



Publication Year	2015
Acceptance in OA	2020-03-31T14:44:55Z
Title	The demography and the evolution of heavily obscured AGN
Authors	COMASTRI, Andrea, Balokovic, M., Brusa, M., Cappelluti, N., Civano, F., GILLI, Roberto, LANZUISI, Giorgio, Marchesi, S., Masini, A., Puccetti, S., Ranalli, P., Vignali, C., Vito, F.
Handle	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/23770

The demography and the evolution of heavily obscured AGN

Andrea Comastri

INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Bologna

M. Balokovic, M. Brusa, Cappelluti N., F. Civano, R. Gilli, G. Lanzuisi,
S. Marchesi, A. Masini, S. Puccetti, P. Ranalli, C. Vignali, F. Vito, ...

COSMOS, CDFS and NuSTAR Teams

Overview

Obscured AGN as a phase in the SMBH/host co-evolution or still consistent with a geometrical interpretation after 30 years from the discovery of polarized lines in NGC 1068 or both?

Incomplete review of most recent results biased toward hard X-rays

Census in terms of accreted mass (Soltan argument)


Perspectives

Theoretical framework

Galaxy/SupermassiveBlackHoles formation theories
“predict”



an obscured phase (likely **Compton thick**) in the early stages of evolution, expected to play a relevant role in shaping the joint SMBH and host galaxy growth via

FEEDBACK



Obscured AGN at $z \sim 1$

In the evolutionary sequence obscuration is likely to cover a large angle (up to 4π) and correlates with host properties




Increased merger/disturbed fraction ($2.5-4\sigma$) for increasing obscuration. Obscured AGN are preferentially hosted by late type galaxies relative to unobscured

Unified AGN model

Direct imaging of disk shaped structures

Spectropolarimetry of NGC 1068 and other type 2 Seyferts

Short term variability of X-ray absorption, Iron $K\alpha$ line in Type I AGN




Many evidences in favor of a “viewing angle” interpretation

Population Synthesis for the XRB

Mateos, Paltani, Annuar, Corral, Buchner, La Franca, Brightman, Masini, ... talks TORUS meeting last week

Modified Unified AGN model



Marchesi+15


Luminosity (and redshift) dependence of covering factor - possibly due to feedback of central radiation

Clumpy tori from IR SED of Type 2 AGN (Almeida+11)

Sazanov+15 -selection effects
Combination of a steep LF and some mild anisotropy consistent with no luminosity dependence of covering factor

Tests

Current picture is biased against obscuration especially beyond the local Universe and at both low and high luminosities.




“Torus Model” Murphy&Yaqoob09

“Sphere” Brightman&Nandra I I


Sample the X-ray spectrum with good sensitivity above 10 keV

NuSTAR

Compton thick in the Backyard: NuSTAR (I)




The brightest Sy 2 at 100 keV
in the local Universe
 $N_H \sim 4 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$



Rosetta Stone of CT AGN
contributing to the peak of the
XRB $N_H \sim 1.5 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$

Compton thick in the Backyard: NuSTAR (II)





Relatively bright Sy 2 in
the local Universe,
Swift/BAT selected

20-40 ksec NuSTAR
observations

$N_H > \sim 10^{25} \text{ cm}^{-2}$
Reflection Dominated
strong iron lines
(EQW up to 2-3 keV)

Population synthesis for XRB




Gilli, Comastri, Hasinger 2007 GCH07

Treister, Urry, Virani 2009 TUV09

Some 80% of accretion power is “mildly” obscured. About 1/4 (GCH07) or ~10% (TUV09) are Compton thick.

The bulk of energy output is emitted at $z \sim 1$.


Expected absorption distribution in NuSTAR



NuSTAR surveys Civano+15, Mullaney+15 have resolved $\sim 30\%$ of the 8-24 keV XRB

Degeneracies

Ueda+14 higher CT fraction (and different N_H distribution $\sim 10^{23-24}$) wrt Aird+15
Gilli+07 vs Treister+09




- uncertainties on N-refl
- uncertainties on R
- contribution @ 30 keV from other populations? i.e. blazars (Giommi+12)

Reduce the uncertainties anchoring to the Swift BAT CT fraction which is likely to be biased as well

Akylas+12

Source Counts



The 8-24 keV counts over predict the extrapolation of the Swift/BAT logN-logS (Ajello+12)

To reconcile the two measurements one need a fast evolution of the spectral properties from $z \sim 0$ to $z \sim 0.5-1$.
Cfr. Ballantyne+14

Harrison+15

Compton thick AGN

Current surveys are still not able to measure the geometry of obscuring material and its evolution beyond the local Universe. A modified version of the UM seems to work well modulo the bias toward “lower” obscuration (reflection/scattering)

Looking forward for further NuSTAR surveys and combined XMM-Chandra-Suzaku-NuSTAR spectral analysis to infer the geometry of the CT obscuring gas and break the degeneracies

A sizable population of highly obscured and CT AGN over a range of redshifts (say 0.5-2), is inferred from INDIRECT methods (optical/MIR line and continuum vs X-ray).

Could they be related to high covering factors and in turn to the evolutionary sequence?

