



Publication Year	2020
Acceptance in OA	2022-02-18T16:11:19Z
Title	Nightside condensation of iron in an ultrahot giant exoplanet
Authors	Ehrenreich, David, Lovis, Christophe, Allart, Romain, Zapatero Osorio, María Rosa, Pepe, Francesco, CRISTIANI, Stefano, Rebolo, Rafael, Santos, Nuno C., BORSA, Francesco, Demangeon, Olivier, Dumusque, Xavier, González Hernández, Jonay I., Casasayas-Barris, Núria, Ségransan, Damien, Sousa, Sérgio, Abreu, Manuel, Adibekyan, Vardan, Affolter, Michael, Allende Prieto, Carlos, Alibert, Yann, Aliverti, Matteo, Alves, David, Amate, Manuel, Avila, Gerardo, BALDINI, Veronica, Bandy, Timothy, Benz, Willy, BIANCO, ANDREA, Bolmont, Émeline, Bouchy, François, Bourrier, Vincent, Broeg, Christopher, Cabral, Alexandre, CALDERONE, GIORGIO, Pallé, Enric, Cegla, H. M., CIRAMI, ROBERTO, Coelho, João M. P., Conconi, Paolo, CORETTI, Igor, Cumani, Claudio, CUPANI, Guido, Dekker, Hans, DELABRE, BERNARD ALEXIS, Deiries, Sebastian, D'ODORICO, Valentina, DI MARCANTONIO, Paolo, Figueira, Pedro, Fragoso, Ana, Genolet, Ludovic, Genoni, Matteo, Génova Santos, Ricardo, Hara, Nathan, Hughes, Ian, Iwert, Olaf, Kerber, Florian, Knudstrup, Jens, LANDONI, Marco, Lavie, Baptiste, Lizon, Jean-Louis, Lendl, Monika, Lo Curto, Gaspare, Maire, Charles, Manescau, Antonio, Martins, C. J. A. P., Mégevand, Denis, Mehner, Andrea, MICELA, Giuseppina, Modigliani, Andrea, MOLARO, Paolo, Monteiro, Manuel, Monteiro, Mario, Moschetti, Manuele, Müller, Eric, Nunes, Nelson, OGGIONI, LUCA, Oliveira, António, PARIANI, Giorgio, Pasquini, Luca, PORETTI, Ennio, Rasilla, José Luis, REDAELLI, EDOARDO MARIA ALBERTO, RIVA, Marco, Santana Tschudi, Samuel, Santin, Paolo, Santos, Pedro, Segovia Milla, Alex, Seidel, Julia V., Sosnowska, Danuta, SOZZETTI, Alessandro, Spanò, Paolo, Suárez Mascareño, Alejandro, Taberner, Hugo, Tenegi, Fabio, Udry, Stéphane, ZANUTTA, Alessio, ZERBI, Filippo Maria
Publisher's version (DOI)	10.1038/s41586-020-2107-1
Handle	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/31421
Journal	NATURE
Volume	580

