



<b>Publication Year</b>	2018
<b>Acceptance in OA</b>	2021-04-22T15:40:59Z
<b>Title</b>	First Detection of the Simplest Organic Acid in a Protoplanetary Disk
<b>Authors</b>	FAVRE, CÉCILE, FEDELE , DAVIDE, SEMENOV, DMITRY, Parfenov, Sergey, CODELLA, CLAUDIO, Ceccarelli, Cecilia, Bergin, Edwin A., CHAPILLON, EDWIGE, TESTI, Leonardo, Hersant, Franck, Lefloch, Bertrand, FONTANI, FRANCESCO, Blake, Geoffrey A., Cleeves, L. Ilsedore, Qi, Chunhua, Schwarz, Kamber R., TAQUET, VIANNEY DANIEL FRANCOIS
<b>Publisher's version (DOI)</b>	10.3847/2041-8213/aad046
<b>Handle</b>	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/30860">http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/30860</a>
<b>Journal</b>	THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL LETTERS
<b>Volume</b>	862



# First Detection of the Simplest Organic Acid in a Protoplanetary Disk\*

Cécile Favre<sup>1</sup>, Davide Fedele<sup>1</sup>, Dmitry Semenov<sup>2,3</sup>, Sergey Parfenov<sup>4</sup>, Claudio Codella<sup>1,5</sup>, Cecilia Ceccarelli<sup>5</sup>, Edwin A. Bergin<sup>6</sup>, Edwige Chapillon<sup>7,8</sup>, Leonardo Testi<sup>1,9,10</sup>, Franck Hersant<sup>7</sup>, Bertrand Lefloch<sup>5</sup>, Francesco Fontani<sup>1</sup>, Geoffrey A. Blake<sup>11</sup>, L. Ilseore Cleeves<sup>12</sup>, Chunhua Qi<sup>12</sup>, Kamber R. Schwarz<sup>6</sup>, and Vianney Taquet<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>INAF-Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, Largo E. Fermi 5, I-50125, Florence, Italy; cfavre@arcetri.astro.it

<sup>2</sup>Max Planck Institute for Astronomy, Knigstuhl 17, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, Ludwig Maximilian University, Butenandtstr. 5-13, D-81377 Munich, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Ural Federal University, 51 Lenin Str., Ekaterinburg 620000, Russia

<sup>5</sup>Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IPAG, F-38000 Grenoble, France

<sup>6</sup>Department of Astronomy, University of Michigan, 1085 South University Avenue, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA

<sup>7</sup>Laboratoire d'astrophysique de Bordeaux, Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS, B18N, alle Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, F-33615 Pessac, France

<sup>8</sup>IRAM, 300 Rue de la Piscine, F-38046 Saint Martin d'Hères, France

<sup>9</sup>European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>10</sup>Excellence Cluster Universe, Boltzmannstr. 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>11</sup>Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, MC 150-21, California Institute of Technology, 1200 East California Boulevard, Pasadena, California 91125, USA

<sup>12</sup>Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA

Received 2018 April 23; revised 2018 June 20; accepted 2018 June 24; published 2018 July 16

## Abstract

The formation of asteroids, comets, and planets occurs in the interior of protoplanetary disks during the early phase of star formation. Consequently, the chemical composition of the disk might shape the properties of the emerging planetary system. In this context, it is crucial to understand whether and what organic molecules are synthesized in the disk. In this Letter, we report the first detection of formic acid (HCOOH) toward the TW Hydrae protoplanetary disk. The observations of the trans-HCOOH  $6_{(1,6)}-5_{(1,5)}$  transition were carried out at 129 GHz with Atacama Large Millimeter/Submillimeter Array (ALMA). We measured a disk-averaged gas-phase t-HCOOH column density of  $\sim(2-4) \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , namely as large as that of methanol. HCOOH is the first organic molecule containing two oxygen atoms detected in a protoplanetary disk, a proof that organic chemistry is very active, albeit difficult to observe, in these objects. Specifically, this simplest acid stands as the basis for synthesis of more complex carboxylic acids used by life on Earth.

