bright quasars at $z \sim 6$.

In the next paragraphs we explore the expected physical properties of the IR-luminous progenitors of high- z luminous quasar host galaxies, as well as their frequency (i.e., the expected number per quasar) and their observability in the mm and X-ray bands.

3.1 How many IR-luminous progenitor galaxies do we expect for each $z \sim 6$ luminous quasar?

In the top panel of Fig. 2 we show the predicted number of IR-luminous progenitor galaxies in the redshift interval $6.4 < z < 9$ expected for each luminous J1148-like




Figure 2. *Bottom panel:* redshift distribution of the L_{IR} in massive progenitors ($M_{\text{DM}} > 10^{11} M_{\odot}$) of a J1148-like quasar host galaxy, in the redshift interval $6.4 < z < 9$, using the total statistics of 10 independent merger trees. The distribution is colour coded depending on the galaxy ISM dust mass. The black dashed lines represent the density contours with the corresponding percentiles labeled in the figure. The grey (magenta) filled regions define the L_{IR} -range of ULIRGs (HyLIRGs). The red point represents the L_{IR} of SPT0311-58W, the most massive galaxy in the interacting system SPT0311-58 (Marrone et al. 2018). *Top panel:* average number of ULIRGs (grey points) and HyLIRGs (magenta points) at $6.4 < z < 9$, expected for each luminous quasar at $z \sim 6$. Error-bars are indicative of the 1σ standard deviation calculated over 10 different merger trees.

quasar at $z \sim 6.4$. Each high- z luminous quasar has, on average, about 2.8 ULIRG progenitors with $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{12} L_{\odot}$, and about 0.4 HyLIRG progenitors with $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{13} L_{\odot}$, between $z \sim 6 - 8$. Recently, Jiang et al. (2016) using a complete sample of 52 quasars at $z > 5.7$ selected from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS), spanning a wide luminosity range of $-29.0 \leq M_{1450} \leq -24.5$, found that the number density of bright quasars with $M_{1450} < -26.7$ at $z \sim 6$ is $(0.4 \pm 0.1) \text{ Gpc}^{-3}$ ⁸. Combining this information with our estimate of the number of expected IR-luminous progenitor galaxies per quasar, we predict the spatial number density of HyLIRG (ULIRG) progenitors to be about 0.16 ± 0.08 (1.2 ± 0.6) Gpc^{-3} in the redshift range $z \sim 6 - 8$. Since these values refer to the population of ULIRGs/HyLIRGs found within the merger trees of UV/optical bright quasars at $z \sim 6$, they provide lower limits on the effective number density of the overall population of IR-luminous galaxies at $z > 6$. Potential deviations might be also driven by the effect of the duty cycle on the number counting of high- z

⁸ This value is consistent with the estimates provided by previous works, e.g., Fan et al. (2004) and Willott et al. (2010).

quasars, resulting in a systematic under-estimation of their number densities in large single-epoch imaging surveys (see e.g., Romano-Diaz et al. 2011). An alternative way to estimate the number density of the overall population of IR-luminous galaxies at $z > 6$ comes from our finding that, at $6.5 < z < 8$, the most massive DM halos ($M_{\text{DM}} > 10^{12.5} M_{\odot}$) in the merger trees of simulated quasars are most likely to host HyLIRGs (see Fig. 1). Assuming that this finding can be extended to all DM halos in the same mass regime (i.e., also halos that are not expected to evolve in a quasar at $z \sim 6$), then the number density of the HyLIRGs population should be consistent with the number density of DM halos with $M_{\text{DM}} > 10^{12.5} M_{\odot}$ predicted by the Λ CDM cosmology⁹ at $6.5 < z < 8$, i.e., about 2 Gpc^{-3} .

Fig. 2 also shows that HyLIRGs are characterized by dust masses $\gtrsim 10^{8.7} M_{\odot}$ already at $z \sim 8-8.5$, while ULIRGs have dust masses in the range $10^{7.5} < M_{\text{dust}}/M_{\odot} < 10^9$. Despite their large stellar masses, $\gtrsim 70\%$ of the dust mass has formed in the ISM of these galaxies through processes involving some form of grain growth¹⁰ (see Valiante et al. 2011, where the maximum dust masses obtained from stellar sources, e.g., SNe and AGB stars, is calculated as a function of M_{star} , under the conservative assumption that dust grains are not destroyed by interstellar shocks).

