

Publication Year	2008
Acceptance in OA@INAF	2024-06-20T13:32:31Z
Title	Quick Look Data Analysis of LFI from CRYO-02 functional test
Authors	CUTTAIA, FRANCESCO; BATTAGLIA, Paola Maria; Bersanelli, Marco; D'Arcangelo, Ocleto; Franceschet, Cristian; et al.
Handle	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/35205
Number	PL-LFI-PST-RP-046





# **Quick Look Data Analysis of LFI from CRYO-02 functional test**

TITLE:

- **DOC. TYPE:** Test Report
- PROJECT REF.: PL-LFI-PST-RP-046 PAGE: I of IV, 19

ISSUE/REV.: 1.0

DATE: August 2008

Prepared by	F. CUTTAIA P. Battaglia M. Bersanelli O. D'Arcangelo C. Franceschet E. Franceschi A. Gregorio R. Leonardi S. Lowe P. Meinhold A. Mennella L. Terenzi M. Tomasi A. Zonca	Date: Signature:	August 2008
			6 L.7



INAF IASF-Bologna LFI Project System Team



Agreed by	C. BUTLER	Date:	August 2008
	LFI Program Manager	Signature:	R. C. Butler
Approved by	N. MANDOLESI	Date:	August 2008
	LFI Principal Investigator	Signature:	9 Junesc



# **DISTRIBUTION LIST**

Recipient	Company / Institute	E-mail address	Sent
N. MANDOLESI	INAF/IASF – Bologna	mandolesi@iasfbo.inaf.it	Yes
R.C. BUTLER	INAF/IASF – Bologna	butler@iasfbo.inaf.it	Yes
M. BERSANELLI	UNIMI – Milano	marco.bersanelli@mi.infn.it	Yes
M. BALASINI	TAS-I – Milan	maurizio.balasini@thalesaleniaspace.com	Yes
A.GREGORIO	UNI- Ts		YES
P. LEUTENEGGER	TAS-I – Milan	paolo.leutenegger@thalesaleniaspace.com	Yes
M. MICCOLIS	TAS-I – Milan	maurizio.miccolis@thalesaleniaspace.com	Yes
A. MENNELLA	UNIMI – Milano	aniello.mennella@fisica.unimi.it	Yes
F. BERTINI	ESA	Federico.Bertini@esa.int	Yes
L. PEREZ CUEVAS	ESA	leticia.perez.cuevas@esa.int	Yes
B. GULLAME	ESA		
O. PIERSANTI	ESA	Osvaldo.Piersanti@esa.int	Yes
J.P. CHAMBELLAND	TAS-F Cannes	jean- philippe.chambelland@thalesaleniaspace.com	Yes
B. COLLAUDIN	TAS-F Cannes	Bernard.Collaudin@thalesaleniaspace.com	Yes
P. RIHET	TAS-F Cannes	Patrick.Rihet@thalesaleniaspace.com	Yes
N. SEVILLE	TAS-F Cannes	Norbert.Seville@thalesaleniaspace.com	Yes
J.P. HAYET	TAS-F Cannes	Jean-pierre.hayet@thalesaleniaspace.com	Yes
LFI-CSL			YES



# **CHANGE RECORD**

Ŧ				
Issue	Date	Sheet	Description of Change	Release
0.1	21-08-2008		Draft issue: quick look analysis	0.1
1.0	15-09-2008		First Issue: minor revisions	



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 A	ACRONYMS				
2 II	INTRODUCTION	5			
2.1 2.2	Purpose and Scope Test configuration				
3 A	APPLICABLE AND REFERENCE DOCUMENTS	6			
3.1 3.2	Applicable Documents Reference Documents				
4 C	CRYO 02 TEST EXECUTION	7			
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	STARTING POINT:         PROCEDURE/TEST SEQUENCE.         PASS /FAIL CRITERIA         4.3.1       Results and Conclusions.         SAVE DAE DEFAULT CONFIGURATION.				
5 C	OTHER FEATURES	14			
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	FLUCTUATIONS IN SCIENTIFIC OUTPUT SIGNAL INVERSION IN RCA25 R0D1 TAG sky/ref inversion in RCA 28 RCA 24 saturation Spike Analysis				
6 C	CONCLUSION				
6.1 6.2	To be added (in the next issue) list NCR list				
7 A	ANNEX : SPIKES FULL ANALYSIS				