**Key words:** astrochemistry – ISM: molecules – protoplanetary disks – radio lines: ISM – stars: individual (TW Hya)

**Supporting material:** tar.gz file

## 1. Introduction

Life on Earth is based on different combinations of a relatively small number of key organic constituents, synthesized from simpler building-blocks including amino acids, phosphates, esters, organic acids, sugars, and alcohols. Some of these prebiotic molecules have been discovered in Solar-type star-forming regions (Cazaux et al. 2003; Jørgensen et al. 2012; Kahane et al. 2013) as well as in meteoritic (Kvenvolden et al. 1970) and cometary (Elsila et al. 2010; Altwegg et al. 2016) material. Astronomers have long wondered whether the organic chemistry during the star and planet formation process is inherited by the planets and small bodies of the final planetary system, and what the key organic molecules are. In order to establish this missing link, it is mandatory to understand how organic chemistry evolves during the protoplanetary disk phase, which is the last step before the planet formation. So far, however, only about twenty molecules have been detected in protoplanetary disks (Dutrey et al. 2014). Among them are small hydrocarbons, such as  $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$  (Qi et al. 2013b; Bergin et al. 2016), and

cyanides  $\text{HC}_3\text{N}$  and  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  (Chapillon et al. 2012; Öberg et al. 2015; Bergner et al. 2018), as well as two organic O-bearing molecules, methanol,  $\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$  (Walsh et al. 2016), and formaldehyde,  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}$  (Qi et al. 2013a; Loomis et al. 2015; Carney et al. 2017; Öberg et al. 2017), believed to be the first step toward a complex organic chemistry. The search for relatively large molecules in protoplanetary disks remains challenging (Walsh et al. 2014): high sensitivity and resolution (spatial and spectral) are required. The unprecedented sensitivity of the Atacama Large Millimeter/Submillimeter Array (ALMA) makes this instrument the most suitable for such a study.

In this Letter, we focus on formic acid (HCOOH), a key organic molecule as the carboxyl group ( $\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{OH}$ ) is one of the main functional groups of amino acids (the structural units of proteins). Indeed, this species is involved in a chemical route leading to glycine, the simplest amino acid (e.g., see Basiuk 2001; Redondo et al. 2015). HCOOH is unambiguously detected toward both high- and low-mass star-forming regions (e.g., see Liu et al. 2002; Lefloch et al. 2017). Here, we report the first detection of HCOOH with ALMA toward the protoplanetary disk surrounding the closest (59 pc, Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016) Solar-type young star, TW Hya. TW Hya is a relatively old (3–10 Myr) T Tauri star of about  $0.7 M_\odot$ , which is surrounded by a gas-rich disk, with a mass that is  $\geq 0.006 M_\odot$  (Bergin et al. 2013;

\* This Letter makes use of the following ALMA data: ADS/JAO.ALMA#2015.1.00845.S (PI C. Favre). ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA) and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada), NSC and ASIAA (Taiwan), and KASI (Republic of Korea), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO and NAOJ.

Trapman et al. 2017) and which shows prominent rings and gaps in gas and dust emission, perhaps a signature of ongoing planet formation. Notably, combined HD and CO observations toward TW Hya suggest that a substantial fraction of carbon reservoir is absent from the gas phase and could be stored in organic species, possibly in relatively complex ones (Favre et al. 2013; Schwarz et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2017). This detection of a new class of organic species has important consequences, because a relatively high, observationally derived abundance of HCOOH, along with that CH<sub>3</sub>OH ( $\chi \sim 3 \times 10^{-12}$ – $4 \times 10^{-11}$ , see Walsh et al. 2016), likely imply a rich organic chemistry in protoplanetary disks at the epoch of planet formation.

In Sections 2, we describe our observations. Results and analysis are given in Section 3. Physico-chemical modeling and further discussion are presented in Section 4.

## 2. Observations and Data Reduction

In this Letter, we focus on the trans-HCOOH  $6_{(1,6)-5_{(1,5)}}$  transition (i.e., the OH functional group is on the opposing side to the single C–H bond) emitting at 129671.82 MHz. We used the spectroscopic data parameters from Kuze et al. (1982) that are available at the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy line catalog (Müller et al. 2005). This transition has an appropriate low upper-state energy level ( $\sim 25$  K) and high line strength ( $\sim 12 D^2$ ), making it ideal for searching for this molecule in the gas with a temperature range of about 10–40 K, which is typical for the outer regions of protoplanetary disks surrounding young Sun-like stars like TW Hya. In addition, we note that six lines from the cis conformer, c-HCOOH, at 131 GHz were also covered by the observations. However, the energy barrier to internal trans conversion to cis is very high ( $\sim 1365$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, see Winnewisser et al. 2002), making their detection unlikely.