3.2 The physical properties of high- z HyLIRGs

In Fig. 3 we show the redshift, stellar mass (M_{star}), gas mass (M_{gas}), SFR, dust mass (M_{dust}), metallicity (Z) and BH mass (M_{BH}) distributions of HyLIRG progenitors (magenta histograms) of high- z quasars. We find that HyLIRG progenitors are generally highly massive (i.e., $M_{\text{star}} \sim 10^{11} - 10^{12} M_{\odot}$; Fig. 3.b), gas rich (i.e., $M_{\text{gas}} \sim 10^{10.5} - 10^{11.5} M_{\odot}$; Fig. 3.c) systems. They experience strong starbursts, with SFRs $\sim 10^2 - 10^4 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (see Fig. 3.d), enabling them to reach super-solar metallicities (see Fig. 3.e) and large dust masses (i.e., $M_{\text{dust}} \sim 10^9 M_{\odot}$; Fig. 3.f) already at $z \sim 6.5 - 7.5$. The same properties are also shown for galaxies experiencing mergers with HyLIRG companions along the merger trees (light green histograms). Those galaxies are found to have different properties than HyLIRGs (note the different x-axis range between magenta and green histograms in Fig. 3). In particular, we find that the bulk of the population of interacting companions is generally less massive (the M_{star} distribution peaks at $M_{\text{star}} \sim 10^9 M_{\odot}$), less star-forming (the SFR distribution peaks at $\text{SFR} \sim 5 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) and less chemically evolved (i.e., sub-solar metallicities and $M_{\text{dust}} \sim 10^{6.5} M_{\odot}$). However, we find that the tails of the distributions of interacting companions extend toward the HyLIRG regime, as shown by the intersections between the light green histograms and the magenta lines in Fig. 3. The existence of this channel of interaction is crucial from the point of view of evolutionary models of SMBH-galaxy co-evolution: in fact, gas-rich major mergers are thought to be

an efficient mechanism to deliver cold gas at the centre of the resulting halo and therefore fuel the growth of the SMBH and trigger AGN activity (Di Matteo et al. 2005; Hopkins et al. 2008; Pezzulli et al. 2016).

3.3 The observability of high- z HyLIRGs with ALMA

By assuming an optically thin, $\tau_{\text{d}}(\lambda) \ll 1$, rest-frame far-IR emission, the intrinsic flux observed in a given band F_{ν} can be estimated as,

$$F_{\nu} = \frac{M_{\text{dust}} k_{\text{d}}(\nu) B(\nu, T_{\text{dust}}) (1+z)}{d_{\text{L}}^2(z)}, \quad (3)$$

where $d_{\text{L}}^2(z)$ is the luminosity distance of a source at given redshift.

However, it is well known that at high redshift the cosmic microwave background (CMB) is hotter and brighter. Its temperature, $T_{\text{CMB}}(z) = T_{\text{CMB}}^0 (1+z)$, at $z > 5$ approaches the temperature of the cold dust, decreasing the contrast of the intrinsic rest-frame IR emission against the CMB. To account for this effect, we adopted the results by da Cunha et al. (2013), who provided general correction factors to estimate what fraction of the dust emission can be detected against the CMB as a function of frequency, redshift and temperature,

$$\frac{F_{\nu}^{\text{against CMB}}}{F_{\nu}^{\text{intrinsic}}} = 1 - \frac{B(\nu, T_{\text{CMB}}(z))}{B(\nu, T_{\text{dust}}(z))}. \quad (4)$$

It follows from Eq. 4 that the flux-CMB contrast increases at higher T_{dust} (see also the discussion in da Cunha et al. 2013). This is the case for our simulated HyLIRGs, where the interstellar dust in the warm phases of the ISM is heated by the vigorous starburst activity, reaching the high temperature values adopted in this paper ($T_{\text{dust}} \gtrsim 40 \text{ K}$, see also Dunne et al. 2000; Wang et al. 2008; Valiante et al. 2016). Indeed we find that a large fraction, namely 70 – 80%, of the intrinsic rest-frame IR emission can be detected against the CMB.

