

Publication Year	2021
Acceptance in OA@INAF	2022-06-10T14:07:30Z
Title	MIGHTEE-H I: the baryonic Tully-Fisher relation over the last billion years
Authors	Ponomareva, Anastasia A.; Mulaudzi, Wanga; Maddox, Natasha; Frank, Bradley S.; Jarvis, Matt J.; et al.
DOI	10.1093/mnras/stab2654
Handle	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/32273
Journal	MONTHLY NOTICES OF THE ROYAL ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY
Number	508

MNRAS **508**, 1195–1205 (2021) Advance Access publication 2021 September 17

MIGHTEE-H I: the baryonic Tully–Fisher relation over the last billion years

Anastasia A. Ponomareva⁽⁰⁾,¹* Wanga Mulaudzi,² Natasha Maddox⁽⁰⁾,³ Bradley S. Frank,^{2,4,5} Matt J. Jarvis¹⁰, ^{1,6} Enrico M. Di Teodoro, ^{7,8} Marcin Glowacki, ^{6,9} Renée C. Kraan-Korteweg, ² Tom A. Oosterloo,^{10,11} Elizabeth A. K. Adams⁽⁰⁾,^{10,11} Hengxing Pan⁽⁰⁾,^{1,6} Isabella Prandoni⁽⁰⁾,¹² Sambatriniaina H. A. Rajohnson,² Francesco Sinigaglia,^{13,14} Nathan J. Adams^(a),¹ Ian Heywood,^{1,4,15} Rebecca A. A. Bowler⁽⁰⁾,¹ Peter W. Hatfield⁽⁰⁾,¹ Jordan D. Collier^{5,16,17} and Srikrishna Sekhar⁽⁰⁾,^{6,18} ¹Oxford Astrophysics, Denys Wilkinson Building, University of Oxford, Keble Rd, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK ²Department of Astronomy, University of Cape Town, Private Bag X3, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa ³Faculty of Physics, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Scheinerstr 1, D-81679 Munich, Germany ⁴South African Radio Astronomy Observatory, 2 Fir Street, Observatory, Cape Town 7925, South Africa ⁵The Inter-University Institute for Data Intensive Astronomy (IDIA), and University of Cape Town, Private Bag X3, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa ⁶Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of the Western Cape, Robert Sobukwe Road, Bellville 7535, South Africa ⁷Department of Physics & Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA ⁸Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA ⁹Inter-University Institute for Data Intensive Astronomy, Bellville 7535, South Africa ¹⁰ASTRON, the Netherlands Institute for Radio Astronomy, Oude Hoogeveesedijk 4, NL-7991 PD Dwingeloo, the Netherlands ¹¹Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, PO Box 800, NL-9700 AV Groningen, the Netherlands ¹²INAF-IRA, Via P. Gobetti 101, I-40129 Bologna, Italy ¹³Department of Physics and Astronomy, Universitá degli Studi di Padova, Vicolo dell'Osservatorio 3, I-35122 Padova, Italy

¹⁴INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, Vicolo dell'Osservatorio 5, I-35122 Padova, Italy

¹⁵Department of Physics and Electronics, Rhodes University, PO Box 94, Makhanda 6140, South Africa

¹⁶School of Science, Western Sydney University, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith, NSW 2751, Australia

¹⁷CSIRO Astronomy and Space Science, PO Box 1130, Bentley, WA 6102, Australia

¹⁸National Radio Astronomy Observatory, 1003 Lopezville Road, Socorro, NM 87801, USA

Accepted 2021 September 10. Received 2021 August 13; in original form 2021 June 25

ABSTRACT

Using a sample of 67 galaxies from the MeerKAT International GigaHertz Tiered Extragalactic Exploration Survey Early Science data, we study the H_I-based baryonic Tully–Fisher relation (bTFr), covering a period of ~1 billion years ($0 \le z \le 0.081$). We consider the bTFr based on two different rotational velocity measures: the width of the global H_I profile and V_{out} , measured as the outermost rotational velocity from the resolved H_I rotation curves. Both relations exhibit very low intrinsic scatter orthogonal to the best-fitting relation ($\sigma_{\perp} = 0.07 \pm 0.01$), comparable to the *SPARC* sample at $z \simeq 0$. The slopes of the relations are similar and consistent with the $z \simeq 0$ studies ($3.66^{+0.35}_{-0.29}$ for W_{50} and $3.47^{+0.37}_{-0.30}$ for V_{out}). We find no evidence that the bTFr has evolved over the last billion years, and all galaxies in our sample are consistent with the same relation independent of redshift and the rotational velocity measure. Our results set-up a reference for all future studies of the H_I-based bTFr as a function of redshift that will be conducted with the ongoing deep SKA pathfinders surveys.

Key words: Galaxies: evolution - Galaxies: kinematics and dynamics - Galaxies: spiral - dark matter.

1 INTRODUCTION

The Tully–Fisher relation (TFr; Tully & Fisher 1977) is among the most fundamental dynamical scaling relations for spiral galaxies. It links the luminosity of a spiral galaxy to its rotational velocity through a very tight correlation. It was first used as a redshift independent tool to measure distances to galaxies, with the aim to measure the peculiar velocities and reconstruct local galaxy flows (e.g. Courtois et al. 2012; Tully et al. 2013, 2014, 2019; Dupuy

* E-mail: anastasia.ponomareva@physics.ox.ac.uk

et al. 2021). Since then, it has been extensively studied in the field of galaxy formation and evolution. It has been shown to hold for rotating galaxies of all morphological types (Chung et al. 2002; Courteau et al. 2003; den Heijer et al. 2015; Karachentsev, Kaisina & Kashibadze Nasonova 2017), in different environments (Willick 1999; Abril-Melgarejo et al. 2021), and over a large wavelength range from farultraviolet to near-infrared (NIR; Verheijen 2001; Ponomareva et al. 2017). Consequently, it has become a major tool with which to test galaxy formation and evolution models by examining their ability to reproduce the statistical properties of the TFr (slope, scatter, and zero-point).

The nature of the TFr is considered to be understood as the relation between two fundamental properties of spiral galaxies: their baryonic content, characterized by the luminosity, and the total dynamical mass, characterized by the rotational velocity. The luminosity traces the stellar mass of a galaxy, which is the reason why the infrared TFr has been the preferred choice for distance measurement, since the infrared is emitted predominantly from the old stellar population responsible for the bulk of the stellar mass (Sorce et al. 2013). The stellar mass, in turn, is a good proxy for the total baryonic mass. However, this is a reasonable assumption only for galaxies with rotational velocities $\gtrsim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The lower velocity regime is populated by the gas-rich dwarf galaxies whose mass is dominated by cold gas (HI) rather than stars (McGaugh et al. 2000). Thus, inclusion of the cold gas mass resulted in the most fundamental form of the TFr: the baryonic Tully–Fisher relation (bTFr), the tight linear relation that spans \sim 5 dex in baryonic mass (McGaugh 2012; Lelli, McGaugh & Schombert 2016b; Lelli et al. 2019).

