

Publication Year	2017
Acceptance in OA	2023-01-20T11:13:43Z
Title	Processing tools refinement for the JIRAM arrival to Jupiter
Authors	Moriconi, Maria L., NOSCHESE, RAFFAELLA, ADRIANI, Alberto
Publisher's version (DOI)	10.1140/ерјр/і2017-11548-у
Handle	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/32944
Journal	THE EUROPEAN PHYSICAL JOURNAL PLUS
Volume	132

Processing Tools Refinement in View of the JIRAM
Arrival to Jupiter
Maria L. Moriconi ² , R. Noschese ¹ , A. Adriani ¹
Maria L. Moriconi, ISAC-CNR, Via Fosso del Cavaliere, 100, 00133 Roma, Italy. (<u>m.moriconi@isac.cnr.it</u>)
Raffaella Noschese, IAPS-INAF, Via Fosso del Cavaliere, 100, 00133 Roma, Italy. (<u>raffaella.noschese@iaps.inaf.it</u>)
Alberto Adriani, IAPS-INAF, Via Fosso del Cavaliere, 100, 00133 Roma, Italy. (alberto.adriani@iaps.inaf.it)
Corresponding author: Maria L. Moriconi, Institute of Atmospheric and Climatic Sciences - CNR, Roma, Italy. (<u>m.moriconi@isac.cnr.it</u>)
¹ Institute of Astrophysics and Space Planetology-INAF, Roma, Italy
² Institute of Atmospheric and Climatic Sciences - CNR, Roma, Italy.
Abstract
The JUNO mission, launched on August 2011 with the goal of investigating the origin and evolution of Jupiter, has been planned to reach Jupiter in July 2016. The months preceding the JUNO orbit insertion have been crucial for all the instrument teams to check the status and working abilities of the respective experiments. JIRAM (Jupiter Infrared Auroral Mapper), with its imager and slit spectrometer operating over the 2-5 μ m spectral range [1] will attempt to reveal the deep atmospheric composition – 3 to 7 bars – in hot spots [2], to analyze the infrared auroral emissions of the H ₃ ⁺ molecules ionized by the Jovian magnetosphere currents and to detect the morphology and vertical structure of the clouds. Many different processing tools are in preparation to exploit the incoming JIRAM data. Here some results pertaining the image quality optimization and the visualizations that can be obtained from the spectrometer data management

28

1. Introduction 30

JIRAM, onboard the Juno spacecraft, arrived at Jupiter on July 4 2016 with the goal to sound 31 Jupiter's aurora and, more in general, the upper layers of the Jupiter's atmosphere [1]. JIRAM is 32 essentially composed by a slit spectrometer and an imager, sharing the same telescope. The 33 imager focal plane is in turn divided in two equal areas defined by the superimposition of two 34

different band-pass filters: filter L, centered at 3.45 μm with a 290 nm bandwidth, and filter M,
 centered at 4.78 μm with a 480 nm bandwidth. The spectrometer's slit is co-located in the M-

37 filter imager's FOV (Figure 1).

The Juno spacecraft is planned to orbit 36 times along highly elliptical polar orbits. For each 38 orbit the JIRAM observation period will be limited to a few hours before and after the perijove 39 40 time. Indeed during the perijove pass the quality of the measurements could be strongly reduced and the focal planes could be at risk of damage by the environmental radiation (energetic 41 electrons and protons) present in the Jupiter's magnetosphere. Therefore the extreme impact of 42 the energetic particles will be prevented turning off the device during the mission phases where 43 the spacecraft will cross the space regions at highest energetic particle density. Outside these 44 regions the observations can be done according to the type of the planned observation. Auroral 45 spectra and images are at higher risk of contamination for their low signal that requires long 46 integration times up to the limit of the JIRAM capability. A custom denoising tool has been 47 therefore implemented on the specific JIRAM demand. This tool takes advantage from the 48 49 application of a contamination model on Jupiter sample images. This model predicts the noise on JIRAM focal planes by means a custom GEANT4 software application, based on the estimates of 50 the "raw" external Juno radiation environment from the Divine/GIRE family of Jovian radiation 51 models [3-5]; http://www.openchannelfoundation.org/projects/GIRE/). A description of the 52 techniques setup to reduce the noise by environmental radiation on the JIRAM imagery is given 53 in section 2 and a preview of the visualization tools assortment organized at today is presented in 54 section 3. In section 4 a summary of the state of art and the works in progress are reported. 55 56