Fig. 4 shows the distribution (both averaged values and dispersions) of the rest-frame IR fluxes (*CMB-corrected*) for HyLIRG progenitors at $6.4 < z < 9$. Fluxes are calculated in the ALMA Bands [8, 7, 6, 4, 3], respectively centered at observed-frame wavelengths [0.7, 0.95, 1.25, 2, 3] mm, and range from a minimum value of 0.2 mJy at 3 mm (Band 3), to a maximum value of 50 mJy at 0.7 mm (Band 8). We calculated the *on-source* integration times¹¹ needed to detect the estimated continuum fluxes with a signal-to-noise of $S/N = 10$. We find that HyLIRGs progenitors of high- z quasar host galaxies can be easily detected with ALMA with a modest amount of observing time, ranging from few second in Bands 6-7-8, to ~ 20 minutes in Band 3 (see Fig. 4). We note that, although for such short integrations most of the time is dedicated to the instrumental setup and calibrations, the total integration time (including overheads) needed to reach the required sensitivity is less than 30 min in all the Bands.

⁹ The Λ CDM-predicted number density of DM halos was obtained using *HMFcalc*, publicly available at <http://hmf.icrar.org/> (see Murray et al. 2013).

¹⁰ We note that the efficiency and even the physical nature of grain growth is still an active subject of study (e.g., Zhukovska et al. 2016; Ceccarelli et al. 2018; Ginolfi et al. 2018).

¹¹ The *on-source* integration times were obtained using the ALMA Cycle 6 sensitivity calculator.




Figure 3. The magenta histograms show the distributions of physical properties (M_{star} , M_{gas} , SFR, Z , M_{dust} , M_{BH}) and redshift of HyLIRGs progenitors of $z \sim 6$ quasars (namely the galaxies included in the magenta filled region of Fig. 2). The light green histograms show the same distributions for systems experiencing mergers with HyLIRGs progenitors. The overlaid magenta lines indicate the range of values of HyLIRGs properties, revealing clear differences between HyLIRGs and their interacting companions. The overlaid red and dark green solid areas indicate the observed values of the two galaxies composing the interacting system observed by [Marrone et al. \(2018\)](#), i.e., SPT0311-58W and SPT0311-58E respectively. The width of the area is representative of the uncertainty on the measurements.




Figure 4. Rest-frame far-IR fluxes of HyLIRG progenitors of a J1140-like quasar host galaxy. Fluxes are calculated in the ALMA Bands [8, 7, 6, 4, 3] (coloured filled regions) respectively centered at observed-frame [0.7, 0.95, 1.25, 2, 3] mm. Magenta points represent the averaged values of the HyLIRGs population, and bars represent their dispersions (the colour intensity traces the flux distribution at any given wavelength bin). The red points represent the fluxes of SPT0311-58W ([Marrone et al. 2018](#)) in the ALMA Bands [8, 7, 6, 4, 3]. For fluxes corresponding to the grey bars, located at the low-end of the HyLIRG flux distributions at any wavelength bin, we report the on-source observing time needed by ALMA to reach a S/N = 10 σ .

3.4 The X-ray observability of BH activity in high- z HyLIRGs

As shown in Fig. 3.g, we find that the HyLIRG progenitors of luminous high- z quasars, host nuclear BHs with an almost uniform distribution of masses in the range $10^5 M_{\odot} < M_{\text{BH}} < 10^8 M_{\odot}$, and a high-mass tail at $M_{\text{BH}} \lesssim 10^9 M_{\odot}$ (populated by systems in the last steps of the merger trees). Such a broad range of nuclear BH masses results from the diversity of merging and accretion histories predicted by the model. To assess the possibility of detecting BH activity in the nuclei of high- z HyLIRGs, we compared the predicted X-ray luminosity in the (observed-frame) soft ([0.5 - 2] keV) and hard ([2 - 10] keV) X-ray bands with the flux limits of recent surveys.