The observations were performed toward TW Hya on 2016 May 31 and on 2016 June 1 with 39 antennas and baselines from 15.1 m (6.5 k $\lambda$ ) up to 713.1 m (310.0 k $\lambda$ ) with an on-source time of about 39 min with ALMA during Cycle 3. The phase-tracking center was  $\alpha_{J2000} = 11^{\text{h}}01^{\text{m}}51^{\text{s}}.875$ ,  $\delta_{J2000} = -34^{\circ}42'17''.155$ . The spectral setup consisted of (i) six spectral windows, each with 960 channels and a channel width used during the observations of 244.141 kHz (about 0.6 km s<sup>-1</sup>) that covered about 1.4 GHz between 129.538 and 132.405 GHz, and (ii) one spectral window, centered at 130.973 GHz, of 2 GHz bandwidth (128 channels each of 15.625 MHz) for continuum imaging. The quasars J1037-2934 and J1103-3251 were used as calibrators for bandpass and phase for these observations. Ganymede and Titan were used as flux calibrators for the observations performed in May and June, respectively. Data reduction and continuum subtraction were performed through the version 4.5.3 of the Common Astronomy Software Applications (CASA). The continuum emission at 129 GHz was self-calibrated with the solutions (gain tables) applied to the molecular data. In addition, and still in order to optimize the sensitivity, the data were cleaned using a “Natural” weighting. The resulting synthesized beam sizes are  $1''.28 \times 1''.00$  at a P.A.  $\sim -89^\circ$  and  $1''.29 \times 1''.02$  at a P.A. of about  $90^\circ$  for the continuum (see Figure 1) and line images, respectively.

## 3. Results and Analysis

### 3.1. t-HCOOH

We detected the 129 GHz HCOOH line with a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of about 4. Figure 2 shows the disk-averaged spectrum extracted from the native data set<sup>13</sup> using an elliptical aperture of about 400 au to take into account uncertainties on the position and the spatial extent of the HCOOH emission. Figure 2 also displays the HCOOH spectrum resulting from the pixel spectral stacking method (assuming a Keplerian disk, see Yen et al. 2016), which independently strengthens our detection. Even though we have only one detected line, we can firmly confirm the identification of HCOOH because this is (i) the brightest line expected in the observed range, (ii) the same line was clearly detected in the Solar-like regions L1157–B1 and NGC1333–IRAS4A with the IRAM-30 m telescope as part of the Astrochemical Surveys At IRAM (ASAI) Large Program (see Lefloch et al. 2017, 2018), and (iii) two independent methods show a detection above the  $4\sigma$  level (see Figure 2).

To further enhance the spatial signal-to-noise ratio, sophisticated data processing has been performed (see Section 3.2).

### 3.2. Data Processing: Keplerian Mask

Assuming that the disk is in Keplerian rotation, one can significantly improve the S/N by the use of a Keplerian mask (Carney et al. 2017; Salinas et al. 2017). More specifically, the latter selects the regions in the data where the signal is expected to emit, following a disk velocity pattern (including the rotational and the systemic velocities of the object) and a disk size.


The parameters that we used to define out TW Hya Keplerian mask for the t-HCOOH emission are as follows:

1. stellar mass of  $0.7 M_\odot$ ,
2. disk inclination of  $7^\circ$ ,
3. disk position angle of  $155^\circ$ ,
4. systemic velocity of  $2.7$  km s<sup>-1</sup>,
5. distance of 59 pc, consistent with the recent *GAIA* measurements (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016),
6. outer radius of 400 au (to be consistent with the data).

The consistency of the mask that we used in this study has been checked on the c-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub> (3–2) line emission at 145089.6 MHz, which is clearly observed above the  $12\sigma$  level as part of our project (C. Favre et al. 2018, in preparation). After applying the above Keplerian mask to the HCOOH data, the S/N was enhanced by a factor  $\sim 5$ . Note that to compute the “bootstrapped” noise, the same keplerian mask was applied to channels with no signal that were far away from the ones in which HCOOH is emitting (see Bergner et al. 2018). Then, the resulting t-HCOOH emission was integrated over the line profile, from  $v = 0.9$  to  $3.4$  km s<sup>-1</sup> and smoothed by a Gaussian kernel of  $7'' \times 7''$  to spatially enhance the S/N. Figure 3 displays the resulting smoothed disk-averaged t-HCOOH spatial distribution (with a detection at a peak to noise ratio of  $12\sigma$ ), after applying the Keplerian mask.