# **1 ACRONYMS**

AIV	Assembly Integration Verification
ASW	Application Software
BEM	Back End Module
BEU	Back End Unit
CCS	Central Check-out System
CDMU	Central Data Management Unit
DAE	Data Acquisition Electronics
DPU	Digital Processing Unit
EGSE	Electrical ground Support Equipment
FEM	Front End Module
I-EGSE	Instrument EGSE
IST	Integrated Satellite Test
OBC	On Board Clock
RAA	Radiometer Array Assembly
REBA	Radiometric Electronic Box Assembly
S/C	Spacecraft
SCOE	Spacecraft Control and Operation System
SPU	Signal Processing Unit
SUSW	Start- Up Software
SVM	Service Module
TBA	To Be Added
TBC	To Be Completed
TBW	To Be Written
TC	Telecommand
ТМ	Telemetry
UFT	Unit Functional Test



# **2** INTRODUCTION

This document ha sbeen issued in the frame of ASI contract that has been released for the activities of Planck-LFI Phase E2

#### 2.1 Purpose and Scope

Scope of this document is to give a first quick look analysis response of the functionality of the LFI instrument during its first Functional cryogenic Test in nominal conditions (CRYO 02) performed in CSL-Liegi on July 2008 the  $6^{th}$ .

#### 2.2 Test configuration

The test configuration is the following (TBC)

SCOS 2 K HPCCS Version 2.0.787 LFI Gateway Version V0R9P1 TQL 3.1.2 LIFE Machine version OM 3.00

LFI Personnel involved during the test is:

LFI AIV Manager	Anna Gregorio UNI Trieste
Calibration scientist	Aniello Mennella UNI Milano
Key-point person	Francesco Cuttaia IASF Bologna cuttaia@iasfbo.inaf.it
IOT members	Paola Battaglia
	Enrico Franceschi
	Maurizio Tomasi
	Cristian Franceschet
	Stuart Lowe
	Andrea Zonca
	Ocleto D' Arcangelo
	Luca Terenzi



# **3** APPLICABLE AND REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

#### 3.1 Applicable Documents

- [AD01] LFI Short Functional Test Procedure (SFT)
- [AD02] HP-LFI-PST-PR\_018 Issue 3.0 TV-TB LFI test procedure
  - PL-LFI-PST-PR-021

### **3.2** Reference Documents

- RD 1. LFI Short Functional Test Procedure (SFT)
- RD 2. LFI Warm Functional Test Procedure (WFT)

#### PL-LFI-PST-RP-017

- RD 3. HP-LFI-PST-PR\_018 Issue 3.0
- RD 4. PL-LFI-PST-TN-0082 (1.0) Analysis of Signal Swap in output of Channel 2510 (Action#4 H-PTASF-MN-9737)
- RD 5. PL-LFI-PST-TN-080 (1.0) Proposal for LFI test dedicated to characterize New Spikes in the FFT spectrum of Scientific Data



# 4 CRYO 02 test Execution

The test is from a procedural point of view the same of AMB 02 already performed in THAS-F Cannes during the functional test campaign in warm conditions and to the CRYO 02 performed in THALES Milan during ILT test campaign: some results shall be here compared with those coming from this test The entire test is divided into modular blocks: for each block test results and conclusions are presented.

#### 4.1 Starting point:

CRYO 02 is performed with the LFI already on from the previous section where the contingency procedure was applied to verify FEM drain currents (test file XXX\_0153). The FPU temperature is nominal after the SCS TSA has been tuned (test file XXX\_0152): the FPU temperature fluctuation on LVHX2 is about 70 mK peak to peak . FPU Temperature was around 20K (XX TBC) that is much lower ( by about 6.5 K) than during the same ILT test (26.2 K). Also the BEU temperature is sensibly lower (9.9 C against about 30 C in ILT)

These differences can imply several consequences:

FEM drain currents are different implying different gain and consequently different power flowing the RF chain up to the BEMs: differences depend on the channels considered since they have a different response depending on the architecture of radiometers.