Nightside condensation of iron in an ultra-hot giant exoplanet

David Ehrenreich¹, Christophe Lovis¹, Romain Allart¹, María Rosa Zapatero Osorio², Francesco Pepe¹, Stefano Cristiani³, Rafael Rebolo⁴, Nuno C. Santos^{5,6}, Francesco Borsa⁷, Olivier Demangeon⁵, Xavier Dumusque¹, Jonay I. González Hernández⁴, Núria Casasayas-Barris⁴, Damien Ségransan¹, Sérgio Sousa⁵, Manuel Abreu^{8,9}, Vardan Adibekyan⁵, Michael Affolter¹⁰, Carlos Allende Prieto⁴, Yann Alibert¹⁰, Matteo Aliverti⁷, David Alves^{8,9}, Manuel Amate⁴, Gerardo Avila¹¹, Veronica Baldini³, Timothy Bandy¹⁰, Willy Benz¹⁰, Andrea Bianco⁷, Émeline Bolmont¹, François Bouchy¹, Vincent Bourrier¹, Christopher Broeg¹⁰, Alexandre Cabral^{8,9}, Giorgio Calderone³, Enric Pallé⁴, H. M. Cegla¹, Roberto Cirami³, João M. P. Coelho^{8,9}, Paolo Conconi⁷, Igor Coretti³, Claudio Cumani¹¹, Guido Cupani³, Hans Dekker¹¹, Bernard Delabre¹¹, Sebastian Deiries¹¹, Valentina D’Odorico^{3,12}, Paolo Di Marcantonio³, Pedro Figueira^{13,5}, Ana Fragoso⁴, Ludovic Genolet¹, Matteo Genoni⁷, Ricardo Génova Santos⁴, Nathan Hara¹, Ian Hughes¹, Olaf Iwert¹¹, Florian Kerber¹¹, Jens Knudstrup¹¹, Marco Landoni⁷, Baptiste Lavie¹, Jean-Louis Lizon¹¹, Monika Lendl^{1,14}, Gaspare Lo Curto¹³, Charles Maire¹, Antonio Manescau¹¹, C. J. A. P. Martins^{5,15}, Denis Mégevand¹, Andrea Mehner¹³, Giusi Micela¹⁶, Andrea Modigliani¹¹, Paolo Molaro^{3,17}, Manuel Monteiro⁵, Mario Monteiro^{5,6}, Manuele Moschetti⁷, Eric Müller¹¹, Nelson Nunes⁸, Luca Oggioni⁷, António Oliveira^{8,9}, Giorgio Pariani⁷, Luca Pasquini¹¹, Ennio Poretti^{7,18}, José Luis Rasilla⁴, Edoardo Redaelli⁷, Marco Riva⁷, Samuel Santana Tschudi¹³, Paolo Santin³, Pedro Santos^{8,9}, Alex Segovia Milla¹, Julia V. Seidel¹, Danuta Sosnowska¹, Alessandro Sozzetti¹⁹, Paolo Spanò⁷, Alejandro Suárez Mascareño⁴, Hugo Tabernero^{2,5}, Fabio Tenegi⁴, Stéphane Udry¹, Alessio Zanutta⁷, Filippo Zerbi⁷

Ultra-hot giant exoplanets receive thousands of times Earth’s insolation^{1,2}. Their high-temperature atmospheres (>2,000 K) are ideal laboratories for studying extreme planetary climates and chemistry³⁻⁵. Daysides are predicted to be cloud-free, dominated by atomic species⁶ and substantially hotter than nightsides^{5,7,8}. Atoms are expected to recombine into molecules over the nightside⁹, resulting in different day-night chemistry. While metallic elements and a large temperature contrast have been observed¹⁰⁻¹⁴, no chemical gradient has been measured across the surface of such an exoplanet. Different atmospheric chemistry between the day-to-night (“evening”) and night-to-day

¹Observatoire astronomique de l’Université de Genève, 51 chemin des Maillettes, 1290 Versoix, Switzerland. ²Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA), Carretera de Ajalvir km 4, E-28850 Torrejón de Ardoz, Madrid, Spain. ³INAF Osservatorio Astronomico di Trieste, via G. Tiepolo 11, 34143 Trieste, Italy. ⁴Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, Vía Láctea s/n, 38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain. ⁵Instituto de Astrofísica e Ciências do Espaço, Universidade do Porto, CAUP, Rua das Estrelas, 4150-762 Porto, Portugal. ⁶Departamento de Física e Astronomia, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal. ⁷INAF Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, Via E. Bianchi 46, 23807 Merate, Italy. ⁸Instituto de Astrofísica e Ciências do Espaço, Universidade de Lisboa, Edifício C8, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal. ⁹Departamento de Física da Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, Edifício C8, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal. ¹⁰Physikalisches Institut & Center for Space and Habitability, Universität Bern, Gesellschaftsstrasse 6, 3012 Bern, Switzerland. ¹¹European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 2, 85748 Garching bei München, Germany. ¹²Scuola Normale Superiore, P.zza dei Cavalieri, 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy. ¹³European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Córdova 3107, Vitacura, Casilla 19001, Santiago de Chile, Chile. ¹⁴Space Research Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Schmiedlstr. 6, A-8042 Graz, Austria. ¹⁵Centro de Astrofísica da Universidade do Porto, Rua das Estrelas, 4150-762 Porto, Portugal. ¹⁶INAF Osservatorio Astronomico di Palermo, Piazza del Parlamento 1, 90134 Palermo, Italy. ¹⁷Institute for Fundamental Physics of the Universe, Via Beirut 2, 34151 Miramare, Trieste, Italy. ¹⁸Fundación Galileo Galilei, INAF, Rambla José Ana Fernández Pérez 7, 38712 Breña Baja, Spain. ¹⁹INAF Osservatorio Astrofisico di Torino, Via Osservatorio 20, 10025 Pino Torinese, Italy.