Following [Pezzulli et al. \(2017\)](#), we modeled the X-ray luminosity of accreting BHs considering the primary emission from the hot corona and the reflection component due to the surrounding neutral medium. The first one is parametrized as a power law, $L_{\nu} \propto \nu^{-\Gamma+1} e^{h\nu/E_c}$, with an exponential cut-off energy of $E_c = 300$ keV ([Sazonov et al. 2004](#); [Yue et al. 2013](#)) and photon spectral index $\Gamma = 0.32 \log \lambda_{\text{Edd}} + 2.27$, that we assume to depend on the Eddington ratio $\lambda_{\text{Edd}} = L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ ([Brightman et al. 2013](#)). The metallicity dependent reflection component is computed using the PEXRAV




Figure 5. *a*) Distribution of the intrinsic (magenta histogram) and obscured (red histogram) X-ray luminosities (integrated over the rest-frame soft+hard band, [0.2 -10] keV) produced by the accreting BHs in the HyLIRG progenitors of high- z quasars. *b*) and *c*) Distributions of the intrinsic and obscured X-ray fluxes produced by the accreting BHs, in the observed-frame soft (panel *b*) and hard (panel *c*) X-ray bands. The grey dashed lines represent the flux limits of the 7 Ms CDF-S survey, i.e., $F_{\text{CDF-S}} = 6.4 \times 10^{-18} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in the soft band, and $F_{\text{CDF-S}} = 2.7 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in the hard band. About 40% (38%) of the HyLIRGs population shows a detectable F_{X}^{BH} in the observed-frame soft (hard) band (hatched regions).

model (Magdziarz & Zdziarski 1995) in the XSPEC code¹². The metallicity and Eddington ratio $\lambda_{\text{Edd}} = L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ are computed using the values predicted by GQd for each accreting BH.

¹² The reflection component is computed assuming an isotropic source located above the disc, fixing the reflection solid angle to 2π , the inclination angle to 60° and the reflection strength parameter to $R = 1$, consistent with typical values of local AGNs (Zappacosta et al. 2018).




Figure 6. The blue histogram shows the distribution of N_{H} predicted for the overall population of galaxies in our model, while the grey and magenta histograms show the distribution of N_{H} predicted for the ULIRG and HyLIRG progenitors of the $z \sim 6$ quasar host galaxies, respectively. The N_{H} distribution for ULIRGs is consistent with the distribution of N_{H} predicted for SMGs by the cosmological hydrodynamical simulation by Narayanan et al. (2015) (red hatched region).

We find that the BH activity in HyLIRGs generates an intrinsic emission corresponding to X-ray luminosities (integrated over the rest-frame [0.5-10] keV band) of $L_{\text{X}}^{\text{BH}} = [10^{6.5} - 10^{12.5}] L_{\odot}$ (see Fig. 5.a), and to intrinsic fluxes $F_{\text{X}}^{\text{BH}} = [10^{-20} - 10^{-14}] \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in the observed-frame soft/hard bands (see flux distributions in Fig. 5.b and Fig. 5.c).

The intrinsic X-rays flux is attenuated by the interaction of the radiation produced during the BH accretion with the gas and dust in the immediate surroundings of the BH (mainly photoelectric absorption and Compton scattering of photons against free electrons). For this reason the effect of obscuration has to be taken into account, and the obscured emerging flux can be written as $F_{\nu}^{\text{abs}} = F_{\nu}^{\text{unabs}} e^{-\tau_{\nu}}$; at energies $E \gtrsim 0.1 \text{ keV}$, assuming a fully-ionized H-He mixture, the optical depth τ_{ν} is (Yaqoob 1997):

$$\tau_{\nu} = (1.2 \sigma_{\text{T}} + \sigma_{\text{ph}}) N_{\text{H}}, \quad (5)$$

where N_{H} is the hydrogen column density, while σ_{T} and σ_{ph} are the Thomson and photoelectric cross section, respectively (see Pezzulli et al. 2017 for a description of σ_{ph} and its dependence on energy and metallicity). We computed N_{H} assuming the gas to be distributed following a singular isothermal sphere (SIS) profile (see Valiante et al. 2016),

$$\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_{\text{core}}}\right)^2}, \quad (6)$$

where the normalization factor ρ_0 is a function of M_{gas} , the virial radius (R_{vir}) and the core radius (r_{core}).

