

Publication Year	2020
Acceptance in OA@INAF	2023-02-09T13:52:55Z
Title	VTX-TN12_Technical_Budgets
Authors	MORETTI, Alberto; Tordi, M.; Zocchi, F.; USLENGHI, MICHELA; Amisano, F.
Handle	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/33336

Doc.: VTX-OAB-ISE-TEC-001 Issue: 01p00 Date: 17 / 04 / 2020 Page: 1 of 24 Title: TN12 Technical Budgets VERT-X Design of Vertical X-Ray Test Facility for ATHENA





VERT-X Design of Vertical X-Ray Test Facility for ATHENA

TN12 TECHNICAL BUDGETS

Doc: VTX-OAB-ISE-TEC-001 Date: 17 / 04 / 2020



Doc.: VTX-OAB-ISE-TEC-001 Issue: 01p02 Date: 17 / 04 / 2020 Page: 2 of 24 Title: TN12 Technical Budgets



CHANGE RECORDS						
ISSUE DATE AUTHOR APPROVE		APPROVED	QA/QC	SECTION / PARAGRAPH AFFECTED	REASON/INITIATION Documents/Remarks	
101p00	23/10/2019				All	First Issue
l01p01	20/12/2019				3 and 4	Inserted error budgets
l01p02	17/04/2020				3.1 and 3.3	Inserted effective area error budget

AUTHORS AND RESPONSIBLES					
Document:	VTX-OAB-ISE-TEC-001				
Issue:	l01p01				
Date:	17/04/2020				
Prepared by:	A. Moretti (INAF – OAB)	Signed by :			
	M. Tordi (EIE)				
	F. Zocchi (MLS)				
	M. Uslenghi (INAF – IASF)				
	F. Amisano (GPAP)				
Checked by:	Alberto Moretti (INAF - OAB)	Signed by:			
Approved by:	Stefano Basso (INAF - OAB)	Signed by:			
Released by:	Alberto Moretti (INAF - OAB)	Signed by:			

CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES			
INAF – OAB	Partner		
INAF - IASF	Partner		
Media Lario	Partner		
EIE	Partner		
GP Advanced Projects	Partner		
BCV	External Service		

Doc.: VTX-OAB-ISE-TEC-001 Issue: 01p02 Date: 17 / 04 / 2020 Page: 3 of 24 Title: TN12 Technical Budgets VERT-X Design of Vertical X-Ray Test Facility for ATHENA



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	4
1.1. SCOPE	4
1.2. APPLICABILITY	4
1.3. ROADMAP	4
2. APPLICABLE AND REFERENCE DOCUMENTS	5
2.1. APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS	5
2.2. REFERENCE DOCUMENTS	5
2.3. GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS AND STANDARD DOCUMENTS	5
2.4. LIST OF ACRONYMS	6
3. MEASURE ERROR BUDGETS	7
3.1. HEW Error budget	7
3.2. A more general approach	9
3.3. Effective area error budget	10
4. TECHNICAL BUDGETS	13
4.1. MASS BUDGET	13
4.2. POWER BUDGET	14
5. VERT-X ELEMENTS BUDGET DETAILS	15
5.1. THERMAL VACUUM CHAMBER	15
5.2. XRS TESTING SYSTEM	22
5.3. X-RAY SOURCE AND COLLIMATOR	23
5.4. DETECTION ASSEMBLY	24



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. SCOPE

The scope of the present document is the illustration of VERT-X technical budgets, according to the outcomes of the System Requirements Review (SRR), the updates of SOW requirements after the SRR and the design suggestions provided by ESA.

1.2. APPLICABILITY

The present document is one of the Preliminary Design Review (PDR) deliverables. It is intended to provide a summary of technical data derived from VERT-X preliminary design activities as well as a reference for the technical budgets definition in the next phases of the study.

Details of technical budgets for the VERT-X facility elements are expected to be updated and expanded with the evolution of VERT-X design.

1.3. ROADMAP

Document section	Content description		
Section 2 (Applicable and reference documents)	List of applicable documents and reference documents.		
Section Error! Reference source not found. (System level budgets)	Presentation of VERT-X facility preliminary technical budgets at system level.		
Section 5 (VERT-X elements budgets details)	Technical budget details for the main VERT-X facility elements.		