It is important to note that the low spectral and spatial resolution of our observations allow us neither to disentangle the spatial structure of the emission nor to investigate the kinematics: (i) the line peak is slightly displaced with respect to

<sup>13</sup> A FITS image of the t-HCOOH channel map is available in a tar.gz package.




**Figure 1.** 129 GHz continuum emission as observed with ALMA toward TW Hya. The contours and level step are at  $14\sigma$  (where  $1\sigma = 1.4 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$ ).

the local standard of rest (LSR) velocity of the source ( $2.86 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) by at most one channel, but this displacement is consistent with the spectral resolution ( $0.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ), and (ii) the low angular resolution observations, along with the convolution procedure, lead to at least 100 au of uncertainty regarding the spatial extent of HCOOH.

### 3.3. Column Densities and Relative Abundances

The measured disk-averaged line integrated intensity over the line profile,  $F = (89 \pm 12) \times 10^{-3} \text{ Jy km s}^{-1}$ , corresponds to a t-HCOOH source-averaged column density of  $(2.9 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  and  $(3.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  for an excitation temperature of 10 and 40 K, respectively. The 10-40 K temperature range is the typical one where the molecular gas is expected to be emissive. Our observations suggest that HCOOH emission appears centrally peaked with extension beyond 200 au. Although our present low-resolution observations do not allow us to constrain exactly where formic acid is emitting within the TW Hya disk, one could simply assume that all organic O-bearing molecules emit within the same region if they share grain-surface formation chemistry. As methanol was previously detected toward TW Hya (Walsh et al. 2016), one can estimate the fraction of formic acid with respect to methanol, often believed to be a starting molecule from which more complex organics are synthesized, either in the gas-phase (Charnley et al. 1992; Balucani et al. 2015) or within the icy mantles of dust grains (Garrod & Herbst 2006; Semenov & Wiebe 2011). In that light, we obtain an average abundance t-HCOOH/CH<sub>3</sub>OH ratio lower than and/or equal to 1, which is about one order of magnitude higher than the ratio measured in comets (Biver et al. 2014). Here, we stress that this ratio likely suffers from the fact that we are not sampling the same spatial scales. Indeed, current methanol observations (Walsh et al. 2016) seem to indicate a more compact spatial distribution than our t-HCOOH observations. Aside from the intrinsic limitations of our observations and that of methanol,



**Figure 2.** Panel (a): TW Hya disk-averaged HCOOH spectrum extracted from the original datacube within 400 au. Panel (b): TW Hya HCOOH spectrum resulting from the pixel spectral stacking method by Yen et al. (2016). The S/N is  $\sim 5$ .


this difference in spatial distribution can originate from the different formation pathways.

## 4. On the Production of HCOOH

From a chemical point of view, the chemistry leading to formic acid is more complex than that responsible for the methanol formation. Indeed, the latter is mostly the result of the hydrogenation of carbon monoxide on the surface of grains, forming H<sub>2</sub>CO and CH<sub>3</sub>OH from CO that is facilitated at low temperatures (about 20 K), which allows the following: (i) CO molecules to freeze out onto the icy grain surfaces, (ii) hydrogen diffusion at the surface of grain mantles (prior to their evaporation), (iii) and consequently, hydrogen to tunnel through energy barriers which would be otherwise insurmountable (Watanabe & Kouchi 2002; Rimola et al. 2014). Formic acid, on the contrary, cannot be a simple H-addition process and has to be the result of reaction(s) involving polyatomic species, either on the grain surfaces or in the gas phase (Ioppolo et al. 2011; Skouteris et al. 2018).

### 4.1. Modeling

To better understand HCOOH chemistry across the disk, we used a state-of-the-art modeling suite (Parfenov et al. 2017) that includes a disk physical structure, radiative transfer, and chemical modeling that adequately describes the methanol observations in TW Hya disk (Walsh et al.



**Figure 3.** Panel (a): Observed gas-phase t-HCOOH emission integrated over the line profile after applying a Keplerian mask and smoothed at resolution equivalent to the TW Hya disk size. The contours and level step are at  $3\sigma$  (where  $1\sigma = 2 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1} \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , in the smoothed and masked data). Panel (b): the same as in panel A but model. The synthesized beam is shown in the bottom-left corner of panels (A) and (B).

2016) and within a factor 5 that of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  by Loomis et al. (2018).