By assuming $r_{\text{core}} = 50 \text{ pc}$, we find that our simulated ULIRGs have $N_{\text{H}} = [10^{22} - 10^{24}] \text{ cm}^{-2}$, in the same range predicted by cosmological hydrodynamical simulations for high- z SMGs (e.g., Narayanan et al. 2015; see Fig. 6). Adopting the same r_{core} , we find that HyLIRG progenitors have $N_{\text{H}} \sim [10^{23} - 10^{24}] \text{ cm}^{-2}$. As shown in Fig. 5.b and Fig. 5.c, the resulting net attenuation of fluxes by obscuration in the observed-frame soft X-ray band is about a factor of 2, while fluxes in the observed-frame hard X-ray band are almost unaffected by attenuation. This can be explained in terms of the photoelectric cross section decreasing for increasing

energy (see a thorough discussion in Pezzulli et al. 2017). Finally, we compared the predicted, obscuration-attenuated F_X^{BH} with the flux limits obtained by *Chandra* observations of the recent 7 Ms *Chandra* Deep Field South Survey (7 Ms CDF-S; Luo et al. 2017), i.e., $F_{\text{CDF-S}} = 6.4 \times 10^{-18} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in the soft band and $F_{\text{CDF-S}} = 2.7 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in the hard band. We find that in both bands a significant fraction of our simulated HyLIRGs population has a potentially detectable F_X^{BH} (40% and 38%, respectively; see hatched regions of the red histograms in Fig. 5.b and Fig. 5.c).

To test if F_X can be considered as a tracer of nuclear activity in high- z HyLIRGs, we estimated the contribution of SFR-related (e.g., X-ray binary populations; XRBs) processes to the X-ray luminosity, L_X^{SFR} , by using the redshift-dependent scaling relation between the rest-frame hard L_X and SFR, found by Lehmer et al. (2016):

$$\log(L_X^{\text{SFR}})_{[2-10] \text{ keV}} = 39.82 + 0.63 \log(\text{SFR}) + 1.31 \log(1+z).$$

In Fig. 7, we show the relative contribution between the X-ray luminosity produced by the accreting SMBHs (once the attenuation by obscuration has been considered) and by XRBs, in the rest-frame hard band, as a function of the BH mass (and colour coded with the SFR), for the HyLIRGs progenitors with a detectable observed-frame soft F_X^{BH} (i.e., namely the objects located in the hatched region of the histograms in Fig. 5.b). We find that HyLIRGs hosting black holes with $M_{\text{BH}} \gtrsim 10^7 M_\odot$ have X-ray emission dominated by their SMBH activity. In particular, we find that, for about 40 % of these galaxies, the X-ray luminosity due to the nuclear activity is at least one order of magnitude larger than that associated to star formation. Since we are conservatively assuming that the X-ray emission produced by stars is completely unobscured, if L_X^{SFR} were corrected for attenuation¹⁴, the relative contribution of SMBH activity to the total X-ray emission would be even higher.

In conclusions, we find that (i) a significant fraction of HyLIRG progenitors has detectable (obscuration-attenuated) X-ray fluxes produced by accreting BHs in both the observed-frame soft (40 %) and hard (38 %) bands; (ii) for those objects, the overall $L_X = L_X^{\text{SFR}} + L_X^{\text{BH}}$ is dominated by L_X^{BH} , making the observed X-ray emission an optimal tracer of SMBH activity. Combining these findings with the predicted spatial density of HyLIRGs (see Sec. 3.1), we estimate the spatial density of HyLIRGs with detectable X-ray emission tracing SMBH activity to be $\sim 0.07 \text{ Gpc}^{-3}$.

4 CONCLUSIONS

In the last decade, observations of DSFGs have extended toward $z > 5$. High- z DSFGs are often found to be HyLIRGs

¹³ The observed-frame [0.5-2] keV band traces hard X-ray emission at the redshifts of interest.

¹⁴ GQd model cannot provide information on the spatial distribution of stars, therefore a self-consistent treatment of obscuration of the stellar X-ray emission is very difficult (see Valiante et al. 2016), without coupling detailed hydrodynamical models with radiative transfer simulations accounting for X-ray physics (Smidt et al. 2017; Graziani et al. 2018). On the other hand a statistical approach is currently impossible due to computational limits.




Figure 7. The relative contribution of rest-frame hard X-ray emission produced by nuclear activity and stars is shown as a function of M_{BH} and colour coded depending on the SFR, for galaxies with a detectable observed-frame soft X-ray flux (namely the objects in the red hatched region of Fig. 5.b). At $M_{\text{BH}} \gtrsim 10^7 M_\odot$, the X-ray emission produced by accreting SMBHs dominates over the X-ray emission produced by stars. For about 40% of the population, $\log(L_X^{\text{BH}}/L_X^{\text{SFR}}) > 1$.