At $z \simeq 0$, the bTFR has been extensively studied for various galaxy sample, and has been the focus for testing different methods to evaluate the stellar mass and the rotational velocity of galaxies. The largest study to date is based on the SPARC (Spitzer Photometry & Accurate Rotation Curves) data base, which consists of 175 spiral galaxies with high-quality HI rotation curves (Lelli, McGaugh & Schombert 2016a). The importance of the resolved HI rotation curves for the bTFr studies has been extensively discussed in the literature (Verheijen 2001; Noordermeer et al. 2007; Lelli et al. 2016b; Ponomareva et al. 2017, 2018). Lelli et al. (2019) analysed the SPARC sample based on different velocity definitions, such as W_{50} - the most commonly used rotational velocity measure derived from the integrated H I line profile, V_{flat} – velocity measured at the flat part of the extended H I rotation curve, and V_{max} – the maximum rotational velocity measured from the HI rotation curve. They found that the use of V_{flat} yields the tightest bTFr with the steepest slope. These results agree with previous studies, which were based on much smaller samples (Verheijen 2001; Ponomareva et al. 2018), as well as with the recent studies based on simulations (Glowacki, Elson & Davé 2020). The results of the SPARC study provide important constraints on theories of galaxy formation and evolution: (1) the intrinsic scatter of the bTFr at $z \simeq 0$ is below the lowest value expected in Λ CDM cosmology (Dutton 2012); (2) the bTFr slope, when based on V_{flat} , must be in the range of 3.5-4, which is higher than the slope predicted by the basic ACDM models (McGaugh 2012); (3) the bTFr residuals do not correlate with galaxy size or surface brightness, contrary to the expectations from galaxy formation and evolution models (Desmond & Wechsler 2015); (4) Iorio et al. (2017) showed that there is no evidence for curvature at the low-mass end of the bTFr, despite the predictions by some semi-analytical galaxy formation models (Trujillo-Gomez et al. 2011; Desmond 2012). Moreover, Mancera Piña et al. (2019, 2020) have recently discovered that ultradiffuse galaxies seem to be the only known population of galaxies which lies off the bTFr. This result challenges our current understanding of the feedback processes in dwarf galaxies.

To date, HI remains difficult to detect in emission beyond $z \simeq 0$, particularly for radio interferometers that are needed to provide spatially resolved HI kinematics. Therefore, other kinematic tracers of galaxies have been used to study the potential evolution of the bTFr with redshift. Topal et al. (2018) used carbon monoxide (CO) and found no bTFr evolution over the redshift range $0.05 \le z \le 0.3$. Übler et al. (2017) and Tiley et al. (2016, 2019) used optical kinematic tracers such as H α emission line and found conflicting results at $z \approx 1$. The use of these kinematic tracers, however, has various drawbacks. First, both CO and optical emission lines have

compact distributions and may not fully probe the dark matter (DM) halo potential, unlike H I, which extends far beyond the optical radius (Frank et al. 2016). Secondly, it is extremely challenging to compare the results at higher redshift to those at z = 0. For example, Tiley et al. (2019) showed that observational data quality can strongly bias the statistical properties of the measured TFr. This is especially important when comparing data that are not produced and analysed homogeneously. Ideally, one would need to compare the statistical properties of the bTFr at different redshifts using a blind, volume-limited survey of galaxies, selected in the same way.

The predictions from cosmological simulations and semianalytical models of galaxy formation regarding the evolution of the bTFr are also limited. A recent study by Glowacki, Elson & Davé (2021) aimed to provide predictions for future H I surveys using the state-of-the-art cosmological simulation SIMBA (Davé et al. 2019) and found a clear evolution of the best-fitting linear parameters of the bTFR over the redshift range $z = 0 \rightarrow 1$, which can be mostly explained by the differences in the merger histories of the DM haloes.

Fortunately, the forthcoming HI surveys with the SKA pathfinder telescopes, such as Looking At the Distant Universe with the MeerKAT Array (LADUMA; Blyth et al. 2016) and the Deep Investigation of Neutral Gas Origins (Meyer 2009), together with existing surveys such as COSMOS H1 Large Extragalactic Survey (Hess et al. 2019) and Blind Ultradeep HI Environmental Survey (Gogate et al. 2020), have the potential to systematically study HI in galaxies over a large range of redshifts. Another such survey is the MeerKAT International GigaHertz Tiered Extragalactic Exploration (MIGHTEE), one of the first deep, blind, medium-wide interferometric surveys for HI ever undertaken (Jarvis et al. 2016). It will detect more than 1000 galaxies in H I up to z = 0.6, thus allowing the systematic study of the evolution of the neutral gas content of galaxies over the past 5 billion years in different environments (Ranchod et al. 2021) using direct detections and statistical stacking methods (Maddox et al. 2021; Pan et al. 2020, 2021).

In this work, we use the MIGHTEE Early Science data to perform, for the first time, a homogeneous study of the H I-based bTFr over the last billion years ($0 \le z \le 0.081$). Furthermore, we consider the bTFr based on two velocity measures: W_{50} from the corrected width of the global H I profile, and V_{out} , the rotational velocity measured at the outermost point of the resolved H I rotation curves. This allows us to study how the statistical properties of the bTFr change with redshift and with different definitions of the rotational velocity. Moreover, this is the first study which tests a completely automated version of ^{3D} Barolo (Di Teodoro & Fraternali 2015) at higher redshift, software that was developed to derive H I rotation curves for the marginally resolved galaxies, in preparation for the new generation of large H I surveys.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the MIGHTEE Survey and the Early Science data. Section 3 describes the baryonic mass measurements. Section 4 describes different velocity measurements. Section 5 discusses the results. Summary and conclusions are presented in Section 6.

2 MIGHTEE SURVEY

The MIGHTEE is a survey of four well-known deep, extragalactic fields currently being observed by MeerKAT, the SKA precursor radio interferometer located in South Africa (Jonas 2009). MeerKAT consists of 64 offset Gregorian dishes (13.5 m diameter main reflector and 3.8 m sub-reflector), and equipped with three receivers: *UHF* band (580 < ν < 1015 MHz), *L* band (900 < ν < 1670 MHz), and *S* band (1750 < ν < 3500 MHz). The MeerKAT data are

Area covered	1 deg ² COSMOS field		
	3 deg ² XMMLSS field		
Obs. time	16 h COSMOS field		
	14 h each 1 deg ² of XMMLSS field		
Frequency range	1310–1420 MHz		
Channel width	208 kHz		
Pixel size	2 arcsec		
Median HI channel rms noise	$85 \mu Jy beam^{-1}$		
Synthesized beam	14.5 arcsec × 11 arcsec COSMOS field		
	$12 \operatorname{arcsec} \times 10 \operatorname{arcsec} XMMLSS $ field		
$N_{\rm HI}$ sensitivity (3 σ)	$1.6 \times 10^{20} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ (per channel)		

collected in spectral mode, which makes MIGHTEE a spectral line, continuum, and polarization survey. The H I emission project within the MIGHTEE survey (MIGHTEE–H I) is described in detail in Maddox et al. (2021).