Voltage outputs (scientific output) are different because of the gain changes in BEU amplifiers with temperature. Also in this case as for the FEMs the qualitative behaviour vary according with the architecture of each device ( but amplifiers operating in the same frequency range respond observing to a same rule).

4KRL Temperature: is different than during ILT (23.3 K against TBC K) SKY Load temperature is lower than in ILT(4.5 K vs. 27 K)

The initial configuration is summarized in the following table

LFI	HFI	SCS	SVM	4K stage(K)	SKL (K)	FPU (K)	LBEM (C)	RBEM (C
ON	ON	ON (TSA)	TBC	23.25 K	4.5	20 K	TBC	9.9 K

The bias configuration adopted for the test is the cryo default coming from ILT with the exception of RCA 24 M1 that was changed from 234 DEC to204 DEC: actually the value 234 DEC at ILT came from a tuning performed with RCA 24 M1 Vg1 suffering a failure. The final table used is however reported in the tables below.

In several cases DAE saturation was observed due to the high voltages: DAE gain and offset were so changed in accordance with needs. The changes were required to allow the full execution of the test. The table of the values used is reported below.



	RODO	R0D1	R1D0	R1D1
Rca 27	21	0	51	92
Rca 28	102	41	112	143
Rca 24	255	255	255	255
Rca 25	255	255	255	255
Rca 26	255	255	255	255
Rca 18	0	0	204	204
Rca 19	214	204	255	224
Rca 20	204	204	153	143
Rca 21	194	204	255	255
Rca 22	255	255	255	255
Rca 23	173	122	255	255

**Table: DAE GAIN – OFFSET** 

A new TQL section was open : the file collecting the test is XXX\_0154

#### 4.2 **Procedure/Test sequence**

The test sequence followed is described in the table below. Channels have been operated all at the same time changing the P/S and 4KHz polarization in a wise to check functionality for all the possible configurations available.

For each different status data have been registered for 30 minutes.

	Radiometric functional tests		3.14.00
	RCA Activation	0.05.00	0.05.00
	Wait for thermalization of the power group	0.30.00	0.30.00
	Configure DAE (Switch ACA on)	0.02.00	0.02.00
	Wait for thermalization of the FPU	0.15.00	0.15.00
	Acquiring data	0.10.00	0.10.00
	Enable 4Khz switching ON A/C	0.02.00	0.02.00
	Acquiring data	0.30.00	0.30.00
TS4	change P/S status on B/D to 1	0.02.00	0.02.00
	Acquiring data	0.30.00	0.30.00
	Disable 4Khz switching ON A/C	0.02.00	0.02.00
	change P/S status on A/C and B/D to 0	0.02.00	0.02.00
	Enable 4Khz switching ON B/D	0.02.00	0.02.00
	Acquiring data	0.30.00	0.30.00
	Conf . Polar : change the A/C Status to 1	0.02.00	0.02.00
	Acquiring data	0.30.00	0.30.00,

#### 4.3 Pass /fail criteria

No unexpected event-packets

- FEM current consumption as expected
- FEM power consumption as expected
- All the FEMs respond as expected when the P/S and 4KHz are exercised in different status.