Table 1-1: Roadmap of the document



2. APPLICABLE AND REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

2.1. APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS

AD1	AO/1-9549/18/NL/AR - SOW	X-ray Raster Scan Facility for the ATHENA Mirror Assembly SOW
AD2	VERT-INAFOAB-001	VERTICAL X-Ray (VERT-X) Technical Proposal
AD3	ESA-TECMMO-RS-014713	Updated Requirements for the ATHENA VERT-X following the System Requirements Review

2.2. REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

RD1	VTX-OAB-ISE-REP-001 - Conceptual Design Report
RD2	VTX-OAB-ISE-REP-002 - Trade-off Report
RD3	ATHENA - MCF URD, IRD & ICD ISSUE 1.3 [ESA].pdf
RD4	ATHENA - Calibration Requirements Document, ESA-ATH-SP-2016-001, issue 0.5.1.pdf
RD5	ATHENA - Optics Calibration Plan, ESA-ATHENA-ESTEC-SCI-PL-0001, Issue 1.1.pdf
RD6	ATHENA - Acronyms and Definitions ATHENA-ESA-LI-0001
RD7	VTX-EIE-ISE-TEC-002 Raster Scan System
RD8	VTX-MLT-ISE-TEC-001 X-ray Source and Collimator System
RD9	VTX-OAB-ISE-TEC_TN11 Concept of Operation
RD10	STRAY-LIGHT simulation, rw_stray_xrays_Feb_2019, Willingale R. 2019

2.3. GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS AND STANDARD DOCUMENTS

SD1	ECSS-M-40A	Configuration management
SD2	ECSS-M-50A	Information/documentation management



2.4. LIST OF ACRONYMS

AD	Applicable Document
EA	Effective area
EIE	EIE Space Technologies
ESA	European Space Agency
GPAP	GP Advanced Projects
I/F	Interface
IASF	Istituto di AstroFisica Spaziale (INAF, Milano)
INAF	Istituto Nazionale di AstroFisica
ІТТ	Invitation To Tender
MA	Mirror Assembly
MLS	Media Lario S.r.I.
OAB	Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera (INAF, Milano)
PDR	Preliminary Design Review
RD	Reference Document
SD	Standard Document
SOW	Statement of Work
SRR	System Requirements Review
ТВА	To Be Assessed
ТВС	To Be Controlled
TBD	To Be Defined
TEC	Technical Note
TVC	Thermal Vacuum Chamber
VERT-X	VERTICAL X-Ray
VTX	VERT-X
XRS	X-ray Raster Scanner
XYZS	(x, y, z) Stage



3. MEASURE ERROR BUDGETS

Requirements on the PSF are present both in the AD3 and RD4 with some differences. In AD3, the required uncertainty of the HEW both for the verification and calibration phases is 1" for all energies, on- and off-axis angles, with a goal of 0.5" at 99.73% confidence. On the other the RD4 requires an error of 0.1" at 68% confidence together with a 5% uncertainty on the EEF of the wings and the halo.

3.1. HEW Error budget

The most direct way of measuring the HEW of an X-ray telescope (HEW_{MA}) and its PSF is, by construction, the observation of a point-like celestial source when the telescope in on flight. In this case the measure is free of significant systematics, with the statistical error as the main uncertainty source. Minor systematic uncertainties can be given by the detector pixel size, the wobbling of the satellite and the event reconstruction.

On the other hand, the HEW measured during on-ground calibration, as in the case of VERT-X, will be affected by several systematic errors. In fact, the on-ground measure of the HEW of ATHENA MA (HEW_{VTX}) will be the result of the (quadratic) sum of HEW_{MA} with several independent contributions.

We individuate the pointing uncertainty (HEW_{PNT}), the source dimension (HEW_{SOU}), the mirror error (HEW_{MIR}), the relative position between source and collimator (HEW_{FOC}) and the gravity induced distortions (HEW_{GRV}) the as the major ones. The HEW that we will measure at VERT-X will be given by:

 $HEW_{VTX}^2 = HEW_{MA}^2 + HEW_{PNT}^2 + HEW_{SOU}^2 + HEW_{MIR}^2 + HEW_{FOC}^2 + HEW_{GRV}^2$

where HEW_{MA} is the quantity we aim at calibrating and HEW_{VTX} is what we measure. As shown in RD8 the two quantities HEW_{MIR} and HEW_{SOU} combine together linearly. Therefore hereafter we consider them as one single term, named HEW_{SYS}

 $HEW_{VTX}^2 = HEW_{MA}^2 + HEW_{PNT}^2 + HEW_{SYS}^2 + HEW_{FOC}^2 + HEW_{GRV}^2$

Inverting the equation we have that

$$HEW_{MA}^{2} = HEW_{VTX}^{2} - HEW_{PNT}^{2} - HEW_{SYS}^{2} - HEW_{FOC}^{2} - HEW_{GRV}^{2}, \qquad (3.1.1)$$

which is nothing else than a de-convolution. While the measure of HEW_{MA} is the goal of the calibration, at the VERT-X facility we will measure HEW_{VTX}. HEW_{MA} measure will be obtained by de-convolving the known error terms. The calibration requirement on the HEW AKE (HEW < 0.1", RD4) has to be compared with the error on this de-convolution $\sigma_{\text{HEW MA}}^2$.

To calculate the expected value for the $\sigma_{HEW_MA}^2$, first, for simplicity, let's assume that B is the convolution of only two terms

 $B^2 = A^2 + C^2$, where A is the quantity we want to measure.

A will be given by the deconvolution:

 $A^2 = B^2 - C^2$, i.e. $A = \sqrt{B^2 - C^2}$.