($L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{13} L_\odot$) with SFRs exceeding $1000 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$, likely tracing the result of strong dynamical interactions and intense gas accretion events occurring in the densest regions of the early Universe (Walter et al. 2012; Riechers et al. 2017; Pavesi et al. 2018). Recently, Marrone et al. (2018) found a IR-luminous system, SPT0311-58, at $z \sim 7$, composed by a pair of extremely massive and IR-luminous interacting galaxies. Using different proxies to estimate the halo mass hosting the system, they show that SPS0311-58 marks an exceptional peak in the cosmic density field at this early cosmic time and it lies close to the exclusion curve predicted by the current structure formation paradigm.

In this work we use GQd model to simulate several independent merger histories of a typical luminous quasar at $z \sim 6$, following the black hole growth and the baryonic evolution (including star formation, metals and dust life-cycles, and mechanical feedback) of its ancestors up to $z \sim 10$. We find that:

- a fraction of progenitor galaxies (about 0.4 objects per single luminous quasar, between $z \sim 6 - 8$) has HyLIRG-like IR luminosity of $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{13} L_\odot$ (see Fig. 2) and similar characteristics to the system observed by Marrone et al. (2018) (e.g., generally highly massive, extremely star-bursting and chemically evolved; see Fig. 3);

- the IR-luminous progenitors of $z \sim 6$ quasar host galaxies, reside in the most massive DM halos, with masses of $M_{\text{DM}} \sim 10^{12.5} - 10^{13} M_\odot$ (see Fig. 1). Their far-IR continuum fluxes can be easily observed with ALMA with a modest amount of time, ranging from few seconds in Bands [8,7,6] (centered at observed-frame [0.7, 0.95, 1.25] mm, respectively) to about 2 minutes in Band 4 (2 mm) and 20 minutes in Band 3 (3 mm).

- the HyLIRGs progenitors of high- z quasar host galaxies, have nuclear black holes with masses $10^5 M_\odot < M_{\text{BH}} <$

$10^8 M_{\odot}$. The X-ray fluxes associated to the nuclear BH activity could be detectable in the observed-frame soft and hard X-ray bands (they lie above the sensitivity limit of the 7 Ms *Chandra* Deep Field South Survey) for $\sim 40\%$ of these galaxies, even accounting for obscuration, and dominate over the X-ray emission associated to star formation.

Altogether our results suggest that $z \sim 6$ luminous quasars are indeed the signposts of the observed rare high-*z* overdensities, and that massive-IR luminous galaxies at higher *z* are their natural ancestors. These findings corroborate models of SMBH-galaxy co-evolution predicting an evolutionary link between IR-luminous galaxies and quasars.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank D. Marrone for helpful discussions. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007-2013)/ERC Grant Agreement n. 306476. We have benefited from the public available programming language Python, including the `numpy`, `matplotlib` and `scipy` packages. This research made extensive use of `ASTROPY`, a community-developed core Python package for Astronomy ([Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013](#)), and `glueviz`, a Python library for multidimensional data exploration ([Beaumont et al. 2015](#)).