(“morning”) terminators could, however, be revealed as an asymmetric absorption signature during transit^{4,7,15}. Here, we report the detection of an asymmetric atmospheric signature in the ultra-hot exoplanet WASP-76b. We spectrally and temporally resolve this signature thanks to the combination of high-dispersion spectroscopy with a large photon-collecting area. The absorption signal, attributed to neutral iron, is blueshifted by -11 ± 0.7 km s⁻¹ on the trailing limb, which can be explained by a combination of planetary rotation and wind blowing from the hot dayside¹⁶. In contrast, no signal arises from the nightside close to the morning terminator, showing that atomic iron is not absorbing starlight there. Iron must thus condense during its journey across the nightside.

¹Observatoire astronomique de l'Université de Genève, 51 chemin des Maillettes, 1290 Versoix, Switzerland. ²Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA), Carretera de Ajalvir km 4, E-28850 Torrejón de Ardoz, Madrid, Spain. ³INAF Osservatorio Astronomico di Trieste, via G. Tiepolo 11, 34143 Trieste, Italy. ⁴Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, Vía Láctea s/n, 38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain. ⁵Instituto de Astrofísica e Ciências do Espaço, Universidade do Porto, CAUP, Rua das Estrelas, 4150-762 Porto, Portugal. ⁶Departamento de Física e Astronomia, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal. ⁷INAF Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, Via E. Bianchi 46, 23807 Merate, Italy. ⁸Instituto de Astrofísica e Ciências do Espaço, Universidade de Lisboa, Edifício C8, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal. ⁹Departamento de Física da Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, Edifício C8, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal. ¹⁰Physikalisches Institut & Center for Space and Habitability, Universität Bern, Gesellschaftsstrasse 6, 3012 Bern, Switzerland. ¹¹European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 2, 85748 Garching bei München, Germany. ¹²Scuola Normale Superiore, P.zza dei Cavalieri, 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy. ¹³European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Córdova 3107, Vitacura, Casilla 19001, Santiago de Chile, Chile. ¹⁴Space Research Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Schmiedlstr. 6, A-8042 Graz, Austria. ¹⁵Centro de Astrofísica da Universidade do Porto, Rua das Estrelas, 4150-762 Porto, Portugal. ¹⁶INAF Osservatorio Astronomico di Palermo, Piazza del Parlamento 1, 90134 Palermo, Italy. ¹⁷Institute for Fundamental Physics of the Universe, Via Beirut 2, 34151 Miramare, Trieste, Italy. ¹⁸Fundación Galileo Galilei, INAF, Rambla José Ana Fernández Pérez 7, 38712 Breña Baja, Spain. ¹⁹INAF Osservatorio Astrofisico di Torino, Via Osservatorio 20, 10025 Pino Torinese, Italy.

Two transits of the short-period (1.81 day) giant exoplanet WASP-76b¹⁷⁻¹⁹ were observed on 2 September 2018 (epoch 1) and 30 October 2018 (epoch 2) with the Echelle Spectrograph for Rocky Exoplanets and Stable Spectroscopic Observations (ESPRESSO) at the European Southern Observatory Very Large Telescope (VLT) located on Cerro Paranal, Chile (see the observation log in [Methods](#) and [Extended Data Fig. 1](#)). ESPRESSO is a fibre-fed, stabilised and high-resolution spectrograph²⁰ able to collect the light from any combination of the four VLT 8-metre Unit Telescopes (UTs). During each epoch, we acquired data with UT3 only. We used the single high-resolution 2×1-binning mode ($\langle\lambda/\Delta\lambda\rangle = 138,000$), exposure times of 600 s and 300 s with the slow read-out mode to record 35 and 70 spectra of the bright ($V=9.5$), F7 star WASP-76 during epochs 1 and 2, respectively. The ESPRESSO pipeline version 1.3.2 was used to produce 1D spectra and cross-correlation functions (CCFs). The CCFs, which are average stellar line profiles, were extracted using an F9 mask over a velocity range of $[-150,+150]$ km s⁻¹, with a step of 0.5 km s⁻¹. This stellar mask contains 4,653 spectral lines in the wavelength range between 380 and 788 nm covered by ESPRESSO; most of the spectroscopic information in the mask is contained in electronic transitions of neutral iron (Fe; see [Methods](#)).