#### 4.1.1. Physical and Chemical Model of TW Hya Disk

Our disk physical structure is computed using a thermochemical model by Gorti et al. (2011) for TW Hya. The disk spans 3.9–200 au radially and has separately computed gas and dust temperatures. An accretion rate of  $10^{-9} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  and a constant gas-to-dust mass ratio of 100 are assumed. The adopted parameters of the central star and the incident ultraviolet (UV) and X-ray radiation are representative of those observed in TW Hya. The star has a mass of  $0.7 M_{\odot}$ , a radius of  $1 R_{\odot}$ , and an effective temperature of 4200 K. A far-UV (FUV) spectrum with a total FUV luminosity of  $3 \times 10^{31} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  was used (Herczeg et al. 2002, 2004; Cleeves et al. 2014). The adopted X-ray spectrum, covering 0.1–10 keV, has a total X-ray luminosity of  $1.6 \times 10^{30} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ .

The chemical structure of the disk was computed with the public gas-grain ALCHEMIC code (see [http://www.mpia.de/homes/semenov/disk\\_chemistry\\_OSU08ggs\\_UV.zip](http://www.mpia.de/homes/semenov/disk_chemistry_OSU08ggs_UV.zip), Semenov et al. 2010). The chemical network is based on the osu.2007 ratefile with recent updates to the reaction rates from Kinetic Database for Astrochemistry (Wakelam et al. 2012), and a high-temperature network (Harada et al. 2010; Semenov & Wiebe 2011).


A standard cosmic-ray (CR) ionization rate was assumed to be  $\zeta_{\text{CR}} = 1.3 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , as it does not affect significantly the chemistry in comparison to the stellar X-rays. The FUV penetration into the disk is computed in a 1+1D approximation (Semenov & Wiebe 2011) using the visual extinction  $A_V$  in the direction toward the central star for the stellar FUV component, and the visual extinction  $A^{\text{IS}}$  in the vertical direction for the interstellar (IS) FUV component. To compute the X-ray ionization rate, we used the parametrization given by Armitage (2007) for the average X-ray photon energy of 3 keV. The self-shielding of  $\text{H}_2$  from photodissociation is calculated following the parametrization given in (Draine & Bertoldi

**Table 1**  
Initial Chemical Abundances

Species	Abundance	Species	Abundance
ortho- $\text{H}_2$	3.75 (−1)	S	9.14 (−8)
para- $\text{H}_2$	1.13 (−1)	Si	9.74 (−9)
He	9.75 (−2)	Fe	2.74 (−9)
O	1.80 (−4)	Na	2.25 (−9)
C	7.86 (−5)	Mg	1.09 (−8)
N	2.47 (−5)	Cl	1.00 (−9)
HD	1.55 (−5)	P	2.16 (−10)

1996). The shielding of CO by dust grains,  $\text{H}_2$ , and the CO self-shielding are calculated using a precomputed table (see Lee et al. 1996).

The gas-grain interactions include the sticking of neutral species and electrons to dust grains with 100% probability, and the desorption of ices by thermal, cosmic-ray particle (CRP), and UV-driven processes. We do not allow  $\text{H}_2$  to stick to grains. The uniformly sized, compact amorphous silicate particles with the radius  $a_d = 7 \mu\text{m}$  are considered. This grain size represents grain surface per unit gas volume of the size ensemble used in the physical model. A low UV-photodesorption yield of  $10^{-5}$  is adopted for all ices, based on the recent measurements (Bertin et al. 2016; Cruz-Diaz et al. 2016). Photodissociation processes of solid species are also taken into account (Garrod & Herbst 2006; Semenov & Wiebe 2011). A 1% probability for chemical desorption is assumed (Garrod et al. 2007). Surface recombination proceeds solely via Langmuir–Hinshelwood mechanism (Hasegawa et al. 1992), described by the standard rate equation approach. The ratio of diffusion to binding energy of surface species  $E_{\text{diff}}/E_b$  is 0.4, which is consistent with the recent laboratory studies (Cuppen et al. 2017). A “low metals”, mainly atomic set of initial abundances (Lee et al. 1998; Semenov et al. 2010) was used (see Table 1). The adopted thermochemical disk physical



**Figure 4.** Theoretical t-HCOOH relative abundance to molecular hydrogen. Panel (a): spatial distribution of the gas-phase abundance as a function of the radial distance from the central star and the altitude over the midplane. The white contours denote  $\log_{10}(n_{\text{H}_2})$  in  $\text{cm}^{-3}$ . Panel (b): the same as in A but for the HCOOH ice. Panel (c): radial distribution of column densities of gas (blue line) and solid (dashed orange line) HCOOH. The gray line shows the measured HCOOH column density. The predictions are based on our physical and chemical model (Parfenov et al. 2017, see Section 4).

model coupled with alchemic code was used to model the entire TW Hya disk chemical evolution over  $t = 1$  Myr.