### 4.3.1 Results and Conclusions

Session saved in test file XXX\_0154

Pass and Fail Criteria

No un-expected event Packets	PASSED	
REBA Power Consumption within the ranges of expected	PASSED	
values		
DAE Power Consumption within the ranges of expected	PASSED	
values		
P/S functionality	PASSED	
4KHz functionality	PASSED	
The FEM I Drain Currents obtained from Telemetry are	NOT PASSED *	
within the ranges expected (5%)		
No unexpected features ( apart from those already known) in	Analysis on	
FFT spectrum (Spike Pop corn noise currents drops)	going: extra	
	features section	
	to be fulfilled	

For \* look at next sections of the document

notes	Description
	Observed some fluctuations in the scientific output
	Wrong assignation in tag sky/ref observed in RCA 28 when A/C switching: problem
	already known from ILT
NCR	High drain currents in several cases



RCA #	Detector			SCOS	CRY002	
				Parameter		
	00	00	M1	LM051322	8,2	
OLIOT.	01	01	M2	LM052322	7,8	
CH27	02	10	S1	LM053322	8,5	
	03	11	S2	LM054322	8,7	
	•					
	04	00	M2	LM055322	7,2	
CH24	05	01	M1	LM056322	10,08	
	06	10	S2 04	LM057322	15,06	
	07	11	51	LIVIU58322	10,72	
	08	00	S2	LM059322	16.5	
	19	01	S1	L M060322	18.8	
CH21	0A	10	M1	LM061322	19.3	
	OB	11	M2	LM062322	20,1	
	•					
	00	00	S2	LM063322	16,3	
സ്ഥാ	OD	01	S1	LM064322	16,05	
01122	OE	10	M1	LM065322	12,9	
	OF	11	M2	LM066322	14,9	
	40	00	00	1 M007000	40.4	
	10	00	52	LIVIU67322	16,1	
CH23	11	10	51	LIVIU68322	20,4	
	12	10	MD	LIVIU69322	10,0	
	13	111	IVIZ	LIVIU7U3ZZ	17,5	
	14	00	M1	L M071322	12.2	
	15	01	M2	LM072322	10.1	
CH25	16	10	S1	LM073322	11,2	
	17	11	S2	LM074322	12	
	-					
	18	00	M1	LM075322	9,66	
CH28	19	01	M2	LM076322	9,2	
01.120	1A	10	S1	LM077322	8,8	
	18	11	S2	LMU78322	10,47	
	10	00	S2	L M079322	20.5	
		01	S1	L M080322	18.8	
CH20	1F	10	M1	LM081322	20.9	
	1F	11	M2	LM082322	20.7	
	20	00	S2	LM083322	17,9	
CH10	21	01	S1	LM084322	17,2	
CITIB	22	10	M1	LM085322	16,8	
	23	11	M2	LM086322	18,8	
		00	00	1 1 1007000	20.7	
	24		52	LM08/322	20,7	
CH18	25	101	51	LM088322	20,8	
	2b	10	IVI1			
	27		IVI2	LIVIU9U322	IJŎ	
	28	00	M2	LM091322	11.6	
	29	01	M1	LM092322	11.3	
CH26	2A	10	S2	LM093322	10.4	
	2B	11	S1	LM094322	13.4	
		1.1.1				

Drain currents : in red RCA 24 M1 was changed from 234 to 204 after contingency procedure (test file XXX\_0153) and according with nominal drain current from manufacturer. In light blue RCA 18 ( $\frac{1}{2}$  FEM changed during ILT (Y 2006): no comparison is possible with previous tests.