We used the spectra to revise the stellar parameters and the CCF peak position to monitor the radial velocities of the integrated stellar disc during the transit of the planet (see [Methods](#) and [Extended Data Table 1](#)). The planet blocks different parts of the rotating stellar surface during the transit, resulting in a spectroscopic anomaly known for eclipsing binaries and exoplanets as the Rossiter-McLaughlin effect (e.g. ref. [21]). The shape of the anomaly observed for WASP-76b ([Fig. 1a](#)) shows that the planet orbit is prograde, and its orbital spin is approximately aligned with the rotational spin of the star. For this bright star, ESPRESSO yields an average photon-noise-limited precision of 70 cm s⁻¹ and 85 cm s⁻¹ per 10 min and 5 min exposure in epochs 1 and 2, respectively. This precision is high enough to reveal a small “bump” towards positive radial velocities occurring 30 min after mid-transit during each epoch. We could also find it *a posteriori* in previous data taken with the HARPS spectrograph ([Fig. 1a](#)).

We retrieved the CCFs of the stellar surface occulted by the planet during the transit²² (the “local” CCFs). These local CCFs, shifted to the stellar rest frame, are displayed for individual epochs in [Extended Data Fig. 4](#). They exhibit a dark slanted feature called the Doppler shadow¹. The radial velocity of the Doppler shadow across the transit is related to the stellar projected rotational velocity $v_{\text{eq}} \sin i_*$ (where i_* is the stellar inclination) and the

projected spin-orbit obliquity λ^{22} . For each in-transit exposure, we fitted the Doppler shadow with a Voigt profile. The radial velocity of the peak corresponds to the local velocity of the stellar surface behind the planet (see Methods and Fig. 1b). A fit to the data with a stellar surface model described in Methods yields $\lambda = 61 \pm 7^\circ$ and a slow projected stellar rotation ($v_{\text{eq}} \sin i_\star = 1.5 \pm 0.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). The system geometry is sketched in Fig. 1c.

We removed the Doppler shadow by subtracting the Voigtian fits to the data for each exposure and searched for faint planetary signals in the residuals. Any signal tied to the planet should move with a velocity close to the planet Keplerian velocity, which varies between -53 and $+53 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ during transit. The residual maps, shown for both epochs combined and each epoch separately in Fig. 2a and Extended Data Fig. 5, respectively, show a slanted residual *absorption* signature close to the expected radial velocity of the planet. In contrast with the negative Doppler shadow, the slanted signature appears as a positive signal. The gap between -0.2 h and $+0.7 \text{ h}$ around mid-transit results from the subtraction of the Doppler shadow.

The absorption signal arises from the cross-correlation of the planetary atmosphere transmission spectrum with the stellar mask dominated by atomic iron lines. Therefore, it traces the presence of atomic iron in the atmosphere of WASP-76b, as found in the atmospheres of other ultra-hot gas giants^{10-12,23}. The planetary absorption overlaps the Doppler shadow at the same transit phase as the “bump” in Fig. 1a, meaning that this anomaly in the Rossiter-McLaughlin effect is due to the presence of a hot planetary atmosphere, as described in previous works^{23,24}. We excluded this phase range from our analysis.

We studied the absorption signature in the planetary rest frame (Fig. 2b), using our newly derived orbital solution (see Methods). It appears asymmetric and mostly blueshifted. We fitted the absorption signal with Gaussians to retrieve its amplitude, radial velocity and full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) as a function of time (see Extended Data Fig. 7).

The radial velocity of the planetary signature (Extended Data Fig. 7a) is slightly blueshifted (between 0 and -5 km s^{-1}) at ingress. It progressively blueshifts down to about $-11 \pm 0.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, reached at -0.4 h from mid-transit. It remains blueshifted until the end of transit. The amplitude can be converted to a differential transit depth δ_{atm} caused by the atmospheric absorption (Extended Data Fig. 7c). We measure a mean absorption signal of $494 \pm 27 \text{ ppm}$ (weighted by the uncertainties), which corresponds to the absorption by ~ 1.8 atmospheric scale heights calculated assuming a dayside temperature of $2,693 \text{ K}^{25}$. During the first half of the transit, the signal contrast is $434 \pm 32 \text{ ppm}$ close to the planet rest velocity; it

increases (with a significance of 3.5σ) after +1 h from mid-transit, up to 628 ± 49 ppm towards the end of the transit, where the signal is significantly blueshifted. An atmospheric signal centred at non-zero radial velocities indicates a motion of the absorber in the planet rest frame, typically due to winds¹⁶. The blueshifted signal is highly significant compared to the absence of a signal (0 ± 49 ppm) observed at the same time around 0 km s^{-1} at the $9\text{-}\sigma$ confidence level ($628 \text{ ppm} / (49 \text{ ppm} \sqrt{2}) \approx 9\sigma$). Finally, the FWHM (Extended Data Fig. 6b) has a weighted-mean value of $8.6\pm 0.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. This width could result from the combination of the tidally-locked planetary rotation (5.3 km s^{-1}), thermal broadening ($\sim 0.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) and turbulent motions due to winds.