#### 4.1.2. Radiative Transfer Modeling

To predict the HCOOH line emission from our disk model we used the three-dimensional Monte-Carlo code Line Modeling Engine (LIME; Brinch & Hogerheijde 2010) with a setup similar to the one used by Parfenov et al. (2017) to reproduce methanol emission toward TW Hya by Walsh et al. (2016). The radiative transfer calculations were performed assuming local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE). Non-LTE calculations could not be performed as the collisional rate coefficients for HCOOH are not available. Nonetheless, as shown in Figure 4 (see Panel (a)), HCOOH is abundant in the disk regions where the  $\text{H}_2$  density is relatively high ( $n_{\text{H}_2} \geq 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) and assuming a “standard” collisional coefficient of  $10^{-11}$ – $10^{-10} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , one might expect the line to be thermalized.

In order to simulate the spectral averaging within the ALMA channels, the synthetic image cubes produced by LIME (with a spectral resolution of  $0.06 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) were averaged along the spectral axis down to the resolution of  $0.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , which is the same as our observed data. These datacubes were then converted into visibilities using the simobserve task from the CASA package, using the same antenna positions as in the observations. Then noise was added to the visibilities using sm.setnoise and sm.setgain CASA tasks. Finally, the data were deconvolved and the image reconstructed via the clean task. The synthetic beam with the size of  $1''.31 \times 0''.98$  at a position angle PA of  $-79^\circ.6$ , which well matches the observed beam. The rms noise level in the synthetic disk images is of about  $1 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$  per channel that is consistent with the observations at the original spatial resolution.

#### 4.2. Chemical Desorption as the Source of HCOOH in Disks?

With the above model we are able to compute the evolution of the formic acid abundance over the age of TW Hya and thus to reconstruct its spatial distribution within the TW Hya protoplanetary disk (Figure 3(b)). Figure 4 shows the resulting

modeled distribution of the HCOOH relative abundance to molecular hydrogen. Our physico-chemical modeling reproduces well the observed column densities within a factor 3 that is commensurate with the uncertainties (from both the observations and the model). In this model, it is assumed that HCOOH is formed by the recombination of HCO and OH radicals on the grain surfaces (Garrod 2008), and that a fraction of it (1%) is injected into the gas phase through reactive desorption, because of the energy released by the reaction itself. In addition, some HCOOH molecules can be released from the ice mantles through CRPs heating of grains, CRP-induced UV-photodesorption, and direct UV desorption in the more irradiated outer disk region.

Interestingly enough, Skouteris et al. (2018) have recently shown that a new gas-phase scheme of reactions, involving ethanol as a parent molecule, can lead to the formation of formic acid. Using a preliminary 0D-model for disk-like conditions (Semenov et al. 2010), this new set of reactions does not seem to dominate the production of formic acid in disks. Nevertheless, this leads one to consider other gas-phase and/or grain-surface processes that may be worth studying in the future, as they could contribute to the observed distribution of formic acid.










## 5. Conclusions

In summary, we report the first detection of the simplest acid, HCOOH, in the protoplanetary disk surrounding a Sun-like young star, TW Hya. Our finding implies that a rich organic chemistry, which can lead to larger organic molecules, likely takes place at the verge of planet formation in protoplanetary disks. Indeed, HCOOH, together with methanol and formaldehyde (Walsh et al. 2014), are the most abundant complex molecules detected in protoplanetary disks so far. In the context of an interstellar-Earth connection, this shows that at least some of the bricks of prebiotic chemistry are already present in a protoplanetary disk expected to be similar to the Solar Nebula that formed our Solar System. Incidentally, our study strengthens the fact that observations of larger complex molecules in this environment remain challenging, even with ALMA and its

unprecedented sensitivity. Finally, further improvements in our understanding of the formation of organic molecules, through both laboratory experiments and theory, will help us to target future exploration of the chemical richness of protoplanetary disks, in particular to better characterize where these molecules are expected to be located within the disk.