- 57 58
- 59
- 60
- Figure 1 Sketch of the optical arrangement of the two JIRAM's focal planes.
- 61
- 62 63

2. Noise reduction

Denoising is a reconstruction process in images that is intended to remove values that represent the noise or "foreign objects", or those artifacts that are not part of the image and conceal the true signal. Traditional linear filtering techniques frequently tend to blur the original signal, while this secondary effect can be overcome by using nonlinear ones. One popular class of nonlinear

filtering techniques is based on the singular-value-decomposition (SVD) method [6]. As a digital 68 image can be represented by a matrix of values, a pixel in an image corresponds to an intensity 69 value in the matrix. The SVD method works by identifying noisy pixel values by the small 70 singular values of the whole matrix. Often these SVD based algorithms are applied to the whole 71 image in a single, compute-intensive step, and do not address the problem of distinguishing 72 between significant and non-significant singular values. An improvement to this situation can be 73 74 achieved working on a block-based technique. More in detail a "neighborhood mask", also known as kernel, can be created, sliding across the input image at each point. Our denoising tool, 75 customized on the JIRAM demand, merges these two techniques. In other words a 5x5 kernel is 76 used as "neighborhood mask" sliding across every point of the JIRAM data images. For each 77 position the array is eventually organized as a vector where the single elements of the kernel are 78 sorted for increasing data value. Then the 25th element of this vector is replaced by the median 79 value if it results greater than the small singular value identified as minimum threshold applying 80 the SVD method to the 5x5 array kernel. The same procedure is applied for every data pixel 81 82 sliding the kernel across the whole image. This procedure can be reiterated as long as the percent error calculated from the pixel data of the de-noised respect to the not contaminated image 83

reaches a threshold value arbitrarily fixed or tends to an asymptotic value. For JIRAM data we fixed for the percent error a value inside the -10%/10% interval.

To check the validity of the above mentioned tool a test has been carried out on two Jupiter sample pictures, imaging respectively a planet disk and a North Pole aurora (Figure 2).

Both the pictures of Figure 2 have been rescaled to the dynamical range expected for the proper
half focal plane of the imager – M and L band in a and b panels of the figure.

90 91

92

2.1. Noise detector from penetrating radiation

93 As reported in the Introduction, a Geant4 simulation for the JIRAM instrument has been performed to determine the penetration efficiencies of high energy electrons and protons. A 94 detailed description of the method is reported in Becker et al. (2017). Briefly, the 436 \times 270 95 HgCdTe pixel array of the JIRAM detector is the target where the penetrating event rate of the 96 environment radiation is simulated in events s⁻¹ units. The energy deposited in the active regions 97 of the HgCdTe pixels is analyzed and associated with the unique external electron (or proton) 98 99 responsible for the noise. The deposited energy is converted into signal electrons using an ionization rate of 1.2 eV per electron-hole pair (Klein, 1968). The typical noise signal due to an 100 impacting electron is ~ 64 DN per pixel (camera gain = 173 e/DN). Impacts typically affect only 101 1 to 2 adjacent pixels due the lack of pixel-to-pixel diffusion in the JIRAM focal plane array. The 102 103 method permits us to simulate the contamination produced by different levels of environmental 104 radiation on the Jupiter images in DN.