LFI Project System Team



RCA #		CRY002	HARD LI	MIT	SOFT LI	MIT	DELTA %	Measured LIS 014	Measured TUN Vq2
	M1	80	7 36	8 14	7.60	7 01	5.81	7.8	77
	MO	7.0	7,50	7 77	7,00	7,51	5,01	7,0	
CH27	01	7,0 0,5	7,03	0.54	7,23	0.20	0,41	7,4	0.1
	01	0,5	7,70	0,31	7,94	0,20	4,94	0,1	
	52	8,/	7,89	8,72	8,13	8,47	4,82	8,3	8,3
	M2	7,2	10,36	11,45	10,68	11,12	12,5	6,4	6,45
	M1	10,08	17,77	19,64	18,33	19,07	-12,35	18,6	18,8
CH24	S2	15,06	13.21	14.60	13.62	14,18	8,35	13.9	13,9
	S1	10,72	9,36	10,34	9,65	10,05	8,83	9,9	9,8
		10.5						15.1	15.1
	<u>S2</u>	16,5	14,49	16,01	14,95	15,56	8,2	15,1	15,4
CH21	<u>S1</u>	18,8	16,67	18,43	17,20	17,90	7,12	17,6	17,5
0.121	M1	19,3	16,34	18,06	16,86	17,54	12,21	17,3	17,1
	M2	20,1	17,34	19,16	17,89	18,62	10,14	18,4	18,1
	1 52 1	16.3	13 73	15 17	14 16	14 74	12.8	14.4	14.5
	Q1	16,05	14.25	15,17	14,10	15 20	7	14,4	14,5
CH22	- 01 - M1	10,00	14,2J	13,73	14,70	12,30	 	14,5	10,1
	MO	12,9	11,34	12,70	11,51	12,35	7.07	12,1	12,2
	IVIZ	14,9	13,11	14,49	13,32	14,00	7,97	13,9	13,7
	S2	16,1	14,63	16,17	15,09	15,71	4,55	15,2	15,6
01100	S1	20,4	18,50	20,45	19,09	19,86	4,75	19,6	19,35
CH23	M1	16,6	14,87	16,43	15,34	15,96	6,07	15,6	15,7
	M2	17.5	15.15	16,75	15.63	16,27	9,72	15.8	16,1
				ŕ					
	M1	12,2	10,83	11,97	11,17	11,63	7,02	11,4	11,4
CHOS	M2	10,1	8,74	9,66	9,02	9,38	9,78	9,2	9,2
01120	S1	11,2	9,98	11,03	10,29	10,71	6,67	10,5	10,5
	S2	12	10,83	11,97	11,17	11,63	5,26	11,4	11,4
	M1	22.0	9.02	0.97	0.24	0.50	2.77	9.4	9.4
	MD	9,00 0.1	0,95	9,07	9,21	9,39	Z,[ [ 	9,4 00	9,4 00
CH28		3,2	0,00	5,24	0,02	0,50	4,00	0,0	
	01	0,0	0,00	0,93	0,33	10,07	3,53	0,5	0,5
	32	10,47	9,50	10,50	9,00	10,20	4,7	10	10
	S2	20,5	18,29	20,21	18,87	19,64	6,49	19,5	19
0,000	S1	18,8	16,72	18,48	17,25	17,95	6,82	17,6	17,6
CH2U	M1	20,9	19,24	21,26	19,85	20,66	3,21	20,2	20,3
	M2	20,7	18,81	20,79	19,40	20,20	4,55	19,8	19,8
		47.0	40.45	47.05	40.00		F 00	47.0	40.0
	<u>S2</u>	17,9	16,15	17,85	16,66	17,34	5,29	17,2	16,8
CH19	51	17,2	15,15	16,75	15,63	16,2/	/ ,84	16	16,9
	M1	16,8	14,20	15,70	14,65	15,25	12,37	16,2	14,7
	M2	18,8	16,72	18,48	17,25	17,95	6,82	17,4	17,8
	S2	20.7	19 43	21 47	20.04	20.86	1.22	20.4	20.5
	S1	20,7	19,45	21 37	19 94	20,00	2.21	20,7	20,0
CH18	M1	13.0	18.91	20.00	19.50	20,70	-33.67	19.7	20,4
	M2		8.03	20,50	8 28	20,50		8.6	20,1
	IVIZ	0,01	0,05	0,07	0,20	0,02	16,00	0,0	6,0
	M2	11.6	10.31	11.39	10.63	11.07	6,91	11	10.7
01.100	M1	11.3	10.31	11.39	10.63	11.07	4,15	10.7	1 11
CH26	S2	10.4	9.36	10,34	9.65	10,05	5,58	9.9	9.8
	S1	13.4	12 28	13 58	12 67	13 19	3.63	129	1 12.96

Table:comparison with soft and hard limits coming from the averaging of LIS014 and VG2 TUN at ILT. Major differences are due to Vg2 change in RCA 24 M1 and to main side refurbishment in RCA 18.