We thank the anonymous referee for useful comments. We are very grateful to Nathalie Brouillet for her comments on formic acid in the ISM. This work was supported by (i) the Italian Ministry of Education, Universities and Research, through the grant project SIR (RBSI14ZHR), (ii) by the Italian Ministero dell’Istruzione, Universit  e Ricerca through the grant Progetti Premiali 2012—iALMA (CUP C52I13000140001), (iii) funding from the European Research Council (ERC), project DOC (The Dawn of Organic Chemistry), contract 741002, and (iv) supported by the project PRIN-INAF 2016 The Cradle of Life—GENESIS-SKA (General Conditions in Early Planetary Systems for the rise of life with SKA). The work of S.P. was supported by Act 211 Government of the Russian Federation, contract # 02.A03.21.0006 D.S. acknowledges support from the Heidelberg Institute of Theoretical Studies for the project “Chemical kinetics models and visualization tools: Bridging biology and astronomy.”

### ORCID iDs

Cécile Favre  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5789-6931>  
 Claudio Codella  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1514-3074>  
 Cecilia Ceccarelli  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9664-6292>  
 Edwin A. Bergin  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4179-6394>  
 Leonardo Testi  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1859-3070>  
 Francesco Fontani  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0348-3418>  
 Geoffrey A. Blake  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0787-1610>  
 Kamber R. Schwarz  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6429-9457>  
 Vianney Taquet  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0407-7489>

### References

Altwegg, K., Balsiger, H., Bar-Nun, A., et al. 2016, *SciA*, 2, e1600285  
 Armitage, P. J. 2007, arXiv:astro-ph/0701485  
 Balucani, N., Ceccarelli, C., & Taquet, V. 2015, *MNRAS*, 449, L16  
 Basiuk, V. A. 2001, *JPCA*, 105, 4252  
 Bergin, E. A., Cleeves, L. I., Gorti, U., et al. 2013, *Natur*, 493, 644  
 Bergin, E. A., Du, F., Cleeves, L. I., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 831, 101  
 Bergner, J. B., Guzmán, V. G., Öberg, K. I., Loomis, R. A., & Pegues, J. 2018, *ApJ*, 857, 69  
 Bertin, M., Romanzin, C., Doronin, M., et al. 2016, *ApJL*, 817, L12  
 Biver, N., Bockelée-Morvan, D., Debout, V., et al. 2014, *A&A*, 566, L5  
 Brinch, C., & Hogerheijde, M. R. 2010, *A&A*, 523, A25  
 Carney, M. T., Hogerheijde, M. R., Loomis, R. A., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 605, A21  
 Cazaux, S., Tielens, A. G. G. M., Ceccarelli, C., et al. 2003, *ApJL*, 593, L51  
 Chapillon, E., Dutrey, A., Guilloteau, S., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 756, 58