107

Figure 2 – Two sample pictures of Jupiter used to check the tolls for the noise reduction. Panel a: the planetary disk at 5 μ m. Panel b: the North Pole aurora at UV wavelength.

108 109 110

111

2.2. M band contamination and denoising

The Jupiter disk image in M band, shown in Figure 2a, has been contaminated by means a 112 simulated noise obtained from the combination of the measured dark current and the 113 environmental radiation simulated as already described in section 1. The dark current values are 114 those acquired during the Juno flyby at the Earth-Moon system and the radiation levels range 115 from 10^3 and 10^4 e⁻/cm² *sec for the M band dynamical range. In Figure 3a the picture of Figure 116 117 2a after the contamination is shown, along with the product of the application of three denoising tools: a Kuan filter, a Median filter and the denoising tool setup by the team where the Median 118 filtering has been applied after the SVD analysis of the digital image. 119

Kuan filter is a minimum square error denoising technique built to improve images contaminatedby random multiplicative noise. It is a linear filter which general form is

- 122
- 123 124

 $R(i,j) = \overline{I}(i,j) + [I(i,j) - \overline{I}(i,j) \times W(i,j)]$

where (i,j) are the spatial coordinates of the current pixel, \overline{I} is the average of the pixels intensity in the moving window and W, characteristic for Kuan, is the weighting function ranging between 0 for flat regions and 1 for regions with high signal activity. The pixels to be filtered are replaced by the values calculated using the surrounding pixels.

Median filter is a nonlinear filtering method that replaces the original value of a pixel by the median of the pixels values in a specific neighborhood. Nonlinear filters derive from maximum likelihood estimation principle and assume the signal to be contaminated by additive noise Laplace distributed.

SVD+Median filter, already described at the beginning of this section, demonstrated the most efficient tool both in removing the simulated noise and to preserve the spatial feature edges in the image, as confirmed by a simple calculation of the percent error between the uncontaminated and filtered images whose vertical profile is reported in Figure 4.

- 137
- 138
- 139

140 *2.3. L band contamination and denoising*

141

FUV imaging of the polar regions with the Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS) -142 onboard the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) - provided a global view of the Jovian aurora with 143 unprecedented spatial resolution. We have contaminated a STIS observations (Figure 2b) by the 144 145 aforementioned JPL environmental radiation model and repeated the test already carried out for the M band case. As a very large digital matrix corresponds to this image, the noise matrix 146 (432x256) it was necessary to replicate it, up to cover the whole image. This operation involved a 147 periodicity in the noise structure, as shown in Figure 5a, conditioning the filtering result. 148 However this is a false problem because this artifact will not be present in the real observations. 149

- In this case the maximum level of environmental radiation $(10^5 \text{ e}^{-1}/\text{cm}^2 \text{ *sec})$ compatible with the 150 JIRAM operative state has been applied to better simulate the more severe conditions that the 151 instrument undergoes passing across the auroral precipitation lines during its polar perijove 152 transit. In Figure 5 the contaminated STIS observation of Figure 2b is shown before and after the 153 SVD+Median filtering. In this case the filtering was not be able to completely remove the noise, 154 as in the M band case, however a satisfactory recover of the auroral spatial features has been 155 reached. The percent error calculation (Figure 6) shows as the uncompleted noise removing 156 exhibits strong oscillations around the central null value in correspondence of the bottom lines of 157 the image, the noisiest in Figure 5b. 158
- 159 All the applied filtering refer to a 5x5 kernel.
- 160



161

162

163

Figure 3 – The effect of the application of three denoising tools on the image of Figure 2a, artificially
 contaminated. Panel a: the contaminated image. Panel b: the same image after the Kuan filter application.
 Panel c: as before after the median filter application. Panel d: as before after the SVD+median filter application.



Figure 4 – The percent difference between the image of Figure 2a and Figure 3d. The plotted vertical profile refers to the sample crossing the center of the two images.