The Early Science MIGHTEE-HI observations were conducted between mid-2018 and mid-2019. These observations were performed with the full array (64 dishes) in L band, but with a limited spectral resolution (208 kHz, 44 km s^{-1} at = 0). The MIGHTEE-HI Early Science visibilities were processed with the PROCESS-MEERKAT calibration pipeline (Frank et al., in preparation). The pipeline is CASA¹-based (McMullin et al. 2007) and performs standard data reduction and calibration tasks for spectral line data such as flagging, bandpass, and complex gain calibration. Spectral line imaging was performed using CASA's task TCLEAN (ROBUST = 0.5). The continuum subtraction was done in both the visibilities and imaging domain using standard CASA routines UVSUB and UVCON-TSUB. Per-pixel median filtering was applied to the resulting data cubes to reduce the impact of the direction-dependent artefacts. A full description of the data reduction strategy and data quality assessment will be presented in Frank et al. (in preparation). The Early Science data used in this paper are summarized in Table 1.

The source finding was performed visually, using the Cube Analysis and Rendering Tool for Astronomy (Comrie et al. 2020), and unguided by the deep optical information available for these well-studied fields, by the MIGHTEE-HI group. The total Early Science sample consists of 276 objects each with an identified optical counterpart (Maddox et al. 2021).

3 BARYONIC MASS

Throughout this paper, we refer to the baryonic mass (M_{bar}) as a sum of the stellar mass component and the total neutral atomic gas mass: $M_{gas} = M_{H1} \times 1.4$, where M_{H1} is the H I gas mass, and the factor 1.4 accounts for the primordial abundance of helium and metals (Arnett 1999). We do not take the molecular gas into account since it has been found to have a negligible effect on the statistical properties of the bTFr (Ponomareva et al. 2018).

3.1 Stellar mass

All MIGHTEE targeted fields have a comprehensive range (from Xray to far-infrared) of ancillary data from various multiwavelength photometric and spectroscopic surveys. The main photometric surveys, relevant for the stellar mass measurements, include the optical photometry from Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope Legacy Survey (CFHTLS; Cuillandre et al. 2012), HyperSuprimeCam (HSC; Aihara et al. 2018, 2019), NIR photometry from the VISTA Deep Extragalactic Observations (VIDEO; Jarvis et al. 2013) and UltraVISTA (McCracken et al. 2012). All ancillary data are described in detail in Maddox et al. (2021).

The magnitudes of the sample galaxies were measured by extracting the flux within an elliptical aperture, which was defined in the *g* band and applied to the *urizYJHKs* bands. The spectral energy distribution (SED) fitting code LEPHARE (Arnouts et al. 1999; Ilbert et al. 2006) was then used to derive the stellar properties of the galaxies, such as stellar mass, stellar age, and star formation rate. We adopt a conservative uncertainty of the stellar mass for each galaxy of ~0.1 dex (Adams et al. 2021).

3.2 HI mass

The total HI mass of each galaxy was calculated using

$$\left(\frac{M_{\rm HI}}{\rm M_{\odot}}\right) = \frac{2.356 \times 10^5}{1+z} \left(\frac{D_L}{\rm Mpc}\right)^2 \left(\frac{S}{\rm Jy\,km\,s^{-1}}\right),\tag{1}$$

where $D_{\rm L}$ is the cosmological luminosity distance to the source, z is the redshift, and S is the integrated H I flux density, calculated from the moment-0 maps, as described in Meyer et al. (2017). Moment-0 maps were constructed for each galaxy individually as follows: first, the cubelets (cut-out from the original data cube centred on each detection) were smoothed to a circular beam of 20 arcsec \times 20 arcsec and clipped at 3σ threshold (where σ was obtained by measuring the noise over an emission-free region of the cubelet and calculating the standard deviation). The resulting mask was applied to the original resolution cubelet, thus allowing to take into account low column density diffuse HI emission. The moment-0 maps were constructed using the resulting masked cubelet.² Then, every moment-0 map was examined by eye, and emission from the galaxy was isolated by masking out the noise peaks and negative flux values if any were present. To calculate the error on the integrated flux S, we projected the source mask, used to construct the moment-0 map, to four emission free regions around the detection. We then measured the signal in each of the four regions and defined the uncertainty in the integrated flux of a galaxy as the mean rms scatter of the four flux measurements in the projected masks (Ramatsoku et al. 2016). As a result, the typical uncertainty on the HI mass varies from \sim 5 per cent for the high-mass galaxies to \sim 20 per cent for the lowest mass objects ($M_{\rm H\,I} \leq 10^8 \,\rm M_{\odot}$).

4 ROTATIONAL VELOCITIES

4.1 Inclinations

The observed rotational velocity of a galaxy can be converted to an intrinsic velocity by taking into proper account the geometry of the source. Thus, any rotational velocity measure should be corrected for the inclination effect. For face-on discs, inclination corrections become very large due to the sin (*i*) dependence. Even though there are proposed methods to estimate the statistical properties of the bTFr with no prior knowledge of the inclination (Obreschkow & Meyer 2013), they assume that galaxies follow the known functional form of the relation and a galaxy sample obeys a TFr with normal scatter, which might not be the case for a z > 0 study.

²Throughout the paper, we use the spectral-cube package (Robitaille et al. 2016) for our HI data analyses, if not stated otherwise.

Usually inclinations are measured using infrared photometry due to low extinction in the infrared bands. However, infrared bands trace older stellar populations that do not reside in the thin disc. A parameter that accounts for the thickness of a galactic disc (q0) is then used in addition to the axial ratio to measure the inclination (Mihalas & Binney 1981). To date, there is no agreement on the best value for q0. Some studies argue that it depends on the morphology of a galaxy and should vary (Giovanelli et al. 1997), while Tully et al. (2009) suggest that q0 should be fixed for all galaxies to avoid systematic uncertainties.

Conveniently, in addition to the stellar disc, also the HI discs can be used to measure the inclination angles. In general, the HI disc is much thinner than the stellar disc and its intrinsic thickness can be neglected (Verheijen & Sancisi 2001). However, due to disc flaring the HI disc can become significantly thicker in the outer parts (Bacchini et al. 2019). We tested a thicker disc by setting q0 = 0.2, but found consistent results. Therefore, in what follows we assume an infinitely thin HI disc for simplicity and for fair comparison with the measurements from ^{3D} Barolo (see Section 4.3.1).

We measure inclination angles (i_{H1}) of our sample galaxies using H1 moment-0 maps as

$$\cos^{2}(i_{\rm H\,I}) = \frac{b^{2} - \theta_{b}^{2}}{a^{2} - \theta_{a}^{2}},\tag{2}$$

where *b* and *a* are the minor and major axis of the H I moment-0 map, measured by fitting an ellipse to the outermost reliable contour equal to $1 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-2}$, θ_b and θ_a are the sizes of the synthesized beam, used to correct for the beam smearing effect, which can make galaxies look rounder if they are not well resolved (Verheijen & Sancisi 2001). We assign a conservative error on the disc ellipticity of ~ 10 per cent to account for the resolution and disc flaring effects, which results in the mean uncertainty of the i_{H1} of ~5°.

4.2 Line width measurement

Global H I line profiles not only hold information about the amount of H I gas in galaxies, but also about its kinematics. Usually, the line width for the bTFr studies is measured at 50 per cent of the peak flux density of the global H I line profile (W_{50}) and, if corrected for instrumental broadening and random motions, gives a good representation of the maximum rotational velocity measured from a spatially resolved rotation curve: $2V_{max} = W_{50}/\sin(i;$ see fig. 6 in Ponomareva, Verheijen & Bosma 2016).