Charnley, S. B., Tielens, A. G. G. M., & Millar, T. J. 1992, *ApJL*, 399, L71  
 Cleeves, L. I., Bergin, E. A., & Adams, F. C. 2014, *ApJ*, 794, 123  
 Cruz-Díaz, G. A., Martín-Doménech, R., Muñoz Caro, G. M., & Chen, Y.-J. 2016, *A&A*, 592, A68  
 Cuppen, H. M., Walsh, C., Lamberts, T., et al. 2017, *SSRv*, 212, 1  
 Draine, B. T., & Bertoldi, F. 1996, *ApJ*, 468, 269  
 Dutrey, A., Semenov, D., Chapillon, E., et al. 2014, in *Protostars and Planets VI*, ed. H. Beuther et al. (Tucson, AZ: Univ. Arizona Press), 317  
 Elsila, J. E., Glavin, D. P., & Dworkin, J. P. 2010, *LPICo*, 1538, 5105  
 Favre, C., Cleeves, L. I., Bergin, E. A., Qi, C., & Blake, G. A. 2013, *ApJL*, 776, L38  
 Gaia Collaboration, Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 595, A2  
 Garrod, R. T. 2008, *A&A*, 491, 239  
 Garrod, R. T., & Herbst, E. 2006, *A&A*, 457, 927  
 Garrod, R. T., Wakelam, V., & Herbst, E. 2007, *A&A*, 467, 1103  
 Gorti, U., Hollenbach, D., Najita, J., & Pascucci, I. 2011, *ApJ*, 735, 90  
 Harada, N., Herbst, E., & Wakelam, V. 2010, *ApJ*, 721, 1570  
 Hasegawa, T. I., Herbst, E., & Leung, C. M. 1992, *ApJS*, 82, 167  
 Herczeg, G. J., Linsky, J. L., Valenti, J. A., Johns-Krull, C. M., & Wood, B. E. 2002, *ApJ*, 572, 310  
 Herczeg, G. J., Wood, B. E., Linsky, J. L., Valenti, J. A., & Johns-Krull, C. M. 2004, *ApJ*, 607, 369  
 Ioppolo, S., van Boheemen, Y., Cuppen, H. M., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Linnartz, H. 2011, *MNRAS*, 413, 2281  
 Jørgensen, J. K., Favre, C., Bisschop, S. E., et al. 2012, *ApJL*, 757, L4  
 Kahane, C., Ceccarelli, C., Faure, A., & Caux, E. 2013, *ApJL*, 763, L38  
 Kuze, H., Kuga, T., & Shimizu, T. 1982, *JMoSp*, 93, 248  
 Kvnvolden, K., Lawless, J., Pering, K., et al. 1970, *Natur*, 228, 923  
 Lee, H.-H., Herbst, E., Pineau des Forêts, G., Roueff, E., & Le Bourlot, J. 1996, *A&A*, 311, 690  
 Lee, H.-H., Roueff, E., Pineau des Forêts, G., et al. 1998, *A&A*, 334, 1047  
 Lefloch, B., Bachiller, R., Ceccarelli, C., et al. 2018, arXiv:1803.10292  
 Lefloch, B., Ceccarelli, C., Codella, C., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 469, L73  
 Liu, S., Girart, J. M., Remijan, A., & Snyder, L. E. 2002, *ApJ*, 576, 255  
 Loomis, R. A., Cleeves, L. I., Öberg, K. I., et al. 2018, arXiv:1805.01458  
 Loomis, R. A., Cleeves, L. I., Öberg, K. I., Guzman, V. V., & Andrews, S. M. 2015, *ApJL*, 809, L25  
 Müller, H. S. P., Schlöder, F., Stutzki, J., & Winnewisser, G. 2005, *JMoSt*, 742, 215  
 Öberg, K. I., Guzmán, V. V., Furuya, K., et al. 2015, *Natur*, 520, 198  
 Öberg, K. I., Guzmán, V. V., Merchantz, C. J., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 839, 43  
 Parfenov, S. Y., Semenov, D. A., Henning, T., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 468, 2024  
 Qi, C., Öberg, K. I., & Wilner, D. J. 2013a, *ApJ*, 765, 34  
 Qi, C., Öberg, K. I., Wilner, D. J., & Rosenfeld, K. A. 2013b, *ApJL*, 765, L14  
 Redondo, P., Largo, A., & Barrientos, C. 2015, *A&A*, 579, A125  
 Rimola, A., Taquet, V., Ugliengo, P., Balucani, N., & Ceccarelli, C. 2014, *A&A*, 572, A70  
 Salinas, V. N., Hogerheijde, M. R., Mathews, G. S., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 606, A125  
 Schwarz, K. R., Bergin, E. A., Cleeves, L. I., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 823, 91  
 Semenov, D., Hersant, F., Wakelam, V., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 522, A42  
 Semenov, D., & Wiebe, D. 2011, *ApJS*, 196, 25  
 Skouteris, D., Balucani, N., Ceccarelli, C., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 854, 135  
 Trapman, L., Miotello, A., Kama, M., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Bruderer, S. 2017, *A&A*, 605, A69  
 Wakelam, V., Herbst, E., Loison, J.-C., et al. 2012, *ApJS*, 199, 21  
 Walsh, C., Loomis, R. A., Öberg, K. I., et al. 2016, *ApJL*, 823, L10  
 Walsh, C., Millar, T. J., Nomura, H., et al. 2014, *A&A*, 563, A33  
 Watanabe, N., & Kouchi, A. 2002, *ApJL*, 571, L173  
 Winnewisser, M., Winnewisser, B. P., Stein, M., et al. 2002, *JMoSp*, 216, 259  
 Yen, H.-W., Koch, P. M., Liu, H. B., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 832, 204  
 Zhang, K., Bergin, E. A., Blake, G. A., Cleeves, L. I., & Schwarz, K. R. 2017, *NatAs*, 1, 0130