



<b>Publication Year</b>	2020
<b>Acceptance in OA @INAF</b>	2021-11-25T11:28:56Z
<b>Title</b>	Detection of a possible multiphase ultra-fast outflow in IRAS 13349+2438 with NuSTAR and XMM-Newton
<b>Authors</b>	Parker, M. L.; Matzeu, G. A.; Alston, W. N.; Fabian, A. C.; Lobban, A.; et al.
<b>DOI</b>	10.1093/mnras/slaa144
<b>Handle</b>	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/31142">http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/31142</a>
<b>Journal</b>	MONTHLY NOTICES OF THE ROYAL ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY. LETTERS
<b>Number</b>	498

# Detection of a possible multiphase ultra-fast outflow in IRAS 13349+2438 with *NuSTAR* and *XMM–Newton*

M. L. Parker<sup>1</sup>,<sup>\*</sup> G. A. Matzeu<sup>1</sup>, W. N. Alston<sup>2</sup>, A. C. Fabian<sup>2</sup>, A. Lobban<sup>1</sup>, G. Miniutti<sup>3</sup>, C. Pinto<sup>4</sup>, M. Santos-Lleó<sup>1</sup> and N. Schartel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>European Space Agency (ESA), European Space Astronomy Centre (ESAC), E-28691 Villanueva de la Cañada, Madrid, Spain

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK

<sup>3</sup>Departamento de Astrofísica, Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA), E-28691 Villanueva de la Cañada, Madrid, Spain

<sup>4</sup>INAF/IASF-Palermo, Via Ugo La Malfa 153, I-90146 Palermo, Italy

Accepted 2020 August 13. Received 2020 August 5; in original form 2020 June 20

## ABSTRACT

We present joint *NuSTAR* and *XMM–Newton* observations of the bright, variable quasar IRAS 13349+2438. This combined data set shows two clear iron absorption lines at 8 and 9 keV, which are most likely associated with two layers of mildly relativistic blueshifted absorption, with velocities of  $\sim 0.14c$  and  $\sim 0.27c$ . We also find strong evidence for a series of Ly  $\alpha$  absorption lines at intermediate energies in a stacked *XMM–Newton* EPIC-pn spectrum, at the same blueshift as the lower velocity iron feature. This is consistent with a scenario where an outflowing wind is radially stratified, so faster, higher ionization material is observed closer to the black hole, and cooler, slower material is seen from streamlines at larger radii.

**Key words:** accretion, accretion discs – black hole physics – galaxies: active.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Since the launch of *Chandra* and *XMM–Newton* in 1999, astronomers have observed blueshifted absorption lines from highly ionized iron in the 7–10 keV energy range (e.g. Chartas et al. 2002; Pounds et al. 2003) of active galactic nuclei (AGN) X-ray spectra. These are usually interpreted as evidence for mildly relativistic (0.1–0.3c) winds, launched from the black hole accretion disc before crossing the line of sight to the X-ray source.

Because we can only see the effect of the absorption along the line of sight, it is hard to establish the structure of the absorbing gas. Typically, we only observe absorption lines from a single ionization state and velocity, often only a single Fe XXV/XXVI line. However, if we can detect more ionization states and velocities for a given UFO, we can start to infer some of the structure of the gas. To date, only a small number of sources have robust (i.e. confirmed with multiple instruments, including *NuSTAR*) simultaneous detections of multiple ionization states of blueshifted absorption, notably PDS 456 (Reeves et al. 2018) and MCG-03-58-007 (Braitto et al. 2018; Matzeu et al. 2019). The prevailing interpretation of these dual line detections is that they represent different streamlines in an outflowing wind, with more ionized, faster material coming from smaller radii.

IRAS 13349+2438 is a  $10^9 M_{\odot}$  low redshift ( $z = 0.10853$ ) (Lee et al. 2013) quasar, which shows a high degree of X-ray variability (Ponti et al. 2012), and two layers of warm absorption, outflowing with velocities of  $\sim 600 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (Sako et al. 2001). In 2018, using stacked archival *XMM–Newton* spectra of this source, we detected blueshifted lines of Si, S, and Fe, with a velocity of  $\sim 0.13c$  (Parker et al. 2018b). We also saw weak, possibly background-dependent

evidence for a second, more blueshifted Fe absorption line, but we were unable to confirm the detection. In this letter, we present new observations of IRAS 13349+2438 with *XMM–Newton* and *NuSTAR*, focusing on the detection of blueshifted absorption lines in the EPIC-pn and *NuSTAR* spectra.