The error on A, that we call σ_A can be calculated by the error propagation formula

$$\sigma_A = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial B}\right)^2} \sigma_B^2 + \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial C}\right)^2 \sigma_C^2 \quad ;$$

since $\frac{\partial A}{\partial B} = \frac{1}{2} 2B (B^2 - C^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{B}{A}$, it comes that
 $\sigma_A = \sqrt{\left(\frac{B}{A}\right)^2} \sigma_B^2 + \left(\frac{C}{A}\right)^2 \sigma_C^2$.

The error on the deconvolution is given by the quadratic sum of the error on the original term B with the error on the deconvolved term C, with both terms weighted by the (quadratic) ratio with A.

In the same way, for $\sigma^2_{HEW\ MA}$, we obtain

$$\sigma_{\text{HEW}_MA}^2 = \frac{\text{HEW}_{\text{VTX}}^2}{\text{HEW}_{\text{MA}}^2} \sigma_{\text{HEW}_\text{VTX}}^2 + \frac{\text{HEW}_{\text{PNT}}^2}{\text{HEW}_{\text{MA}}^2} \sigma_{\text{HEW}_\text{PNT}}^2 + \left(\frac{\text{HEW}_{\text{SYS}}^2}{\text{HEW}_{\text{MA}}^2} \sigma_{\text{HEW}_\text{SYS}}^2 + \frac{\text{HEW}_{\text{MIR}}^2}{\text{HEW}_{\text{MA}}^2} \sigma_{\text{HEW}_\text{FOC}}^2 + \frac{\text{HEW}_{\text{GRV}}^2}{\text{HEW}_{\text{MA}}^2} \sigma_{\text{HEW}_\text{GRV}}^2.$$

The uncertainty on the HEW_{MA} will be given by the (quadratic) sum of the uncertainties of each single term, weighted by the ratio with the intrinsic HEW_{MA} . The amplitudes of different contributions to the total error budget are discussed in separate documents and are here reported in Table 2.

As it is clear from the table, since all the systematic contributions are kept at the level \cong 1" or less, their weights in the error budget are minor, with the main term being the statistical error. Indeed, the statistical term is the only one with a weight of the order of the unity. As discussed in RD9,

for each energy bin, we plan to collect 50,000 photons from the calibration source corresponding to an expected statistical uncertainty of 0.05".

We stress that keeping the systematics at a level of few percent of the HEW_{MA} is doubly valuable. First, as already said, in the error budget each term is weighted by its ratio with the HEW itself. Second, each term is a factor in HEW_{VTX}, directly affecting the weight of the statistical error. In other words, if the systematic terms (HEW_{PNT}, HEW_{SOU}, HEW_{MIR}, HEW_{GRV}) were comparable to HEW_{MA}, the measured HEW_{VTX} would be >> HEW_{MA}, meaning that the weight of the statistical error would be >> 1.0.

ERROR SOURCE	HEW["]	$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle HEW}$ ['']	REFERENCE
POINTING	0.27	0.24	RD7
SOURCE	1.00	0.20	RD8
COLLIMATOR	0.55	0.15	RD8
SYS (SOU.+COLL.)	1.55	0.35	RD8
SOU-COLL displ.	0.1	0.1	RD8
GRAVITY	0.1	0.05	RD1
VERT-X MEASURE	5.14	0.05	RD9

Table 2 Preliminary estimate of different contributions to the HEW error budget

(*) in the case of WOLTER geometry mirror. For a single reflection this value is expected much higher (RD8).

Filling the equation with the numbers here reported, we find that the expected measure HEW, will be 5.14" which allows us to estimate the intrinsic HEW_{MA} with an error of 0.09" at 68% confidence, compliant with the requirements.

To give an idea of the relative weights of these values, in Figure 1, we plot the HEW measured and the total error with the statistical error of 0.05" as in the present case, with systematics at the values reported in Table 2 and varying them by a multiplying factor.



Figure 1 Measured HEW (left) and total error on HEW_{MA} (right) as function of variations of the systematic contribution. Black point shows the expected error in the current design as described in the text.

The 0.1" requirement on the HEW is motivated by the need of keeping the uncertainty in the flux measure below 1%. It is shown that, with an error of 0.1" on the HEW together with a 5% accuracy on the EEF at larger radii, the photometric error is below 1%. However, this might be interpreted as the requirement on the flight calibration, which, as said, is free from systematic errors. The experience from previous missions showed that the PSF model produced by on-ground calibration data-sets can be easily corrected and improved by means of flight data in the early phases of the missions.

3.2. A more general approach

Starting from Eq 3.1.1, we can get the same result following a more general approach, which allows to put in evidence the assumptions made in the previous paragraph. Each term of Eq. 3.1.1 represents a measurable quantity, with an associated statistics (mean value Z_i and variance σ_i), and the HEW can be represented in general as:

$$z^2 = \sum_i z_i^2$$

We are interested in calculating the variance associated to such variable, which is defined as:

$$Var[z^2] \equiv E\left[\left(\sum_i z_i^2 - E\left[\sum_i z_i^2\right]\right)^2\right]$$

Algebraic manipulation leads to:

Doc.: VTX-OAB-ISE-TEC-001 Issue: 01p02 Date: 17 / 04 / 2020 Page: 10 of 24 Title: TN12 Technical Budgets VERT-X Design of Vertical X-Ray Test Facility for ATHENA

. .