For this study, we measure W_{50} for each galaxy by fitting the Busy function (BF; Westmeier et al. 2013), using multinest to explore the posterior distribution (Feroz & Hobson 2008; Feroz, Hobson & Bridges 2009).

The BF is defined as

$$B(x) = \frac{a}{4} [\operatorname{erf}(b_1 \{W + x - x_e\}) + 1] \times [\operatorname{erf}(b_2 \{W - x + x_e\}) + 1] \times [c|x - x_p|^n + 1], \quad (3)$$

where $\operatorname{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x \exp(-t^2) dt$ is the Gaussian error function, *a* is the total amplitude scaling factor, b_1 and b_2 describe the steepness of the line flanks, W is the half-width of the H_I global profile, x_e and x_p are the offsets for the error functions and the polynomial, *c* is the scaling factor of polynomial trough, and *n* is the order of the polynomial.

The fit was performed with PYMULTINEST and uses the default initial parameters, such as tolerance = 0.5 and live points = 1000 (Buchner et al. 2014). The prior distributions of each parameter of the BF were set in the following ranges: $a: U \in [0, 1], b_1: U \in [0, 1]$,



Figure 1. The measured global H I line profile of a galaxy from our sample is shown with the black solid line. The resulting BF PYMULTINEST model is shown with the blue solid line.

 b_2 : U \in [0, 1], W: log \in [1, 10³], x_e : U \in [- 300, 300], x_p : U \in [- 300, 300], c: log \in [10⁻⁹, 10⁻⁷], n: U \in [2, 8], where U stands for the uniform range and log for logarithmic. Full details of the line-profile fitting will be presented in Mulaudzi et al. (in preparation). Fig. 1 illustrates an example of the fit for one of the sample galaxies.

The resulting W_{50} values were corrected for instrumental broadening and turbulent motions following the standard prescriptions (Verheijen & Sancisi 2001). Specifically, the instrumental broadening correction for our data is ~26 km s⁻¹, while 5 km s⁻¹ was adopted as a standard correction for the turbulent motions when W_{50} is matched to V_{max} (Ponomareva et al. 2016).

The posterior distributions sampled by PYMULTINEST provide the typical error associated with W_{50} to be $\sim 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. We combine this error in quadrature with a systematic uncertainty of 10 per cent of the line width to account for the masking applied prior to the fit, which is not fully accounted for in the fitting process.

4.3 3D kinematic modelling

Though W_{50} can provide a reliable estimate of the rotational velocity of a galaxy, its measurement is dominated by the high-density gas that tends to reside in the central regions of a galaxy. The kinematics of this gas may not be representative of the full potential of the system and W_{50} may differ from the velocities measured in the outer parts of spatially resolved rotation curves (Verheijen 2001; Ponomareva et al. 2016; Lelli et al. 2019). Therefore, to be able to study the bTFr in detail and compare to the existing studies at z = 0 accurate 3D kinematic modelling is required.

Kinematic modelling has always been a complex procedure. The so-called tilted-ring modelling technique (Rogstad, Lockhart & Wright 1974) originally developed to fit the 2D velocity fields of spiral galaxies, required H_I observations to have very high spatial and velocity resolution, coupled with high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) to derive a good-quality rotation curve. However, with new observational facilities and in preparation for the modern H_I surveys, new techniques and software have been developed that allow high quality kinematic modelling, even for marginally resolved galaxies, exploiting the full 3D parameter space of the data cubes (e.g. ^{3D} Barolo, Di Teodoro & Fraternali 2015, TiRiFiC, Józsa et al. 2007).

Nowadays, kinematic modelling software is able to constrain the dynamics of the gas when a galaxy is resolved with only three resolution elements across its major axis and the SNR is larger than two (Di Teodoro & Fraternali 2015). Moreover, differently



Figure 2. Difference between the inclinations obtained with ^{3D} Barolo and the H_I inclinations: panel (a) shows the results of a blind, completely automated run; panel (b) shows the results obtained when H_I inclinations were used as initial estimate and kept free.

from the past, when a set of initial estimates for the galaxy main parameters (such as systemic velocity, position of the centre of a galaxy, position and inclination angles) was required to derive a rotation curve, state-of-the-art kinematic modelling software is able to perform a fit completely blind.

For our study, we use the latest fully automated version of ^{3D} Barolo (1.6.1), a tool for fitting 3D tilted-ring models to emission-line data cubes (Di Teodoro & Fraternali 2015).

4.3.1 The sample and results

We construct our initial bTFr kinematic sample as follows. First, we use the tight relation between the H I mass and H I diameter (Wang et al. 2016) to define the radial extent of each galaxy,³ and retain those galaxies that have a size of at least with three resolution elements (see Table 1 for the beam sizes). We then select galaxies with corrected $W_{50} > 44 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ since we are limited by the velocity resolution of the data. Finally, we select galaxies with $i_{\text{HI}} > 20$ deg because geometric corrections to the rotational velocities are large and uncertain for the less inclined galaxies⁴ (Verheijen 2001; Ponomareva et al. 2016; Lelli et al. 2019). Consequently, our initial bTFr sample consists of 93 galaxies, which is ~ 40 per cent of the entire sample of the MIGHTEE-H I Early Science Data.

We conduct the first completely blind run of ^{3D} Barolo on our initial sample, requesting two points of rotation curve per synthesized beam. In practice, the first run of ^{3D} Barolo finds a galaxy in the cubelet and estimates its radial extent, centre, and systemic velocity. These parameters are then kept fixed, while rotation velocity, position and inclination angles, and velocity dispersion are fitted. While ^{3D} Barolo performs well in recovering various parameters of galaxies, including the position angle of each source, inclination angles have been proven to remain a challenge. Fig. 2(a) shows the comparison between inclinations measured from H_I moment-0 maps (Section 4.3.1). While the majority of inclinations are within 10° of *i*_{H1}, a clear trend is visible: ^{3D} Barolo tends to overestimate inclinations for low values

of $i_{\rm H\,I}$ and underestimate them at higher inclinations. Following this, we perform a second run of the ^{3D} Barolo modelling, but this time we use the $i_{\rm H\,I}$ values as initial estimate and keep them unconstrained during the fit. In this case, ^{3D} Barolo recovers the initial inclination values within ~5° range, see Fig. 2(b). Therefore, the inclination of a galaxy remains the main caveat for the blind kinematic modelling for low-resolution data, and should be measured carefully in advance either from the H I moment-0 maps, or from ancillary photometry. We note that the inclination measured from our optical ancillary data and the H I data are consistent.