Three-dimensional global climate models will be needed to fully take advantage of these spectrally and temporally resolved figures. Meanwhile, we can craft a toy-model to qualitatively understand the temporal evolution of the atmospheric signature. Ultra-hot gas giants have day-side temperatures commensurable with the surface of cool stars. There, most molecules should thermally dissociate, resulting in a composition dominated by atoms and ions. These partially ionised atmospheres could give rise to frictional drag caused by Lorentz forces, slowing down the characteristic time scale for heat advection^{7,8}. Consequently, heat redistribution to the nightside should be relatively inefficient and the day-night temperature contrast correspondingly large. This could also result in day-to-night, longitudinally-symmetric winds^{14,16} and recombination and dissociation of atoms and molecules at the evening and morning terminators⁹, respectively. Our model is sketched in Fig. 3 and makes use of the following ingredients: (i) A tidally-locked rotation red- and blue-shifting the signal at the leading and trailing limb, respectively, by $\pm 5.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; (ii) an absorber (neutral iron) found on the hottest part of the planet dayside (the “hot spot”) and absent from the colder nightside; (iii) a longitudinal offset of the hot spot towards the evening terminator (this is in tension with the existence of strong drag forces but is necessary to explain the asymmetry between the beginning and end of transit); (iv) a uniform day-to-night wind, previously observed for HD 209458b¹⁶. We assumed the day-to-night wind imprints a -5.3 km s^{-1} blueshift at both limbs, therefore compensating the planetary rotation redshift at the leading limb (shifting the signal towards 0 km s^{-1}) while increasing the blueshift at the trailing limb to -10.6 km s^{-1} . This wind speed lies at the upper bound of the range expected by theory^{7,15,26,27}; however, most existing studies have focused on planets cooler than WASP-76b. Finally, we considered (v) the variation of the angle $\zeta = 2 \arcsin R_*/a$ between the planet terminator and the line of sight, where a is the semi-

major axis of the planet. Due to the tight orbit of WASP-76b, this angle varies by 29.4° during transit. This effect brings the region containing atomic iron in and out of view during the transit.

The transit of our model in front of the star follows the three-step scenario depicted in [Fig. 3](#): (i) At ingress, only the leading limb contributes to the signal; there, the line-of-sight crosses a fraction of the dayside containing iron atoms that absorb the starlight between 0 and -5 km s^{-1} . The trailing limb, entering the stellar disc, also contains iron atoms that starts to blueshift the signal. (ii) As soon as ζ is large enough to take the patch of absorbing iron atoms out of view from the leading limb, its contribution disappears. Only the trailing limb now contributes to the signal; the planetary rotation plus day-to-night winds brings it to -10.6 km s^{-1} . Planetary rotation could still broaden the signal after the disappearance of the leading limb signal because an absorption crescent on the trailing limb still features differential rotation from the pole to the equator. The signal then keeps a constant blueshift until egress (iii) while its absorption depth increases as the hot spot comes into view in the trailing limb. We can quantify the temperature increase between the evening terminator and the hot spot by considering that $\delta_{\text{atm}}^{\text{day}}/\delta_{\text{atm}}^{\text{eve}} = T^{\text{day}}/T^{\text{eve}}$ (see [Methods](#)). Given the values quoted above, the temperature must increase by a factor of 1.5 ± 0.2 across the evening terminator towards the dayside. This is consistent with expectations for a strong day-night temperature contrast for ultra-hot gas giants²⁸ and yields an evening temperature of $1,795 \pm 242 \text{ K}$. Meanwhile, the egress of the leading limb does not have any apparent impact, strengthening its lack of contribution.

We conclude from this that neutral iron atoms must be present on the dayside and evening terminator, but much less abundant or even absent from the nightside and morning terminator. Therefore, iron must condense across the nightside. Nightside clouds have been suggested from thermal phase curves of hot gas giants^{28,29}. On WASP-76b and similarly hot planets, these clouds could be made out of iron droplets, since liquid iron is the most stable high-temperature iron-bearing condensate³⁰. Hence, it could literally rain iron on the nightside of WASP-76b.