_



$$Var\left[z^{2}\right] = E\left[\left(\sum_{i} z_{i}^{2} - E\left[\sum_{i} z_{i}^{2}\right]\right)^{2}\right]$$
$$= E\left[\left(\sum_{i} z_{i}^{2}\right)^{2} + \left(E\left[\sum_{i} z_{i}^{2}\right]\right)^{2} - 2\left(\sum_{i} z_{i}^{2}\right)E\left[\sum_{i} z_{i}^{2}\right]\right]$$
$$= E\left[\left(\sum_{i} z_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] + E\left[\left(E\left[\sum_{i} z_{i}^{2}\right]\right)^{2}\right] - 2E\left[\sum_{i} z_{i}^{2} \cdot E\left[\sum_{i} z_{i}^{2}\right]\right]$$
$$= E\left[\left(\sum_{i} E\left[z_{i}^{2}\right]\right)^{2}\right] + E\left[\left(\sum_{i} z_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] - 2\left(\sum_{i} E\left[z_{i}^{2}\right]\right)^{2}$$
$$= E\left[\sum_{i,j} E\left[z_{i}^{2}\right]E\left[z_{j}^{2}\right]\right] + E\left[\sum_{i} z_{i}^{2} \sum_{j} z_{j}^{2}\right] - 2\sum_{i} E\left[z_{i}^{2}\right]\sum_{j} E\left[z_{j}^{2}\right]$$
$$= \sum_{i,j} E\left[z_{i}^{2}\right]E\left[z_{j}^{2}\right] + \sum_{i} E\left[z_{i}^{4}\right] + 2\sum_{i\neq j} E\left[z_{i}^{2}z_{j}^{2}\right] - 2\sum_{i,j} E\left[z_{i}^{2}\right]E\left[z_{j}^{2}\right]$$
$$= \sum_{i} E\left[z_{i}^{4}\right] - \sum_{i,j} E\left[z_{i}^{2}\right]E\left[z_{j}^{2}\right]$$

The last step is obtained by supposing that the variables are statistically independent, hence $E[z_i^2 z_j^2] = 0$. If the statistics associated to any single variable is Gaussian, then we have:

$$E\left[z_i^2\right] = -\sigma_i^2 \exp\left[-\frac{z_i^2}{4\sigma_i^2}\right] D_2\left(-j\frac{z_i}{\sigma_i}\right)$$
$$E\left[z_i^4\right] = -\sigma_i^4 \exp\left[-\frac{z_i^2}{4\sigma_i^2}\right] D_4\left(-j\frac{z_i}{\sigma_i}\right)$$

Where $D_k\left(-j\frac{\overline{z_i}}{\sigma_i}\right)$ is the parabolic cylinder function of grade k. The calculation of the several error terms

are reported in the respective technical note. By considering the same values listed in Table 2 as input data, we obtain that the RMS error associated to the MA HEW measurement is the same calculated in the previous section.

We remark that there might be further quantities which are strictly speaking "unknowns", i.e. which are not recognized by the present modelling and whose action on the size of the HEW is therefore not considered in the calculation.

3.3. Effective area error budget

Requirements on EA calibration accuracy are given both in terms of absolute and relative calibration. The required AKE for the absolute measure of the effective area is 6% at 10 monochromatic energies. The required AKE for the relative measure of the effective area are 2% and 3% for on- and off-axis measure respectively.

Doc.: VTX-OAB-ISE-TEC-001 Issue: 01p02 Date: 17 / 04 / 2020 Page: 11 of 24 Title: TN12 Technical Budgets VERT-X Design of Vertical X-Ray Test Facility for ATHENA





Figure 2 Beam footprint (left) MA (center) masks used to simulate EA calibration test. On the right a zoom of the MA mask, with the beam footprint superimposed is shown

As also described in RD9, the absolute calibration of the MA effective area can be achieved by combining measures of the focused beam, with measures of the beam directly incident on the detector through the central aperture of the MA (hereafter flat-field, FF). In this way the EA measure is straightforward. For each energy E, the effective area measure is given by

$EA(E)=A_{geo}\left(C_{D}(E)/T_{D}\right) / (C_{F}(E)/T_{F}) F_{S} F_{B}$

where C_D are the events registered on the detector during T_D which is the time spent scanning the area A_{geo} including the MA. C_F, T_F and R_F are the values relative to FF measure before, after and during the calibration test.