We then perform an assessment of the resulting models by visually inspecting the data, model and residuals, as well as the resulting rotation curves projected on the position–velocity diagrams (see figs 5 and 6 in Maddox et al. 2021). Only 67 of 93 galaxies pass our visual assessment criteria.⁵ They form the final bTFr sample that covers the 0.006 < z < 0.081 redshift range.⁶

Resolved rotation curves represent the velocity of a galaxy as a function of radius. Normally, the velocities for the bTFr are measured either at the peak of the rotation curve (V_{max}) or as an average velocity of its flat part (V_{flat}). As stated previously, the use of the latter provides the tightest bTFR, since it is likely to better trace the DM halo potential (Ponomareva et al. 2018; Lelli et al. 2019). However, since our sample galaxies cover a wide range of radial extents (\sim 30 beams for large nearby spirals down to three beams for the sources at the highest redshift), we base our analysis on the rotational velocity measured at the outermost H I radius $V_{out} = V(R_{out}; Papastergis \&$ Shankar 2016). This is not only to simplify the analysis, but also to have a homogeneous measurement of the rotational velocity for all galaxies in the sample, given that the definition of the flat part of the rotation curve consisting of few points is very ambiguous (Lelli et al. 2016b). Therefore, our V_{out} measurements are a good representation of V_{flat} for objects that are well resolved, and of V_{max} for objects that are marginally resolved.

The typical uncertainty on V_{out} , including the uncertainty on inclination (~5°) and position angles (~7°), is consistent with a half channel width. Fig. 3 shows the comparison between the corrected W_{50} and the derived V_{out} for our final bTFr sample of galaxies. For a fair comparison of the two different rotational velocity measures, we

³Our MIGHTEE-H I galaxy sample has been shown to closely follow this relation during the data quality control check (Frank et al, in preparation).

 $^{{}^{4}}i_{H1} > 20^{\circ}$ is somewhat more permissive than the classical approach where only galaxies with inclinations higher than 40° are included in the TFr samples (Read et al. 2016). However, we do not find any systematic effects associated with including galaxies of lower inclinations.

⁵SNR and velocity resolution are the main reasons why ^{3D} Barolo is not able to model the kinematics of some galaxies in our initial sample.

⁶The machine-readable table, containing all measurements used in this analysis can be found at https://bit.ly/3zKPwdW.



Figure 3. Comparison between corrected W_{50} s and rotational velocities measured from the rotation curves. The one-to-one relation is shown by the dashed line.

correct our W_{50} values by the kinematic inclinations produced during the second run of ^{3D} Barolo. We also propagate the uncertainty of the kinematic inclination angles into the uncertainty on W_{50} . Overall the two measurements are in excellent agreement, with the mean of the difference ($W_{50} - 2V_{out}$) equal to 0.02 dex, and the standard deviation equal to 0.06 dex.

5 RESULTS

In this section, we present the statistical properties of the H_I-based baryonic TFr beyond z = 0 for different rotational velocity measures (W_{50} and V_{out}).

5.1 Fitting method

Even though the bTFr is a basic linear relation, the choice of the fit is not trivial because errors on both axes as well as intrinsic scatter should be taken into account. There have been a number of studies,

suggesting that the choice of the fitting technique can significantly affect the bTFr (Bradford, Geha & van den Bosch 2016; Sorce & Guo 2016). For example, it was shown that the slope of a TFr can be affected by Malmquist bias if a direct least-squares fit is used (Tully & Courtois 2012), which can be resolved with the use of an inverse fit (Willick 1994). Moreover, it is important to keep in mind that the vertical scatter of the bTFr is highly slope dependent. Hence, an intrinsically tight linear relation may have a large vertical scatter due to the steep slope (Verheijen 2001; Ponomareva et al. 2017). It is important to take all these effects into account when studying the statistical properties of the bTFr. Lelli et al. (2019) compared three different types of linear fits and found that the maximum likelihood method that considered the orthogonal intrinsic scatter (σ_{\perp}) to be the preferred model. Ponomareva et al. (2017) and Stone, Courteau & Arora (2021) also found that the orthogonal linear regression model that minimizes the orthogonal distances from the data points to the fitted line better describes the intrinsic properties of any TFr.

For our study, we perform linear fits with a maximum likelihood model that takes the errors in both directions into account and assumes a Gaussian distribution to describe the intrinsic scatter along the perpendicular direction to the best-fitting line. We follow the prescriptions described in Lelli et al. (2019) and use the standard affine-invariant ensemble sampler for Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) *emcee* (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013) to map the posterior distributions of the main statistical properties: slope, zero-point, and intrinsic scatter. For the fit, we initialize the chains with 50 random walkers, run 1000 iterations and re-run the simulation with 1000 steps. The starting position of the walkers is set randomly within realistic ranges: slope [3.0, 5.0], zero-point [1.0, 5.0], and intrinsic orthogonal scatter (σ_{\perp}) [0.01, 0.25]. The convergence of the chains is checked visually.

Fig. 4 shows these posterior distributions for the bTFr based on both W_{50} , panel (a) and V_{out} , panel (b). Table 2 summarizes the values obtained for the slope, zero-point, σ_{\perp} , and standard observed vertical scatter [σ , see equation (3) in Ponomareva et al. 2017]. Asymmetric errors are estimated at the 68 per cent confidence level of the marginalized 1D posterior distributions of the fitting parameters.



Figure 4. The posterior distributions of the slope, zero-point, and intrinsic scatter for the bTFR based on W_{50} (a) and V_{out} (b). The red crosses and the solid lines show the maximum likelihood values. The black contours indicate 68 and 95 per cent confidence levels.

Table 2. The statistical properties of the baryonic TFr based on two velocity measures, corrected W_{50} and V_{out} , and obtained with the maximum likelihood orthogonal model.

Sample size: 67	W ₅₀	V _{out}	
Slope	$3.66^{+0.35}_{-0.29}$	$3.47_{-0.30}^{+0.37}$	
Zero-point	$1.60^{+0.69}_{-0.82}$	$2.10^{+0.71}_{-0.86}$	
Scatter (σ)	0.33	0.32	
Intrinsic scatter (σ_{\perp})	$0.07\substack{+0.01 \\ -0.01}$	$0.07\substack{+0.01 \\ -0.01}$	

5.2 The evolution of the bTFr at $0 \le z \le 0.081$

Fig. 5 shows the bTFr for our sample galaxies for the two velocity measures: W_{50} , panel (a) and V_{out} , panel (b) colour-coded as a function of redshift. It clearly shows that the majority of galaxies lie along the best-fitting line, independent of the redshift.

However, a slight Malmquist bias can be seen in our sample. The low-redshift galaxies ($z \le 0.04$) lie within the 2 dex mass range $8.6 \le \log(M_{bar})[M_{\odot}] \le 10.6$, while galaxies at z > 0.04 occupy a higher mass range from $9.7 \le \log(M_{bar})[M_{\odot}] \le 11.2$ (Fig. 6). To investigate if there is any evidence that the bTFr evolves over the last one billion years, we fit low- and high-*z* samples separately in the same manner as described in Section 5.1. The resulting fits are shown in Fig. 6 and Table 3 for both W_{50} and V_{out} . We find the slopes and zero-points of both fits to be similar and consistent within the errors for W_{50} , as well as consistent with the best-fitting relation of the full sample. We therefore can conclude that our analysis does not suggest any evolution of the baryonic TFr during the last billion years.