## 2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

We were awarded a 200 ks *NuSTAR* exposure, simultaneous with a 100 ks *XMM–Newton* exposure, with a primary goal of determining whether the faster absorption feature was genuine. The combination of these two observatories is uniquely powerful detecting UFO lines: combining the high sensitivity and resolution of the EPIC instruments with the high-energy coverage of *NuSTAR*, which gives reliable measurements of the continuum shape.


The *NuSTAR* exposures were split into two: the first 50 ks was taken simultaneously with the *XMM–Newton* observation, and the remaining 150 ks taken two weeks later. There is little variability between the *NuSTAR* spectra of the two exposures (the 10–50 keV fluxes are  $1.5 \times 10^{-12}$  and  $1.6 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , respectively), likely because of the high black hole mass and because AGN are generally less variable at high energies. The details of all observations are given in Table 1.

### 2.1 *NuSTAR*

The *NuSTAR* data were reduced using the *NuSTAR* Data Analysis Software (NUSTARDAS) version 1.9.2 and CALDB version 20200510. We extracted source photons from a 30 arcsec circular region centred on the source coordinates, and background photons from a larger  $\sim 100$  arcsec circular region on the same chip, avoiding contaminat-

\* E-mail: mparker@sciops.esa.int





**Figure 1.** Top panels: Time-averaged EPIC-pn and *NuSTAR* spectra from the 2020 observations, corrected for effective area, but not unfolded from the instrumental response. The *NuSTAR* spectra are fit from 3–79 keV, but for clarity we only show the 3–10 keV band. The three coloured lines show models fit jointly to the two spectra, with 0, 1, and 2 zones of absorption. Bottom panels: residuals to these three fits.

**Table 1.** Details of the observations used in this work.

Obs. ID	Start date	Instrument	Clean exposure (ks)
60501019002	2020-01-20	FPMA	50.2
		FPMB	49.8
60501019004	2020-02-03	FPMA	147.8
		FPMB	146.7
0852390101	2020-01-20	EPIC-pn	73.2 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>The EPIC-pn was operated in small-window mode, so the live time of the detector was 71 per cent.

complicating factors in both physical scenarios that could result in a better fit, so we cannot fully rule out the second line being Fe  $K\beta$ .

### 3.2 Intermediate energy lines

To investigate the lower-velocity UFO further, we use the stacked EPIC-pn spectrum, constructed from all the *XMM-Newton* exposures, to search for lower energy lines. When fitting this region of the spectrum, we include the two layers of warm absorption identified by Sako et al. (2001), with parameters fixed at the values from Parker et al. (2018b). We performed a preliminary inspection of the 2020 RGS spectrum to check that the absorption is consistent with that in earlier observations. There are some minor differences, which we will examine in future work, but these are negligible at the resolution of the EPIC-pn.

We show the residuals of the 1–6 keV spectrum, fit with a phenomenological double power-law plus warm absorption, in Fig. 2, with the strongest high-ionization absorption lines at a velocity of  $-0.13c$  labelled. There is a clear pattern of broad dips, corresponding

**Table 2.** Best-fitting parameters for the high energy ( $>3$  keV) spectrum of IRAS 13349+2438, fit with a reflection continuum and two layers of full-covering absorption. All errors are  $1\sigma$ .

Model	Parameter	Value	Description/unit
xabs <sub>1</sub>	$\log(\xi)$	$4.1 \pm 0.3$	Ionization (erg cm s <sup>-1</sup> )
	$N_{\text{H}}$	$0.5^{+5.8}_{-0.2}$	Column density (10 <sup>24</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> )
	$v_{\text{rms}}$	$7000 \pm 2000$	2D RMS velocity (km s <sup>-1</sup> )
	$v_{\text{out}}$	$0.15 \pm 0.01$	Outflow velocity ( $c$ )
xabs <sub>2</sub>	$\log(\xi)$	$4.7 \pm 0.2$	Ionization (erg cm s <sup>-1</sup> )
	$N_{\text{H}}$	$1.5^{+8.7}_{-1.2}$	Column density 10 <sup>24</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup>
	$v_{\text{rms}}$	$<8000$	2D RMS velocity (km s <sup>-1</sup> )
	$v_{\text{out}}$	$0.27 \pm 0.01$	Outflow velocity ( $c$ )
relxilllp	$a$	$0.3^{+0.2}_{-0.5}$	Spin parameter
	$i$	$38 \pm 2$	Inclination (degrees)
	$h$	$6 \pm 3$	Source height ( $r_{\text{G}}$ )
	$\Gamma$	$1.9 \pm 0.1$	Photon index
	$\log(\xi)$	$3.06^{+0.11}_{-0.04}$	Ionization (erg cm s <sup>-1</sup> )
	$A_{\text{Fe}}$	$5.0^{+2.6}_{-0.7}$	Iron abundance (solar)
	$E_{\text{cut}}$	$45^{+16}_{-8}$	Cutoff energy (keV)
	norm	$9^{+2}_{-4}$	Normalization <sup>a</sup> ( $\times 10^{-4}$ )
C-stat/dof	–	236/209	Fit statistic