REFERENCES

- Alexander D. M., Hickox R. C., 2012, *New Astronomy Reviews*, **56**, 93
- Astropy Collaboration et al., 2013, *A&A*, **558**
- Beaumont C., Goodman A., Greenfield P., 2015, in Taylor A. R., Rosolowsky E., eds, *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series Vol. 495, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XXIV (ADASS XXIV)*. p. 101
- Beelen A., Cox P., Benford D. J., Dowell C. D., Kovács A., Bertoldi F., Omont A., Carilli C. L., 2006, *ApJ*, **642**, 694
- Bertoldi F., Carilli C. L., Cox P., Fan X., Strauss M. A., Beelen A., Omont A., Zylka R., 2003, *A&A*, **406**, L55
- Bianchi S., Schneider R., 2007, *MNRAS*, **378**, 973
- Bischetti M., Maiolino R., Fiore S. C. F., Piconcelli E., Fluetsch A., 2018, preprint, [p. arXiv:1806.00786 \(arXiv:1806.00786\)](#)
- Blain A. W., Smail I., Ivison R. J., Kneib J. P., Frayer D. T., 2002, *Phys. Rep.*, **369**, 111
- Brightman M., et al., 2013, *MNRAS*, **433**, 2485
- Bromm V., 2013, *Reports on Progress in Physics*, **76**
- Capak P. L., et al., 2011, *Nature*, **470**, 233
- Carlstrom J. E., et al., 2011, *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*, **123**, 568
- Casey C. M., Narayanan D., Cooray A., 2014, *Phys. Rep.*, **541**, 45
- Cattaneo A., Bernardi M., 2003, *MNRAS*, **344**, 45
- Ceccarelli C., Viti S., Balucani N., Taquet V., 2018, *MNRAS*, **476**, 1371
- Chapman S. C., Blain A. W., Smail I., Ivison R. J., 2005, *ApJ*, **622**, 772
- Cicone C., et al., 2015, *A&A*, **574**
- Coppin K. E. K., et al., 2008, *MNRAS*, **389**, 45
- De Rosa G., Decarli R., Walter F., Fan X., Jiang L., Kurk J., Pasquali A., Rix H. W., 2011, *ApJ*, **739**, 56
- Di Matteo T., Springel V., Hernquist L., 2005, *Nature*, **433**, 604
- Dunne L., Eales S., Edmunds M., Ivison R., Alexander P., Clements D. L., 2000, *MNRAS*, **315**, 115
- Fan X., et al., 2003, *AJ*, **125**, 1649
- Fan X., et al., 2004, *AJ*, **128**, 515
- Fan L., Knudsen K. K., Fogasy J., Drouart G., 2018, *ApJ*, **856**
- Galliano F., et al., 2011, *A&A*, **536**, A88
- Galliano F., Galametz M., Jones A. P., 2017, preprint, [p. arXiv:1711.07434 \(arXiv:1711.07434\)](#)
- Ginolfi M., Graziani L., Schneider R., Marassi S., Valiante R., Dell'Agli F., Ventura P., Hunt L. K., 2018, *MNRAS*, **473**, 4538
- Graziani L., Ciardi B., Glatzle M., 2018, *MNRAS*, **479**, 4320
- Harrison I., Hotchkiss S., 2013, *Journal of Cosmology and Astro-Particle Physics*, **2013**, 022
- Harrison C. M., et al., 2012, *MNRAS*, **426**, 1073
- Hayward C. C., Kereš D., Jonsson P., Narayanan D., Cox T. J., Hernquist L., 2011, *ApJ*, **743**
- Hayward C. C., Narayanan D., Kereš D., Jonsson P., Hopkins P. F., Cox T. J., Hernquist L., 2013, *MNRAS*, **428**, 2529
- Hopkins P. F., Hernquist L., Cox T. J., Di Matteo T., Robertson B., Springel V., 2006, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, **163**, 1
- Hopkins P. F., Richards G. T., Hernquist L., 2007, *ApJ*, **654**, 731
- Hopkins P. F., Hernquist L., Cox T. J., Kereš D., 2008, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, **175**
- Hughes D. H., et al., 1998, *Nature*, **394**, 241
- Ivison R. J., Papadopoulos P. P., Smail I., Greve T. R., Thomson A. P., Xilouris E. M., Chapman S. C., 2011, *MNRAS*, **412**, 1913
- Jiang L., et al., 2016, *ApJ*, **833**, 222
- Jones A. P., Köhler M., Ysard N., Bocchio M., Verstraete L., 2017, *A&A*, **602**, A46
- Kovács A., Chapman S. C., Dowell C. D., Blain A. W., Ivison R. J., Smail I., Phillips T. G., 2006, *ApJ*, **650**, 592
- Lacey C., Cole S., 1993, *MNRAS*, **262**, 627
- Lehmer B. D., et al., 2016, *ApJ*, **825**, 7
- Luo B., et al., 2017, *ApJS*, **228**, 2
- Madau P., Dickinson M., 2014, *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **52**, 415
- Magdziarz P., Zdziarski A. A., 1995, *MNRAS*, **273**, 837
- Maiolino R., et al., 2005, *A&A*, **440**, L51
- Maiolino R., et al., 2012, *MNRAS*, **425**, L66
- Marrone D. P., et al., 2018, *Nature*, **553**, 51
- Murray S. G., Power C., Robotham A. S. G., 2013, *Astronomy and Computing*, **3**, 23
- Narayanan D., et al., 2015, *Nature*, **525**, 496
- Oteo I., et al., 2016, *ApJ*, **827**
- Pavesi R., et al., 2018, preprint, [\(arXiv:1803.08048\)](#)
- Pezzulli E., Valiante R., Schneider R., 2016, *MNRAS*, **458**, 3047
- Pezzulli E., Valiante R., Orofino M. C., Schneider R., Gallerani S., Sbarrato T., 2017, *MNRAS*, **466**, 2131
- Richards G. T., et al., 2006, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, **166**, 470
- Riechers D. A., et al., 2011, *ApJ*, **733**
- Riechers D. A., et al., 2013, *Nature*, **496**, 329
- Riechers D. A., et al., 2017, *ApJ*, **850**
- Robson I., Priddey R. S., Isaak K. G., McMahon R. G., 2004, *MNRAS*, **351**, L29
- Romano-Diaz E., Shlosman I., Trenti M., Hoffman Y., 2011, *ApJ*, **736**, 66
- Salvadori S., Schneider R., Ferrara A., 2007, *MNRAS*, **381**, 647
- Salvadori S., Ferrara A., Schneider R., 2008, *MNRAS*, **386**, 348
- Sanders D. B., Soifer B. T., Elias J. H., Madore B. F., Matthews K., Neugebauer G., Scoville N. Z., 1988a, *ApJ*, **325**, 74
- Sanders D. B., Soifer B. T., Elias J. H., Neugebauer G., Matthews K., 1988b, *ApJ*, **328**, L35
- Sazonov S. Y., Ostriker J. P., Sunyaev R. A., 2004, *MNRAS*, **347**, 144