5.3 The bTFr at $0 \le z \le 0.081$ and different velocity definitions

Using the orthogonal maximum likelihood method, we find the slopes of the relations based on the two different velocity measures to be consistent within the uncertainties (Fig. 4, Table 2). However, it is somewhat surprising that W_{50} yields a slightly steeper slope than V_{out}. Previous studies (Ponomareva et al. 2018; Lelli et al. 2019), which used different velocity definitions, find that the slope of the relation is the steepest when the flat part of the rotation curve (V_{flat}) is used as the velocity measure, and becomes shallower when other definitions such as W_{50} or V_{max} are considered. These trends are well understood, and attributed to the shapes of the rotation curves (Verheijen 2001; Noordermeer et al. 2007; Ponomareva et al. 2017). Maximum rotational velocities are measured in the inner parts of spiral galaxies and tend to overestimate the rotational velocity if compared to the outer regions where the rotation curves reach the flat part (V_{flat}). For dwarf galaxies, rotation curves do not reach the flat part, and the maximum rotational velocities tend to underestimate $V_{\text{flat.}}$ Consequently, the slope of the relation will get shallower because the velocity of high-mass galaxies will be overestimated, shifting them to the right, while it will be underestimated for lowmass galaxies, moving them to the left.

Comparing our slopes and zero-points to the Lelli et al. (2019) relations based on W_{50} , V_{flat} , and V_{max} , we find that our measurements for W_{50} are consistent within the errors, but our V_{out} results are more consistent with their relation based on V_{max} rather than V_{flat} . This suggests that V_{out} in our study might be more representative of the maximum rotational velocity than of the rotational velocity measured at the flat part of the rotation curve. As mentioned previously, our V_{out} measurements are a mixture of V_{max} and V_{flat} , depending on the spatial



Figure 5. The bTFr with data points colour-coded as a function of redshift: panel (a) shows the bTFr based on the corrected W_{50} as a rotational velocity measure; panel (b) shows the bTFr based on V_{out} . The best fit is shown by a solid black line, and the 1σ uncertainty of the fit, sampled from the MCMC posteriors (Fig. 4) is shown with the shaded area.



Figure 6. The bTFr based on corrected W_{50} , panel (a) and V_{out} , panel (b). The high-redshift galaxies (z > 0.04) are shown with the orange symbols, while the low-redshift galaxies ($z \le 0.04$) are shown with the blue symbols. The best-fitting for the full sample is shown with the straight black line, while the fits for low-and high-z samples are shown with the blue-dashed and orange dash–dotted lines, respectively.

Table 3. The slope and zero-point of the baryonic TFr based on W_{50} and V_{out} for the full, low-*z* and high-*z* samples, obtained with the maximum likelihood orthogonal model. N is the number of galaxies in the sample.

Sample	Slope		Zero-	point
	W ₅₀	Vout	W ₅₀	Vout
$0 \le z \le 0.081 \ (N = 67)$	$3.66^{+0.35}_{-0.29}$	$3.47^{+0.37}_{-0.3}$	$1.60^{+0.69}_{-0.82}$	$2.10_{-0.86}^{+0.71}$
$z \le 0.04 \; (N = 23)$	$4.04_{-0.52}^{+0.74}$	$3.85_{-0.50}^{+0.76}$	$0.77^{+1.16}_{-1.67}$	$1.23^{+1.15}_{-1.73}$
z > 0.04 (N = 44)	$3.83\substack{+0.84 \\ -0.59}$	$3.31\substack{+0.71 \\ -0.49}$	$1.15\substack{+1.43 \\ -2.03}$	$2.51^{+1.16}_{-1.70}$

resolution of a galaxy. Fig. 6(b) shows that the slope for the low-*z* sample is steeper (although still consistent within the uncertainties), than for the high-*z* sample. Interestingly, the slope of the low-*z* sample is identical to the one found by Lelli et al. 2019 (3.85) with the relation based on V_{flat} , while the high-*z* slope is more consistent with their slope for V_{max} . We therefore conclude that our V_{out} is a good representation of V_{flat} for the low-redshift galaxies, and mostly likely traces V_{max} for the high-redshift galaxies that are naturally less resolved. This will be further tested when the new MIGHTEE survey data with higher velocity resolution becomes available.

Interestingly, we find no difference in the intrinsic scatter between the relations, based on different velocity measures as often found at z = 0 (Table 2). We find both relations to be relatively tight $\sigma_{\perp} = 0.07 \pm 0.01$, however, not consistent with zero intrinsic scatter within 3σ as suggested for z = 0 (Lelli et al. 2019). Future work with the full MIGHTEE-HI sample and higher velocity resolution will provide further constraints on the intrinsic scatter of the bTFr beyond z = 0.

In general, it is very encouraging that we find our results to be so consistent with the SPARC measurements. Not only because our HI data cover different redshift range, and are of different spatial and velocity resolution, but also because of the different methods followed to estimate the stellar masses of galaxies. While SPARC uses a nearly constant mass-to-light ratio at 3.6 µm, we use the SED-fitting technique (Section 3.1). It was shown by Ponomareva et al. (2018) that the chosen method to estimate the stellar mass of spiral galaxies can have a significant effect on the statistical properties of the bTFr, with the SED-fitting producing masses that yield the highest intrinsic scatter. However, our result suggests that it is not the SED-fitting per se, but the quality of the photometric data that affect the statistical measurements. The high quality of the photometry in the MIGHTEE fields allows us to recover a small intrinsic scatter independently of the method used to estimate stellar mass.

6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we present the first study of the H I-based bTFr over the last billion years using the Early Science data from the MIGHTEE survey. We are for the first time able to compare a higher redshift study to the local (z = 0) bTFr, derived from one homogeneous single survey, where observations and analyses of sources were treated in the same manner. This study aims to investigate the statistical properties of the bTFr based on two different velocity measures: corrected W_{50} from the global H I line profile, and V_{out} measured from the resolved H I rotation curves. We derive resolved H I rotation curves at z > 0 for the first time using a fully automated kinematic

as follows: (i) The inclination of a galaxy is the main caveat for blind automated kinematic modelling, with ^{3D} Barolo able to recover all galaxy parameters blindly, except for inclination. Therefore, inclinations should be measured carefully in advance either from the H I moment-0 maps or from the ancillary photometry, and provided

(ii) We find no evidence for evolution of the bTFr over the last billion years, independent of the rotational velocity definition used $(W_{50} \text{ or } V_{out})$.

as an initial estimate.

(iii) The bTFr over the last billion years is relatively tight with orthogonal intrinsic scatter $\sigma_{\perp} = 0.07 \pm 0.01$, comparable to the *SPARC* sample at $z \simeq 0$ (0.035 \pm 0.006 for W_{50} and 0.04 \pm 0.006 for V_{max}), but not consistent with zero intrinsic scatter within 3σ . To be able to provide as accurate comparison as possible, we employ the same maximum likelihood fit as (Lelli et al. 2019), that considers the orthogonal intrinsic scatter.

(iv) We find the slopes of our relations to be consistent within the errors $(3.66^{+0.35}_{-0.29} \text{ for } W_{50} \text{ and } 3.47^{+0.37}_{-0.30} \text{ for } V_{\text{out}})$ as well as consistent within the errors with the $z \simeq 0$ studies $(3.62 \pm 0.09 \text{ for } W_{50} \text{ and } 3.52 \pm 0.07 \text{ for } V_{\text{max}})$. Unexpectedly, we find that the use of the corrected W_{50} results in a steeper slope, suggesting that our definition of V_{out} is consistent with V_{max} for high-redshift galaxies and with V_{flat} for the low-redshift sample. We will test this further when the full data with higher velocity resolution will be available from the complete MIGHTEE survey. Nevertheless, our results showing consistency with the largest study conducted at $z \simeq 0$ are a major breakthrough in studying the H I-based bTFr and resolved H I kinematics at higher redshifts.