<sup>a</sup>See Dauser et al. (2016) for the definition of the relxill normalization.

to the Ly  $\alpha$  lines of Ne to Ca and the Fe XXIV triplet (rest-frame energy  $\sim 1.1$  keV).

Individually, the statistical improvement from fitting these lines is relatively small (with the notable exception of the strong Si XIV line), but collectively the significance is very high. We test this by adding

**Table 3.** Best-fitting parameters for UFO absorption applied to a phenomenological double power-law model from 1–6 keV.

Model	Parameter	Value	Description/unit
xabs	$\log(\xi)$	$3.9 \pm 0.1$	Ionization ( $\text{erg cm s}^{-1}$ )
	$N_{\text{H}}$	$1.8 \pm 0.7$	Column ( $10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ )
	$v_{\text{rms}}$	$<9000$	2D RMS velocity ( $\text{km s}^{-1}$ )
powerlaw <sub>1</sub>	$v_{\text{out}}$	$0.132 \pm 0.006$	Outflow velocity ( $c$ )
	$\Gamma$	$1.69 \pm 0.06$	Photon index
powerlaw <sub>2</sub>	norm	$9.8 \pm 1$	$10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ keV}^{-1}$
	$\Gamma$	$4 \pm 1$	Photon index
powerlaw <sub>2</sub>	norm	$4 \pm 1$	$10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ keV}^{-1}$
	C-stat/dof	–	131/113



**Figure 2.** Top panel: Residuals to a power-law model for the intermediate energy combined EPIC-pn spectrum from all observations of IRAS 13349+2438. Vertical lines indicate the  $\text{Ly}\alpha$  lines blueshifted by a velocity of  $0.13c$ . Bottom panel: The same residuals for each observation individually, showing the consistent Ne, Mg, and Si lines. Above 3 keV, the data is too noisy and lines cannot be distinguished.

a single layer of xabs absorption to the power-law continuum. This improves the fit by  $\Delta\text{C-stat}=47$ , to C-stat/dof of 131/113, for four additional degrees of freedom, a cumulative detection significance of over  $5\sigma$ . The parameters for this fit are given in Table 3.

To check that these features are not an artefact of the stacking process, we plot the same residuals for each EPIC-pn spectrum individually (Fig. 2, bottom panel). While the data are too noisy above 3 keV for lines to be identified, the lower energy lines are clearly present and consistent with each other between observations.

## 4 DISCUSSION

We consider it highly likely that the intermediate energy lines found in the stacked EPIC-pn spectrum and the slower of the two Fe absorption lines found in the high energy *NuSTAR*/EPIC-pn spectrum are from the same material. The parameters of the absorption used to fit the intermediate energy lines are within  $1\sigma$  error of those of the slower absorption found in the high energy spectrum.

### 4.1 Origin of the second Fe line

The second Fe absorption feature, located at a rest-frame energy of 9 keV, must be due to either absorption from Fe XXVI in an additional layer, the Fe XXVI  $\text{K}\beta$  line, associated with the  $0.14c$  absorption, or a combination of the two, which is the scenario implicitly assumed in our double-absorption model.

We consider a pure  $\text{K}\beta$  origin unlikely, as the line is very strong relative to the  $\text{K}\alpha$  line, roughly twice the expected 1:3 ratio (Krause & Oliver 1979). The observed ratio could be modified by the absorption having a covering fraction less than unity, in which case the stronger  $\text{K}\alpha$  line would drop in equivalent width more than the  $\text{K}\beta$  line, however we find that a statistically better fit is obtained with two absorption layers than a single layer, even if that layer is allowed to partially cover the source (which can affect the  $\text{K}\alpha/\text{K}\beta$  ratio).