The above formula assumes that, during the flat-field measure the detector collects a fraction F_B of the beam. Net variations in the source luminosity are parametrized by the F_S term. Assuming that the uncertainties in T_F and T_D are negligible the EA calibration error budget can be expressed in the following way. Since, by construction, we expect that F_B and F_S are very close to the unity, it is evident that the main term in the budget is given by the statistical contribution $\sigma_{C_D}^2 \sigma_{C_F}^2$ which are discussed in RD9.

$$\frac{\sigma_{\rm EA}^2}{EA^2} = \frac{\sigma_{A_{GEO}}^2}{A_{GEO}^2} + \frac{\sigma_{C_D}^2}{C_D^2} + \frac{\sigma_{C_F}^2}{C_F^2} + \frac{\sigma_{F_S}^2}{F_S^2} + \frac{\sigma_{F_B}^2}{F_B^2} .$$

Inhomogeneity of the MA coverage and border effect are other possible systematic error sources not explicitly covered by the above formula. In order to assess their impact on the final measure we simulated the EA calibration test for a given energy E.

We created a mask reproducing the MA geometry with 0.1mm spatial resolution with 1 at the pore locations and 0 elsewhere. With this resolution pores are described by a 7x5 pixel window (Fig. 2).

Doc.: VTX-OAB-ISE-TEC-001 Issue: 01p02 Date: 17 / 04 / 2020 Page: 12 of 24 Title: TN12 Technical Budgets VERT-X Design of Vertical X-Ray Test Facility for ATHENA





Figure 3 Spatial distribution of all the photons created during a simulation (black dots). Red dots are those which passed through pores and collected by the detector. The yellow circle indicates the area considered for the EA measure, incicated as A_{GEO} in the formula

Then, we created a mask with the geometry of the beam assuming the footprint described in RD2 and RD9 with the adoption of a mask.

We simulated times and starting positions (within the beam footprint) for a given number of photons. Considering a given energy bin, we assumed a source count rate of 5 ph/s. This produces ~ 2ph/s collected, which is what we expect with 20 energy bins and a suitable count rate of 40 ph/s (RD5 and RD9). Assuming a scan velocity of 5 mm/s this yields ~ 30,000 photons eventually accumulated on the detector.

Given the scan movement and the starting position we assigned a flag to each photon to describe whether it passes through a pore and it is registered by the detector.



Figure 4 The histogram of the simulation results. Red line is the gaussian fit, green line is the expected value with the only statistical error accounted. Results without and with perturbations included are shown on the left and on the right respectively.

Finally, we considered the times in which the center of the beam was inside within a given circle containing the MA. The size of this circle has been defined in such a way that we can assume that raster scan is moving at nominal velocity with null acceleration.

Doc.: VTX-OAB-ISE-TEC-001 Issue: 01p02 Date: 17 / 04 / 2020 Page: 13 of 24 Title: TN12 Technical Budgets VERT-X Design of Vertical X-Ray Test Facility for ATHENA



Following the above formula, we then counted the photons emitted in these good time intervals. As shown in Fig 4 we find a typical systematic difference with the expected value of ~0.5%. We then perturbed the spatial and time grids with gaussian factors extracted by with 30% sigma distributions. As shown in the right panel of Fig 4, we find that the systematic uncertainties are well below 1%.

Finally, we assessed the impact of inhomogeneity of the scan velocity on the EA measure. Using expected values for the scan velocity variations we find only completely negligible variations. In order to have a significant effect on the measure we should assume variation of at least a factor 10 larger than the expected (RD7).



Figure 5 The impact of velocity variation on the EA measure. In the bottom left plot an example of a simulated velocity curve. Velocity variations reflect in inhomogeneity of the MA coverage (right panel); but no significant effect is measured on EA calibration (top left panel).

4. TECHNICAL BUDGETS

4.1. MASS BUDGET

The mass budget estimation at system level for the current design is listed in Table 4-1.

Preliminary details about mass contributions of the system elements mass, whenever available, are reported in Section 5.

Level 1	Level 2	Description		Mass [kg]
0	0	VERT-X		
3	0	XRS testing system		8340

Doc.: VTX-OAB-ISE-TEC-001 Issue: 01p02 Date: 17 / 04 / 2020 Page: 14 of 24 Title: TN12 Technical Budgets

VERT-X Design of Vertical X-Ray Test Facility for ATHENA



3	1		raster scan system	7780
3	2		MA system	400
3	3		detection system	TBD
3	4		metrology system	160
4	0	TVC		42113
4	1		vacuum vessel	37783
4	2		vacuum generation system	2930
4	3		cryogenic fluids supp. system	TBD
4	4		thermal control system	1400
6	0	Installation		
6	1		electrical installation	TBD
6	2		system control installation	TBD
			Contingency	20%
			TOTAL	60544

Table 4-1: VERT-X general mass budget

4.2. POWER BUDGET

The main contributor to VERT-X power budget is the Thermal Vacuum Chamber (TVC), whose budget details are illustrated in par. 5.1.

The contributions of the X-ray source, collimator and detector assembly may be assumed to be less critical, according to the available design data. Preliminary details about these elements are respectively reported in par. 0 and 5.4, whenever available.