New observational facilities such as MeerKAT, have a potential to transform our knowledge about the HI distribution and kinematics at high redshifts, well before the SKA era. The MIGHTEE Large Survey Program is well underway, and will give us an opportunity to extend the current study up to $z \simeq 0.5$, including the redshift evolution directly in the relation. Improved velocity resolution will be essential for the detailed study of not only the statistical properties of the bTFr, but also of the mass models of galaxies beyond z = 0. MIGHTEE is strongly complementary with the LADUMA survey (Maddox, Jarvis & Oosterloo 2016), and combined they will provide crucial constraints on the evolution of HI up to $z \sim 1$, and to the current models of galaxy formation and evolution.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee for valuable comments and suggestions that significantly improved this manuscript. We also thank Maarten Baes for providing useful comments.

The MeerKAT telescope is operated by the South African Radio Astronomy Observatory, which is a facility of the National Research Foundation, an agency of the Department of Science and Innovation. We acknowledge use of the Inter-University Institute for Data Intensive Astronomy (IDIA) data intensive research cloud for data processing. IDIA is a South African university partnership involving the University of Cape Town, the University of Pretoria, and the University of the Western Cape. The authors acknowledge the Centre for High Performance Computing (CHPC), South Africa, for providing computational resources to this research project. This work is based on data products from observations made with ESO Telescopes at the La Silla Paranal Observatory under ESO programme ID 179.A-2005 (Ultra-VISTA) and ID 179.A-2006 (VIDEO) and on data products produced by CALET and the Cambridge Astronomy Survey Unit on behalf of the Ultra-VISTA and VIDEO consortia. Based on observations collected at the European Southern Observatory under ESO programmes 179.A-2005 (UltraVISTA), and 179.A-2006 (VIDEO). Based on observations obtained with MegaPrime/MegaCam, a joint project of CFHT and CEA/IRFU, at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT), which is operated by the National Research Council (NRC) of Canada, the Institut National des Science de l'Univers of the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) of France, and the University of Hawaii. This work is based in part on data products produced at Terapix available at the Canadian Astronomy Data Centre as part of the CFHTLS, a collaborative project of NRC and CNRS. The HSC collaboration includes the astronomical communities of Japan and Taiwan, and Princeton University. The HSC instrumentation and software were developed by the National Astronomical Observatory of Japan (NAOJ), the Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (Kavli IPMU), the University of Tokyo, the High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), the Academia Sinica Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics in Taiwan (ASIAA), and Princeton University. Funding was contributed by the FIRST program from Japanese Cabinet Office, the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS), Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), the Toray Science Foundation, NAOJ, Kavli IPMU, KEK, ASIAA, and Princeton University.

AAP and MJJ acknowledge support of the STFC consolidated grant ST/S000488/1. WM, RKK, and SHAR are supported by the South African Research Chairs Initiative of the Department of Science and Technology and National Research Foundation. MJJ and IH acknowledge support from the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council (ST/N000919/1). MJJ, HP, and IH acknowledge support from the South African Radio Astronomy Observatory (SARAO) which is a facility of the National Research Foundation (NRF), an agency of the Department of Science and Innovation. MJJ and PWH acknowledge support from the Oxford Hintze Centre for Astrophysical Surveys that is funded through generous support from the Hintze Family Charitable Foundation. NM acknowledges support from the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) award 05A20WM4. RAAB acknowledges support from an STFC Ernest Rutherford Fellowship (grant number ST/T003596/1). IP acknowledges financial support from the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation (MAECI grant number ZA18GR02) and the South African Department of Science and Technology's National Research Foundation (DST-NRF grant number 113121) as part of the ISARP RADIOSKY2020 Joint Research Scheme. EMDT was supported by the US National Science Foundation under grant number 1616177. EAKA is supported by the WISE research programme, which is financed by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO). NJA acknowledges funding from the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) grant Code ST/R505006/1.

This research has used NASA's Astrophysics Data System Bibliographic Services. This research used ASTROPY,⁷ a communitydeveloped core PYTHON package for Astronomy.

⁷http://www.astropy.org

DATA AVAILABILITY

The machine-readable table, containing all measurements used in this analysis can be found here. The complete fitting parameters produced for both bTFrs can be found here.

The MIGHTEE-HI spectral cubes will be released as part of the first data release of the MIGHTEE survey, which will include cubelets of the sources discussed in this paper. The derived quantities from the multiwavelength ancillary data will be released with the final data release of the VIDEO survey mid-2021. Alternative products are already available from the *Herschel* Extragalactic Legacy Project (Shirley et al. 2021) and also soon from the Deep Extragalactic VIsible Legacy Survey (Davies et al. 2021).

REFERENCES

- Abril-Melgarejo V. et al., 2021, A&A, 647, A152
- Adams N. J., Bowler R. A. A., Jarvis M. J., Haußler B., Lagos C. D. P., 2021, MNRAS, 506, 4933
- Aihara H. et al., 2018, PASJ, 70, S4
- Aihara H. et al., 2019, PASJ, 71, 114
- Arnett D., 1999, Ap&SS, 265, 29
- Arnouts S., Cristiani S., Moscardini L., Matarrese S., Lucchin F., Fontana A., Giallongo E., 1999, MNRAS, 310, 540
- Bacchini C., Fraternali F., Iorio G., Pezzulli G., 2019, A&A, 622, A64
- Blyth S. et al., 2016, in Proc. Sci., MeerKAT Science: On the Pathway to the SKA. SISSA, Trieste, PoS#004
- Bradford J. D., Geha M. C., van den Bosch F. C., 2016, ApJ, 832, 11
- Buchner J. et al., 2014, A&A, 564, A125
- Chung A., van Gorkom J. H., O'Neil K., Bothun G. D., 2002, AJ, 123, 2387
- Comrie A. et al., 2020, CARTA: The Cube Analysis and Rendering Tool for Astronomy. Available at https://zenodo.org/record/3403491#.YVUi BPIBzIU
- Courteau S., Andersen D. R., Bershady M. A., MacArthur L. A., Rix H.-W., 2003, ApJ, 594, 208
- Courtois H. M., Hoffman Y., Tully R. B., Gottlöber S., 2012, ApJ, 744, 43
- Cuillandre J.-C. J. et al., 2012, in Peck A. B., Seaman R. L., Comeron F., eds, Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 8448, Observatory Operations: Strategies, Processes, and Systems IV. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 84480M
- Davé R., Anglés-Alcázar D., Narayanan D., Li Q., Rafieferantsoa M. H., Appleby S., 2019, MNRAS, 486, 2827
- Davies L. J. M. et al., 2021, MNRAS, 506, 256
- den Heijer M. et al., 2015, A&A, 581, A98
- Desmond H., 2012, preprint (arXiv:1204.1497)
- Desmond H., Wechsler R. H., 2015, MNRAS, 454, 322
- Di Teodoro E. M., Fraternali F., 2015, MNRAS, 451, 3021
- Dupuy A., Courtois H. M., Guinet D., Tully R. B., Kourkchi E., 2021, A&A, 646, A113
- Dutton A. A., 2012, MNRAS, 424, 3123
- Feroz F., Hobson M. P., 2008, MNRAS, 384, 449
- Feroz F., Hobson M. P., Bridges M., 2009, MNRAS, 398, 1601
- Foreman-Mackey D., Hogg D. W., Lang D., Goodman J., 2013, PASP, 125, 306
- Frank B. S., de Blok W. J. G., Walter F., Leroy A., Carignan C., 2016, AJ, 151, 94
- Giovanelli R., Haynes M. P., da Costa L. N., Freudling W., Salzer J. J., Wegner G., 1997, ApJ, 477, L1
- Glowacki M., Elson E., Davé R., 2020, MNRAS, 498, 3687
- Glowacki M., Elson E., Davé R., 2021, MNRAS, 507, 3267
- Gogate A. R., Verheijen M. A. W., Deshev B. Z., van Gorkom J. H., Montero-Castaño M., van der Hulst J. M., Jaffé Y. L., Poggianti B. M., 2020, MNRAS, 496, 3531
- Hess K. M. et al., 2019, MNRAS, 484, 2234