We conclude that a combination of the  $\text{K}\beta$  line from the first layer of absorption and the  $\text{K}\alpha$  line from a second layer of absorption is likely the reason for the 9 keV feature, although we cannot be completely sure, as more complex models that we have not considered may be able to produce a stronger  $\text{K}\beta$  feature.

### 4.2 Dynamics

Assuming that both layers of absorption are due to an outflowing wind, we can make approximate estimates of their relative locations and the amount of power in each layer. The parameters for the slower UFO do not differ significantly from those found in Parker et al. (2018b), so for that absorption we use the same derived quantities.

A lower limit on the radius of a wind comes from requiring that the wind velocity exceed the escape velocity, i.e.  $v > (2GM/r)^{1/2}$ . For the faster absorption, this gives a lower limit of  $r > 4 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}$  ( $27r_{\text{G}}$ ), compared with  $1.3 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}$  ( $89r_{\text{G}}$ ). An upper limit comes from requiring that the flux be high enough to ionize the gas to the observed level, given the column density (Table 2):  $r < L_{\text{ion}}/\xi N_{\text{H}}$  (taking the ionizing luminosity of  $\sim 1 \times 10^{45} \sim 1 \times 10^{46} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  from the X-ray and EUV fluxes from SED modelling in Lee et al. 2013). This gives a range of  $1.5 \times 10^{17} \sim 1.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}$  ( $1000 \sim 10000r_{\text{G}}$ ) for the slower absorber, and  $1.3 \times 10^{16} \sim 1.5 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}$  ( $90 \sim 900r_{\text{G}}$ ) for the faster absorber.

Making the conservative assumption that the winds are located at the radii corresponding to the escape velocity and using the formula from Krongold et al. (2007), assuming the factor describing the opening and viewing angles of the wind,  $f(\delta, \phi) = 1.5$ , we find mass outflow rates of  $2.8 \times 10^{26} \text{ g s}^{-1}$  and  $2.6 \times 10^{25} \text{ g s}^{-1}$  ( $\sim 4 M_{\odot}$  per year), respectively, and kinetic powers of  $2.8 \times 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  and  $8.5 \times 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  ( $0.02$  and  $0.07 L_{\text{Edd}}$ ). These values are approximate, but they are consistent with a wind model where multiple streamlines cross the line of sight, with faster, hotter material located at smaller radii (e.g. Fukumura et al. 2015), and meet the predicted 0.5–5 per cent threshold for AGN feedback (Di Matteo, Springel & Hernquist 2005; Hopkins & Elvis 2010).

An alternative model for strongly blueshifted absorption lines was presented by Gallo & Fabian (2011), Gallo & Fabian (2013), and

recently shown to fit the spectrum of IRAS 13224-3809 by Fabian et al. (2020). In this scenario, the blueshifted absorption arises from a surface layer on the disc where these relativistic velocities arise naturally. While this is not the focus of this letter, we note that this model could produce an apparently weaker Fe  $K\alpha$  line as only the reflected emission is affected by the absorption, so an increase in the power-law continuum would reduce the apparent equivalent width of the stronger  $K\alpha$  line more than  $K\beta$ .

### 4.3 Comparison with PDS 456

Unlike the faster UFO zone identified in PDS 456 (Reeves et al. 2018), this feature appears to be persistent. Assuming that the possible feature identified at the same energy in Parker et al. (2018b) is the same as the feature we find with the new observations, then it has persisted for over a decade without significant changes. The most likely explanation for this is that the *XMM-Newton* and *NuSTAR* observations of IRAS 13349+2438 have all occurred at roughly the same flux level, despite the high variability of the source on long time-scales (Parker et al. 2018b). The strength of the UFO lines in PDS 456 appears to be flux dependent (Parker et al. 2018a), as in other sources (Parker et al. 2017b, a; Pinto et al. 2018; Igo et al. 2020), and the range of fluxes observed in PDS 456 is much greater than in IRAS 13349+2438 (e.g. Matzeu et al. 2017). It therefore seems likely that the equivalent material in PDS 456 is fully ionized for a large fraction of the time. Further observations of IRAS 13349+2438 aimed at high or low-flux states should be able to determine whether the same behaviour takes place in this source.

## 5 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented new X-ray observations of the quasar IRAS 13349+2438 with *NuSTAR* and *XMM-Newton*. The spectra show a firm detection of a second Fe absorption line, likely from a second layer of gas with a velocity of  $v \sim 0.27c$ . This is consistent with a stratified wind scenario, where faster, higher ionization streamlines originate from the inner accretion disc, and slower, colder streamlines are launched further out.