5. VERT-X ELEMENTS BUDGET DETAILS

5.1. THERMAL VACUUM CHAMBER

Following the preliminary design estimations, mass values of the components of TVC are as reported in Table 5-1. As expected, the vacuum vessel elements are the main contributors.

Part number	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 6	Level 7	Mass [kg]
40-00-00-00-00	TVC							39183
41-00-00-00-00		vacuum	vessel					37783
41-10-00-00-00			skirt asse	mbly				3673
41-11-00-00-00				welded as	ssembly			3673
41-20-00-00-00			RS segm	ent assem	bly			11084
41-21-00-00-00				welded as	ssembly			10671
41-22-00-00-00				door asse	embly			298
41-22-10-00-00					door weld	ed asseml	oly	282
41-30-00-00-00			MA segm	ent assem	bly			9714
41-31-00-00-00				welded as	ssembly			8929
41-32-00-00-00				door asse	embly			761
41-32-10-00-00					door weld	ed asseml	oly	761
41-40-00-00-00			detector s	segment as	ssembly			12049
41-41-00-00-00				welded as	ssembly			11702
41-42-00-00-00				door asse	embly			298
41-42-10-00-00					door weld	ed asseml	oly	282
41-50-00-00-00			top segm	ent assem	bly			1263
41-51-00-00-00				welded as	ssembly			1255
42-00-00-00-00		vacuum g	generatio	n system				2930
42-10-00-00-00		primary vacuum pumps						2604
42-20-00-00-00		turbo-pumps						220
42-30-00-00-00			cryo-pum	ps				106
43-00-00-00-00		cryogeni	c fluids sı	upp syster	n			
44-00-00-00-00		thermal o	control sy		1400			

Table 5-1: VERT-X TVC ma	iss budget
--------------------------	------------

The following power demand values have been estimated for the vacuum generation system and cooling equipment:

- > Vacuum generation system peak power demand: 80 kW
- > Vacuum generation system power demand during operations: 50 kW
- > Chillers power demand: 13 kW (only chillers for the cooling of the vacuum pumps)
- ➢ Cooling power for HVAC: 17.5 kW



Compressed air equipment has the following characteristics:

- Nominal pressure: 6 bar
- Maximum pressure: 7 bar
- > Admissible pressure variation: 5-7 bar
- > Air pressure required flow rate: 6,6 lt/min for the largest gate valves

Details of power loads for the vacuum generation system are listed int Table 5-2.

Doc.: VTX-OAB-ISE-TEC-001 Issue: 01p00 Date: 23 / 10 / 2019 Page: 17 of 24 Title: TN12 Technical Budgets



LOAD DESCRIPTION	LABEL		S	CENAI	RIO		INSTALL	ED (N	OMINAL)	POWER	LOA D	D POWE EMAND	R			OTHER INF	ORMA	TION
		Daytime	Night time	Maintenance Mode	SdU	Start up-Shut down	Voltage [V]	Phases	P [kW]	s [kVA]	Pu = Ku*P [kW]	Ppk [kW]	Ku	cosfi	cable diameter (mm)	Location	Cabinet	Note
Pre-vacuum Pump 1	GX450-1	x	x		x	TBD	400Vac or 230 Vac	3	21,10							Attached to vessel		Pre-vacuum: once pre- vacuum is reached, gate valve closes, pump is switched-off
Pre-vacuum Pump 2	GX450-2	x	x		x	TBD	400Vac or 230 Vac	3	21,10							Attached to vessel		Pre-vacuum: once pre- vacuum is reached, gate valve closes, pump is switched-off
Pre-vacuum Pump 3	GX450-3	x	x		x	TBD	400Vac or 230 Vac	3	21,10							Attached to vessel		Pre-vacuum Once pre-vacuum is reached, gate valve closes. An electro- valve opens and this pump assists the secondary vacuum circuit to perform pre- vacuum of the turbomolecular pumps volume.
Mechanical Booster 1	EH2600-1	x	x		x	TBD	400Vac or 230 Vac	3	11,00							Attached to Pre-vacuum Pump 1		Pre-vacuum

Doc.: VTX-OAB-ISE-TEC-001 Issue: 01p00 Date: 23 / 10 / 2019 Page: 18 of 24 Title: TN12 Technical Budgets