SMBH Mass Density

$$\rho_{\bullet} c^2 = \frac{1 - \epsilon}{\epsilon} \times U_T = \frac{1 - \epsilon}{\epsilon} \times \langle k_{bol} \rangle U_X$$

ϵ accretion efficiency
 k_{bol} X-ray Bolometric correction

$$U_T = \int dz \frac{dt}{dz} \int L \phi(L, z) dL$$

U_T Comoving Bolometric energy density



$$U_T = \langle k_{bol} \rangle \frac{4\pi I_0}{c} (1 + \langle z \rangle)$$

I_0 XRB energy density

Assume XLF evolution, obscured fraction, bolometric correction account for Compton thick AGN or the XRB intensity at its peak.

Require consistency with the local value from scaling relations ($M_{\bullet}$ - M_{Bulge} - σ) get average efficiency or constrain parameters entering in the above equations.

Black Holes and Bulges



BH-to Bulge $\sim 0.5\%$ cfr 0.1-0.2% of previous relations i.e. Sani+11, Marconi & Hunt 03

- 1) omit pseudobulges
- 2) omit mergers in progress
- 3) omit galaxies with BH mass based on ionized gas dynamics

The low normalization of the scaling relation is consistent with current knowledge of AGN evolution, including CT fraction from XRB models, and “returns” 0.1 efficiency (Marconi+04)

$$\rho_{\bullet} c^2 = \langle k_{bol} \rangle \frac{1 - \epsilon}{\epsilon} U_{xo} (1 + \sum_i R_{ob})$$

To fit more mass you may decrease the average accretion efficiency (ADAF like, i.e. Novak 2013)

$$\rho_{\bullet} = \cancel{\rho_S} - \cancel{\rho_{GW}} + \int (\dot{\rho}_{UO} + \dot{\rho}_{OB} + \dot{\rho}_{CT} + \cancel{\dot{\rho}_{RI}}) dt$$

Could heavily obscured, Compton Thick AGN make the job?
 Accretion efficiency is not a free parameter but is assumed to be 0.1. The bolometric correction is also assumed to be consistent with the recent observational framework (i.e. Lusso+12)

$$\Sigma R_{obs} = R_{Thin} + R_{MThick} + R_{HThick} * (0.02/f_s)$$

The reflection/scattering yield f_s normalizes the contribution of Compton thick AGN in GCH07.

The lower the average reflection/scattering intensity, the higher is the number of heavily Compton thick SMBH which can be accommodated.


The “mass increase” is a factor 2 (on the lower side of the revised value) and consistent with that adopted in Marconi+04,06

$$2\rho_{\bullet}c^2 = \langle k_{bol} \rangle U_o \frac{1 - \epsilon}{\epsilon} (1 + \sum_i R_{obs} + R_{new})$$

In GCH07 the luminosity averaged ratio between Thick, Thin, unobscured is 3:3:1 (Thick equally splitted between Hthick and Mthick)



$$R_{new} = (1 + \Sigma R_{obs}) = 7$$

For each SMBH contributing to the XRB (unobscured, thin & thick) there is an X-ray silent object contributing to the mass density only



Still a sizable fraction (~20%) of “all” SMBH could be X-ray silent
 Alternatively the new population would not exceed the XRB limits provided their scattering efficiency is 0.004-0.01 i.e. a factor 2-5 lower than assumed in GCH07 ($f_s = 0.02$)

A new class of obscured AGN?




New Type AGN are seen almost face-on through a geometrically thick torus w/ small opening angle

Large population of heavily Compton Thick ($N_{\text{H}} \sim 10^{25}$) missed by present hard (> 10 keV) surveys !

Ueda+07
Eguchi+09
AC+10
Brightman+14

ULIRG ?



Nardini & Risaliti 2011

Near IR spectroscopy of ULIRG
AGN. Lack of PAH features, no SB, but
buried nuclei.

X-ray observations: weak or undetected
with XMM

“The upper limits on the reflected flux are
an order of magnitude lower than the
usual reflection efficiency observed in type
2 active galaxies, suggesting an almost
complete covering.”

ALMA observations of Arp220

$N_H \sim 0.6-1.8 \times 10^{25} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Wilson+14)

Deep silicate absorption at 9.7μ (Fu+10)






$\tau_{9.7} > 1$ and $\tau_T > 1$ only partly overlap
(i.e. Georgantopoulos+11)

Future Perspectives

- CDFS 7 Ms - Cosmos Legacy - UDS
- Additional XMM surveys?
- Scheduled and planned NuSTAR surveys (*and ASTRO-H*)
- **ATHENA**

Athena+

The first Deep Universe X-ray Observatory



Nandra, Barret, Barcons, Fabian,
den Herder, Piro, Watson et al.
2013 [arXiv 1306.2307](https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.2307)

Conclusions

Compton thick hunting season re-opened

Heavily Compton thick AGN could be responsible of the “mass excess”, satisfy the constraints imposed by the XRB and FIRB and accrete “efficiently”. Need to be either X-ray silent and/or highly covered. They could be associated with the rapid obscured growth of SMBH envisaged by theoretical models

ULIRG (Arp220-like) could be promising candidates.

Deep Chandra/XMM and NuSTAR coupled with multi-wavelength observations may provide interesting constraints while waiting for ATHENA wide and deep surveys