LFI Project System Team





Figure 1 Current consumption of LFI from CRYO-02 respect to average ILT results. Values are expressed in percentage of Id. The hard requirement is +- 5%. Channel RCA 18 main is here different w.r.t. ILT because it was substituted with the Flight Spare after a failure occurred at phase switch level.



Figure 2 4KRL temperature during the test: the absolute change p2p is by about 25 mK.





Figure 3 SPU temperature variation during the test: the net change ( p2p) is about 3K



Figure 4 BEU temperature ( R-BEM sensor displayed) : it is very stable during the test but far from the condition at ILT where it was about 30 K

#### 4.4 Save DAE default configuration

The further step has been to store the final configuration ( the one chosen as nominal default) in the EEPROM. It was done with the channel LFI28 set in Zero-bias condition in order to avoid saturation when switched on ( the 'soft procedure ' will be then used)



## 5 Other Features

### 5.1 Fluctuations in scientific output

From the TQL quick look real time analysis a deeper analysis was suggested although it was not strictly required to verify a specific requirement. Actually a strange modulation was observed in scientific output possibly correlated with some systematic (look for example the temperature change in SPU sensor during the test : more analysis in the next issue of he document.

### 5.2 Signal inversion in RCA25 R0D1

During EMC performed in October 2007 a strange inversion between sky and reference signal from RCA25 Channel R1D0 was observed. A deeper analysis on previous tests allowed to find a similar behaviour shown already during SFT test. This feature is traced by Action#4 H-P-TASF-MN-9737.

The RCA 25 behaviour was carefully monitored by TQL to look for similar effects: nothing like that was observed enforcing the original explanation that it exhibits when RCA 25 R1D0 shows a very defined input power level a the DAE.

### 5.3 TAG sky/ref inversion in RCA 28

The problem was already known: the DAE looses knowledge about which is sky and which is ref when it is set in a certain combination of 4KHz switching and P/S polarization: results from this test just confirm what already known from ILT traced by NCR 4011 (TBC)

### 5.4 RCA 24 saturation

Beacause of the test started from the condition RCA on it was not necessary to change bias in RCA 24 and hence it was not possible to verify whether this effect occurred or not.

### 5.5 Spike Analysis

This analysis is devoted to compare XXX\_0154 with the data set acquired during the ILT in THALES – Milan in 2006. Although this analysis is not strictly required and dedicated test have been performed however it can be useful anyway.

The complete analysis in the four combinations of P/S polarization and 4KHz status will be added in the next issue of this document



## 6 Conclusion

All the pass/fail criteria apart the drain current consumption requested form the procedure have been checked and passed: the CRYO-02 test is considered at this level of the analysis completed and passed. Data have been stored and analysed.

In many cases higher drain currents have been measured with respect to the respective CRYO 02 at ILT. However the thermal situation is here different especially because of the different FEM temperature. A generally systematic increasing of values is observed that suggests a systematic effect.

More analysis is foreseen in the next issue trying to explane the changes just basing on the lower temperature of FEU. However a different source of changes could be ascribed to a ground shift and it is to be carefully taken into account.

From a 'a posteriori' consideration probably the hard requirement set on drain currents when the instrument is in cold condition was too tight.

The scientific voltage comparison with the ILT was not required because of the different conditions although in the next issue will be hopefully added since it could be helpful in understanding the effect from the different thermal setup

#### 6.1 To be added (in the next issue) list

Drain current extrapolation	Extrapolate drain currents basing on different FPU temperature					
Scientific voltage	Comparison with ILT taking into account different FPU and BEU temperatures					
Spike analysis	In the 4 P/S and 4KHz combinations					

#### 6.2 NCR list

Туре	Number	Description	Notes
NC	ТВС	High drain currents ( out of hard limits)	To be further investigated but partly expected because of the different
			setup.



# 7 Annex : SPIKES FULL ANALYSIS

COMPARISON: CRYO 02 VS CRYO 02 AT ILT (MILAN 2006)

TBA in the next issue