We also find multiple Ly  $\alpha$  lines at low energies in a stacked *XMM-Newton* EPIC-pn spectrum including all archival data. These lines are consistent with the blueshift of the lower velocity Fe line, suggesting a common origin.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

MLP and GM are supported by European Space Agency (ESA) research fellowships. This letter was written during the 2020 Covid-19 outbreak. The authors would like to thank the medical staff of our respective countries for their work fighting the global pandemic. We thank the referee, James Reeves, for detailed and constructive feedback.

## DATA AVAILABILITY

The data underlying this article are subject to an embargo of 12 months from the date of the observations. Once the embargo expires, the data will be available from the *XMM-Newton* science archive (<http://nxs.esac.esa.int/>) and the *NuSTAR* archive ([https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/nustar/nustar\\_archive.html](https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/nustar/nustar_archive.html)).

## REFERENCES

- Braito V. et al., 2018, *MNRAS*, 479, 3592  
 Chartas G., Brandt W. N., Gallagher S. C., Garmire G. P., 2002, *ApJ*, 579, 169  
 Dauser T., García J., Walton D. J., Eikmann W., Kallman T., McClintock J., Wilms J., 2016, *A&A*, 590, A76  
 Di Matteo T., Springel V., Hernquist L., 2005, *Nature*, 433, 604  
 Fabian A. C. et al., 2020, *MNRAS*, 493, 2518  
 Fukumura K., Tombesi F., Kazanas D., Shrader C., Behar E., Contopoulos I., 2015, *ApJ*, 805, 17  
 Gallo L. C., Fabian A. C., 2011, *MNRAS*, 418, L59  
 Gallo L. C., Fabian A. C., 2013, *MNRAS*, 434, L66  
 García J. et al., 2014, *ApJ*, 782, 76  
 Hopkins P. F., Elvis M., 2010, *MNRAS*, 401, 7  
 Igo Z. et al., 2020, *MNRAS*, 493, 1088  
 Kaastra J. S., Mewe R., Nieuwenhuijzen H., 1996, in Yamashita K., Watanabe T., eds, *UV and X-ray Spectroscopy of Astrophysical and Laboratory Plasmas*. Universal Academy Press, Tokyo, Japan, p. 411  
 Krause M. O., Oliver J. H., 1979, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 8, 329  
 Krongold Y., Nicastro F., Elvis M., Brickhouse N., Binette L., Mathur S., Jiménez-Bailón E., 2007, *ApJ*, 659, 1022  
 Lee J. C. et al., 2013, *MNRAS*, 430, 2650  
 Matzeu G. A., Reeves J. N., Nardini E., Braito V., Turner T. J., Costa M. T., 2017, *MNRAS*, 465, 2804  
 Matzeu G. A. et al., 2019, *MNRAS*, 483, 2836  
 Parker M. L. et al., 2017a, *MNRAS*, 469, 1553  
 Parker M. L. et al., 2017b, *Nature*, 543, 83  
 Parker M. L., Reeves J. N., Matzeu G. A., Buisson D. J. K., Fabian A. C., 2018a, *MNRAS*, 474, 108  
 Parker M. L., Matzeu G. A., Guainazzi M., Kalfountzou E., Miniutti G., Santos-Lleó M., Schartel N., 2018b, *MNRAS*, 480, 2365  
 Parker M. L. et al., 2019, *MNRAS*, 490, 683  
 Pinto C. et al., 2018, *MNRAS*, 476, 1021  
 Ponti G., Papadakis I., Bianchi S., Guainazzi M., Matt G., Uttley P., Bonilla N. F., 2012, *A&A*, 542, A83  
 Pounds K. A., Reeves J. N., Page K. L., Wynn G. A., O'Brien P. T., 2003, *MNRAS*, 342, 1147  
 Reeves J. N., Braito V., Nardini E., Lobban A. P., Matzeu G. A., Costa M. T., 2018, *ApJ*, 854, L8  
 Sako M. et al., 2001, *A&A*, 365, L168  
 Steenbrugge K. C., Kaastra J. S., de Vries C. P., Edelson R., 2003, *A&A*, 402, 477  
 Steenbrugge K. C. et al., 2005, *A&A*, 434, 569

This paper has been typeset from a  $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$  file prepared by the author.