- Ilbert O. et al., 2006, A&A, 457, 841-856
- Iorio G., Fraternali F., Nipoti C., Di Teodoro E., Read J. I., Battaglia G., 2017, MNRAS, 466, 4159
- Jarvis M. J. et al., 2013, MNRAS, 428, 1281
- Jarvis M. et al., 2016, Proc. MeerKAT Sci.: On the Pathway to the SKA. SISSA, Trieste, PoS#006
- Jonas J. L., 2009, IEEE Proc., 97, 1522
- Józsa G. I. G., Kenn F., Klein U., Oosterloo T. A., 2007, A&A, 468, 731
- Karachentsev I. D., Kaisina E. I., Kashibadze Nasonova O. G., 2017, AJ, 153, 6
- Lelli F., McGaugh S. S., Schombert J. M., 2016a, AJ, 152, 157
- Lelli F., McGaugh S. S., Schombert J. M., 2016b, ApJ, 816, L14
- Lelli F., McGaugh S. S., Schombert J. M., Desmond H., Katz H., 2019, MNRAS, 484, 3267
- Maddox N., Jarvis M. J., Oosterloo T. A., 2016, MNRAS, 460, 3419
- Maddox N. et al., 2021, A&A, 646, A35
- Mancera Piña P. E. et al., 2019, ApJ, 883, L33
- Mancera Piña P. E. et al., 2020, MNRAS, 495, 3636
- McCracken H. J. et al., 2012, A&A, 544, A156
- McGaugh S. S., 2012, AJ, 143, 40
- McGaugh S. S., Schombert J. M., Bothun G. D., de Blok W. J. G., 2000, ApJ, 533, L99
- McMullin J. P., Waters B., Schiebel D., Young W., Golap K., 2007, in Shaw R. A., Hill F., Bell D. J., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 376, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XVI.Astron. Soc. Pac., San Fransisco, p.127
- Meyer M., 2009, in Panoramic Radio Astronomy: Wide-field 1-2 GHz Research on Galaxy Evolution. p. 15
- Meyer M., Robotham A., Obreschkow D., Westmeier T., Duffy A. R., Staveley-Smith L., 2017, PASA, 34, E052
- Mihalas D., Binney J., 1981, Science, 214, 829
- Noordermeer E., van der Hulst J. M., Sancisi R., Swaters R. S., van Albada T. S., 2007, MNRAS, 376, 1513
- Obreschkow D., Meyer M., 2013, ApJ, 777, 140
- Pan H., Jarvis M. J., Allison J. R., Heywood I., Santos M. G., Maddox N., Frank B. S., Kang X., 2020, MNRAS, 491, 1227
- Pan H., Jarvis M. J., Ponomareva A. A., Santos M. G., Allison J. R., Maddox N., Frank B. S., 2021, preprint (arXiv:2109.04273)
- Papastergis E., Shankar F., 2016, A&A, 591, A58
- Ponomareva A. A., Verheijen M. A. W., Bosma A., 2016, MNRAS, 463, 4052
- Ponomareva A. A., Verheijen M. A. W., Peletier R. F., Bosma A., 2017, MNRAS, 469, 2387
- Ponomareva A. A., Verheijen M. A. W., Papastergis E., Bosma A., Peletier R. F., 2018, MNRAS, 474, 4366
- Ramatsoku M. et al., 2016, MNRAS, 460, 923
- Ranchod S. et al., 2021, MNRAS, 506, 2753
- Read J. I., Iorio G., Agertz O., Fraternali F., 2016, MNRAS, 462, 3628
- Robitaille T., Ginsburg A., Beaumont C., Leroy A., Rosolowsky E., 2016, Astrophysics Source Code Library, record ascl:1609.017
- Rogstad D. H., Lockhart I. A., Wright M. C. H., 1974, ApJ, 193, 309
- Shirley R. et al., 2021, preprint (arXiv:2105.05659)
- Sorce J. G., Guo Q., 2016, MNRAS, 458, 2667
- Sorce J. G. et al., 2013, ApJ, 765, 94
- Stone C., Courteau S., Arora N., 2021, ApJ, 912, 41
- Tiley A. L. et al., 2016, MNRAS, 460, 103
- Tiley A. L. et al., 2019, MNRAS, 482, 2166
- Topal S., Bureau M., Tiley A. L., Davis T. A., Torii K., 2018, MNRAS, 479, 3319
- Trujillo-Gomez S., Klypin A., Primack J., Romanowsky A. J., 2011, ApJ, 742, 16
- Tully R. B., Courtois H. M., 2012, ApJ, 749, 78
- Tully R. B., Fisher J. R., 1977, A&A, 500, 105
- Tully R. B., Rizzi L., Shaya E. J., Courtois H. M., Makarov D. I., Jacobs B. A., 2009, AJ, 138, 323

- Tully R. B. et al., 2013, AJ, 146, 86
- Tully R. B., Courtois H., Hoffman Y., Pomarède D., 2014, Nature, 513, 71
- Tully R. B., Pomarède D., Graziani R., Courtois H. M., Hoffman Y., Shaya E. J., 2019, ApJ, 880, 24
- Übler H. et al., 2017, ApJ, 842, 121
- Verheijen M. A. W., 2001, ApJ, 563, 694
- Verheijen M. A. W., Sancisi R., 2001, A&A, 370, 765
- Wang J., Koribalski B. S., Serra P., van der Hulst T., Roychowdhury S., Kamphuis P., Chengalur J. N.,2016, MNRAS, 460, 2143
- Westmeier T., Jurek R., Obreschkow D., Koribalski B. S., Staveley-Smith L., 2013, MNRAS, 438, 1176
- Willick J. A., 1994, ApJS, 92, 1
- Willick J. A., 1999, ApJ, 516, 47

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Supplementary data are available at MNRAS online.

bTFRfit.zip

master_sample_bTFr.txt

Please note: Oxford University Press is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.