LOAD DESCRIPTION	LABEL		SCENARIO IN		INSTALLED (NOMINAL) POWER			R LOAD POWER DEMAND			OTHER INFORMATION							
		Daytime	Night time	Maintenance Mode	UPS	Start up-Shut down	Voltage [V]	Phases	P [kw]	s [kVA]	Pu = Ku*P [kW]	Ppk [kw]	Ku	cosfi	cable diameter (mm)	Location	Cabinet	Note
Mechanical Booster 2	EH2600-2	x	x		x	TBD	400Vac or 230 Vac	3	11,00							Attached to Pre-vacuum Pump 2		Pre-vacuum
Mechanical Booster 3	EH2600-3	x	x		x	TBD	400Vac or 230 Vac	3	11,00							Attached to Pre-vacuum Pump 3		Pre-vacuum
Pre-vacuum Pump Isolation Valve 1	PV-GV-ISO160-1															Attached to Pre-vacuum Pump 1		ISO DN160, actuated by compressed air
Pre-vacuum Pump Isolation Valve 2	PV-GV-ISO160-2															Attached to Pre-vacuum Pump 2		ISO DN160, actuated by compressed air
Pre-vacuum Pump Isolation Valve 3	PV-GV-ISO160-3															Attached to Pre-vacuum Pump 3		ISO DN160, actuated by compressed air
Medium vacuum Pump 1	STPA4506c-1	x	x		x	TBD	230Vac	3	1,70							Attached to vessel		Secondary vacuum

Doc.: VTX-OAB-ISE-TEC-001 Issue: 01p00 Date: 23 / 10 / 2019 Page: 19 of 24 Title: TN12 Technical Budgets



LOAD DESCRIPTION	LABEL		SCENARIO		INSTALLED (NOMINAL) POWER		LOAD POWER DEMAND			OTHER INFORMATION								
		Daytime	Night time	Maintenance Mode	UPS	Start up-Shut down	Voltage [V]	Phases	P F	[kvA] S	Pu = Ku*P [kW]	Ppk [kW]	Ки	cosfi	cable diameter (mm)	Location	Cabinet	Note
Medium vacuum Pump 2	STPA4506c-2	x	x		x	TBD	230Vac	3	1,70							Attached to vessel		Secondary vacuum
Medium vacuum Pump Isolation Valve 1	SV-GV-ISO320-1															Attached to secondary vacuum pump		ISO DN320, actuated by compressed air
Medium vacuum Pump Isolation Valve 2	SV-GV-ISO320-2															Attached to secondary vacuum pump		ISO DN320, actuated by compressed air
Medium vacuum Pre-vacuum Dry Pump																		Pre-vacuum for turbo
High vacuum Pump 1	COOLVAC5000-1	x	x		x	TBD												Cryo pump

Doc.: VTX-OAB-ISE-TEC-001 Issue: 01p00 Date: 23 / 10 / 2019 Page: 20 of 24 Title: TN12 Technical Budgets



LOAD DESCRIPTION	LABEL		SCENARIO IN		INSTALLED (NOMINAL) POWER			R LOAD POWER DEMAND			OTHER INFORMATION							
		Daytime	Night time	Maintenance Mode	UPS	Start up-Shut down	Voltage [V]	Phases	P [kW]	S [kVA]	Pu = Ku*P [kW]	Ppk [kW]	Ku	cosfi	cable diameter (mm)	Location	Cabinet	Note
High vacuum Pump 2	COOLVAC5000-2	x	x		x	TBD												Cryo pump
High vacuum Pump Isolation Valve 1																		gate valve (ISO400 o ISO500)
High vacuum Pump Isolation Valve 2																		gate valve (ISO400 o ISO500)
High vacuum Pre-vacuum Dry Pump																		Pre-vacuum pump
Vacuum sensor electrical panel																		Vacuum sensors and panel (5 x Pirani 2 x Penning)

Doc.: VTX-OAB-ISE-TEC-001 Issue: 01p00 Date: 23 / 10 / 2019 Page: 21 of 24 Title: TN12 Technical Budgets

VERT-X Design of Vertical X-Ray Test Facility for ATHENA



LOAD DESCRIPTION	LABEL		S	CENAI	રા૦		INSTALL	ED (N	OMINAL)	POWER	LO <i>I</i>	AD POWE DEMAND	R	L		OTHER INF	ORMA	TION
		Daytime	Night time	Maintenance Mode	UPS	Start up-Shut down	Voltage [V]	Phases	P [kW]	S [kvA]	Pu = Ku*P [kW]	Ppk [kW]	Ku	cosfi	cable diameter (mm)	Location	Cabinet	Note
Chiller 1																		Cooling units for pre- vacuum
Chiller 2																		Cooling units for cryo and turbo

Table 5-2: VERT-X vacuum generation system main loads power budget



5.2. XRS TESTING SYSTEM

Following the preliminary design estimations, mass values of the components of XRS testing system are as reported in Table 5-4.