3. Visualizations from spectral data management

JIRAM can directly visualize the selected targets by means of its spectral imagers in L and M band, however it is possible also to take advantage of the spectrometer measurements by combining successive acquisitions of the slit spectral pixels to build a data cube. The reconstruction of the targeted regions, scanned by the spectrometer slit like a push broom remote sensing satellite, would be obtained by linearly interpolating the missing lines between every acquisition.



Figure 5 – As in Figure 3 but in relation to Figure 2b.





Figure 6 – As in Figure 4 but in relation to Figure 5.



Figure 7 – The Jupiter Great Red Spot, as imaged by NIMS at 2 μm, before (a) and after (b) the Minnaert correction for the viewing and illumination angles.

A check of this method has been carried out on Jupiter spectral images acquired by NIMS during
the Galileo mission. Among the many NIMS observations, an image of the GRS (Great Red
Spot) is here reported as case study for its viewing geometry, alike to those of the incoming
JIRAM observations (Figure 7).



Figure 8 – The image of Figure 7 projected in System III planetocentric coordinates. In the three panels we show the image obtained by removing 2 (a), 4 (b) and 8 (c) lines every one from the original image, and linearly interpolating the surviving ones. This operation simulates the result achievable from 0.06, 0.13 and 0.25 of degree separation, respectively, between the consecutive slits of a future real observation of the JIRAM spectrometer.

The variations caused in the original image by viewing and illumination angle effects have been corrected by using a Minnaert function:

 $I(\mu,\mu_0) = I_0 \mu_0^k \mu^{k-1}$

214

211

- 215
- _ . _
- 216 217

with μ the cosine of the emission angle (angle between the line of sight and normal to the 218 surface) and μ_0 the cosine of the incidence angle (angle a solar ray makes with the normal to the 219 surface) [7]. The Minnaert coefficient k has been determined from a fit to the data. From the 220 resulting image different groups of lines have been removed to simulate different separation 221 angles between each simulated scanning. In the NIMS case removing a line of pixels corresponds 222 to give an interval of 0.5 mrad, corresponding to the IFOV, between two successive scanning. In 223 Figure 8 the image reconstructions obtained by removing 2, 4 and 8 lines every one (equivalent 224 225 to 0.06, 0.13 and 0.25 degree values of scanning interval) from the original image are shown.

The outcomes of this case study suggest that a 0.13 deg value is a recommended choice as upper limit to recognize the principal features of an image. This finding has been a valuable input during the observations planning phase of the mission.

229

4. Conclusions

Some of the tools in preparation for the Juno/JIRAM data analysis have been described in this paper. The tool for the reconstruction of successive acquisitions of the spectrometer slit already demonstrated its utility in the observations planning phase. Future management of data mission will asks for always more refined processing tools. The work is in progress. Go Juno!

235

236 Acknowledgments

- The JIRAM project for Juno is supported by the Italian Space Agency.
- 238

239 References

- 240 1. A. Adriani and the JIRAM Team, Space Science Reviews, doi:10.1007/s11214-014-0094-y
 241 (2014)
- 242 2. H. Becker *et al.*, Space Science Reviews, doi: 10.1007/s11214-017-0345-9 (2017).
- 243 3. D. Grassi *et al.*, Planetary and Space Science, doi:10.1016/j.pss.2010.05.003 (2010).
- 4. N. Divine. and H. B. Garrett, J. Geophys. Res., 88, 6889–6903 (1983).
- 245 5. H. B. Garrett *et al.*, JPL Publ., 03–006, 85 (2003).

- 246 6. H. B. Garrett et al., Geophys. Res. Letters, 32, L04104 (2005).
- 247 7. H. C. Andrews and C. L. Patterson, IEEE Transactions on ASSP, 280, 26-53 (1976).
- **8.** B. Hapke, Icarus, doi:10.1016/0019-1035(84)90054-X (1984).
- 249 9. C. A. Klein, J. Appl. Phys., doi:10.1063/1.1656484 (1968).