- Silk J., Rees M. J., 1998, *A&A*, **331**, L1
- Smail I., Ivison R. J., Blain A. W., 1997, *ApJ*, **490**, L5
- Smidt J., Whalen D. J., Johnson J. L., Li H., 2017, preprint, p. [arXiv:1703.00449](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.00449) ([arXiv:1703.00449](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.00449))
- Springel V., et al., 2005, *Nature*, **435**, 629
- Strandet M. L., et al., 2016, *ApJ*, **822**
- Strandet M. L., et al., 2017, *ApJ*, **842**
- Tanaka T., Haiman Z., 2009, *ApJ*, **696**, 1798
- Valiante R., Schneider R., Salvadori S., Bianchi S., 2011, *MNRAS*, **416**, 1916
- Valiante R., Schneider R., Maiolino R., Salvadori S., Bianchi S., 2012, *MNRAS*, **427**, L60
- Valiante R., Schneider R., Salvadori S., Gallerani S., 2014, *MNRAS*, **444**, 2442
- Valiante R., Schneider R., Volonteri M., Omukai K., 2016, *MNRAS*, **457**, 3356
- Volonteri M., Haardt F., Madau P., 2003, *ApJ*, **582**, 559
- Walter F., Carilli C., Bertoldi F., Menten K., Cox P., Lo K. Y., Fan X., Strauss M. A., 2004, *ApJ*, **615**, L17
- Walter F., et al., 2012, *Nature*, **486**, 233
- Wang R., et al., 2008, *ApJ*, **687**, 848
- Weingartner J. C., Draine B. T., 2001, *ApJ*, **548**, 296
- Weiß A., et al., 2013, *ApJ*, **767**
- Willott C. J., McLure R. J., Jarvis M. J., 2003, *ApJ*, **587**, L15
- Willott C. J., et al., 2010, *AJ*, **139**, 906
- Yaqoob T., 1997, *ApJ*, **479**, 184
- Yue B., Ferrara A., Salvaterra R., Xu Y., Chen X., 2013, *MNRAS*, **433**, 1556
- Zappacosta L., et al., 2018, *ApJ*, **854**, 33
- Zhukovska S., Dobbs C., Jenkins E. B., Klessen R. S., 2016, *ApJ*, **831**, 147
- da Cunha E., et al., 2013, *ApJ*, **766**, 13
- de Bressan M., Schneider R., Valiante R., Salvadori S., 2014, *MNRAS*, **445**, 3039

This paper has been typeset from a $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ file prepared by the author.