Part number	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 6	Level 7	Level 8	Mass	[kg]
30-00-00-00-00	XRS tes	ting syste	em						8340	
31-00-00-00-00		raster s	can							7780
31-10-00-00-00			base						5800	
31-11-00-00-00				welded a	ssembly					5600
31-20-00-00-00			translatio	n X frame					1170	
31-21-00-00-00				welded a	assembly					790
31-30-00-00-00			translatio	n Y frame					260	
31-31-00-00-00				welded a	assembly					210
31-40-00-00-00			rotation X	frame					250	
31-41-00-00-00				welded a	assembly					160
31-50-00-00-00			X-ray tub	e assemb	ly				300	
31-51-00-00-00				X-ray so	urce				40)
31-51-10-00-00					interface					39
31-52-00-00-00				collimato	or system				90)
31-52-10-00-00					interface					10
31-53-00-00-00				monochr	omator					
31-53-10-00-00					interface	1				5
31-54-00-00-00				axis weld	ded assen	nbly			60)
31-55-00-00-00				carbon fi	bre tube				35	5
32-00-00-00-00		MA								400
32-10-00-00-00			MGSE							
32-20-00-00-00			gravity re	lease syst	tem				40	0
32-30-00-00-00			MA							
33-00-00-00-00		detectio	n						TBD	
33-10-00-00-00			MGSE							
33-20-00-00-00			positione	r						
33-30-00-00-00			detector							
34-00-00-00-00		metrolog	gy							160
34-10-00-00-00			tip-tilt me	trology					0	
34-11-00-00-00				optical T	-T metrolo	ogy				
34-11-10-00-00					rotation 2	X detectio	n system			
34-11-11-00-00						external	station			
34-11-12-00-00						vacuum	optical tra	in		
34-11-13-00-00						reference	e mirror			
34-11-20-00-00					rotation `	Y detectio	n system			
34-11-21-00-00						external	station			
34-11-22-00-00						vacuum	optical tra	in		
34-11-23-00-00						reference	e mirror			
34-12-00-00-00				tiltmeters	6					
34-20-00-00-00			linear dis	placemen	t metrolog	ју			160	

Doc.: VTX-OAB-ISE-TEC-001 Issue: 01p00 Date: 23 / 10 / 2019 Page: 23 of 24 Title: TN12 Technical Budgets

VERT-X Design of Vertical X-Ray Test Facility for ATHENA



Part number	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 6	Level 7	Level 8	Mass [kg]
34-21-00-00-00				internal of	displacem	ent metro	logy		160
34-21-10-00-00					external	station			100
34-21-20-00-00					vessel flo	oor statior	ı		45
34-21-30-00-00					RS static	n			5
34-21-40-00-00					MA statio	on			5
34-21-50-00-00					detector	station			5
34-22-00-00-00				external	displacen	nent metro	ology		
34-22-10-00-00					external	station			
34-22-11-00-00						mechani	cal suppo	rt	
34-22-12-00-00						laser trad	cker		
34-22-20-00-00					retro-reflectors				

Table 5-3: VERT-X XRS testing system mass budget

5.3. X-RAY SOURCE AND COLLIMATOR

The available technical parameters of the X-ray source are listed in Table 5-4. The details in the table are referred to the Sigray X-ray source that is the current baseline for VERT-X design.

Parameter	X-ray source	Source chiller	lon pump controller
Dimensions	35 cm x 8 cm x 14 cm	33 cm x 28 cm x 33 cm	14 cm x 9 cm x 25 cm
Voltage	115 V, 60 Hz	115 V, 60 Hz	115 V, 60 Hz
Power supply	100 W	500 W (TBC)	100 W (TBC)

Table 5-4: X-ray source technical parameters

According to the current VERT-X design, the technical budget for the collimator optics is as listed in Table 5-5.

Parameter	Collimator
Mirror size	12 cm x 12 cm x 114 cm
Mirror weight	40 kg
Mirror holders weight	10 kg

Table 5-5: Collimator mirror technical parameters



5.4. DETECTION ASSEMBLY

For the detection assembly the technical parameters of the Sydor camera and the Axis Photonique camera are listed in Table 5-6. The former is the option proposed at the beginning of the study as baseline for VERT-X camera system, the latter represents an alternate, more affordable solution based on a new detector developed by GPIXEL company and a design by Synchrotron Soleil.

Indeed, the sensor used in the Axis Photonique camera has a limited efficiency because of its thinness. Nevertheless, this problem is expected to be superseded thanks to the Einstein Probe Team that plan to use the GPIXEL detector for their experiment and are promoting the development of an improved version of it, with a thicker and larger configuration and hence a better working efficiency. Accordingly, a full camera based on the enhanced GPIXEL detector is under development. For this reason, a third option may be expected to be added in the future to the two options of Table 5-6.

Parameter	Sydor camera	Axis Photonique camera
Weight	4 kg	4 kg
Size	9 cm x 11 cm x 12 cm	12 cm x 12 cm x 14 cm
Voltage	230 VAC / 60 Hz	TBD VAC
Power supply	300 W	ТВD

Table 5-6: Available technical budget details for Sigray and Axis Photonique cameras

For the detector (x, y, z) positioning stage, the proposed baseline includes a hexapod for the fine movement along x, y and z and two brushless engines for the translation of the camera and hexapod assembly to the position for the X-ray source calibration.

Voltage supply is $12 \text{ V} \div 24 \text{ V}$ for the hexapod while for the two engines the voltage supply is from 220 V to $380\div400 \text{ VAC } 3P$. More specific values of voltage supply, as well as power supply demand, will be available with the final selection of the engines.

-- END OF DOCUMENT --