Figure 1 | Rossiter-McLaughlin effect of WASP-76b. **a**, “Classical” analysis of the effect showing the radial velocities integrated over the whole stellar disc for the ESPRESSO epoch 1 (orange), epoch 2 (green), both epochs combined (black thick curve) and 3 previous transits observed with HARPS (grey symbols; ref. ¹⁹). **b**, “Reloaded” analysis of the effect showing the stellar surface velocities behind the disc of the planet. The red curve is a fit with a stellar surface model assuming solid-body rotation. Vertical dotted lines indicate the transit contacts and mid time. The hatched area delimits the times when the planet absorption signal crosses the Doppler shadow. The 1σ uncertainties have been propagated accordingly from the errors calculated by the ESPRESSO pipeline. Velocity scales are in the stellar rest frame. **c**, Sketch of the WASP-76 system (to scale) as seen from Earth. Arrows show the projected spin axes of the planetary orbit (green) and the star (black).




Figure 2 | Planet absorption signature. **a**, In the stellar rest frame, the planetary absorption signal appears close to the expected Keplerian of the planet, superimposed in white with its 1σ uncertainty. Transit contacts are shown by white horizontal dashed lines. The gap around 0 km s^{-1} corresponds to the position of the Doppler shadow before its subtraction. **b**, In the planet rest frame, the shimmer is asymmetric and progressively blueshifts after ingress.




Figure 3 | Polar view of the WASP-76 system. **a**, The star WASP-76 and planet WASP-76b are represented to scale in size and distance. The planet is shown at different transit stages, with the transit contacts I, II, III and IV. During transit, the angle ζ between the planet terminator and the line of sight (dashed line in the middle) changes by $2 \arcsin R_*/a = 29.4^\circ$, where a is the semi-major axis. **b**, Sketch of the absorption signature observed during transit, in the planet rest frame. The numbers refer to the insets. **(1)** During ingress, iron on the dayside is visible through the leading limb and creates an absorption around 0 km s^{-1} . The trailing limb enters the stellar disc and progressively blueshifts the signal. **(2)** The signal around 0 km s^{-1} disappears as soon as no more iron is visible in the leading limb. Only the trailing limb contributes to the signal, which remain blueshifted around -11 km s^{-1} . **(3)** The signal remains at this blueshifted velocity until the end of the transit.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank G. Fu for sharing information regarding the binary companion of WASP-76A and D. Kitzmann for discussion about how iron can condense. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (project FOUR ACES; grant agreement No 724427). It has also been carried out in the frame of the National Centre for Competence in Research PlanetS supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF). This work was supported by FCT/MCTES through national funds and by FEDER—Fundo Europeu de Desenvolvimento Regional through COMPETE2020—Programa Operacional Competitividade e Internacionalização by these grants: UID/FIS/04434/2019; PTDC/FIS-AST/32113/2017 & POCI-01-0145-FEDER-032113; PTDC/FIS-AST/28953/2017 & POCI-01-0145-FEDER-028953. VA and SS acknowledge the support from FCT through Investigador FCT contracts of references IF/00650/2015 and IF/00028/2014, and POPH/FSE (EC) by FEDER funding through the program “Programa Operacional de Factores de Competitividade – COMPETE”. This work of CJAPM was financed by FEDER—Fundo Europeu de Desenvolvimento Regional funds through the COMPETE 2020—Operational Programme for Competitiveness and Internationalisation (POCI), and by Portuguese funds through FCT—Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia in the framework of the projects POCI-01-0145-FEDER-028987 and UID/FIS/04434/2019. OD is supported in the form of work contract (DL 57/2016/CP1364/CT0004). MRZO acknowledges financial support from AYA2016-79425-C3-2-P from the Spanish Ministry for Science, Innovation and Universities (MICIU). JIGH acknowledges financial support from the MICIU under the 2013 Ramón y Cajal program MICIU RYC-2013-14875. JIGH, RRL, CAP and ASM also acknowledge financial support from the MICIU for project AYA2017-86389-P. This publication makes use of The Data & Analysis Center for Exoplanets (DACE), which is a facility based at the University of Geneva (CH) dedicated to extrasolar planets data visualisation, exchange and analysis. DACE is a platform of the Swiss National Centre of Competence in Research (NCCR) PlanetS, federating the Swiss expertise in Exoplanet research. The DACE platform is available at <https://dace.unige.ch>. Based on observations made at ESO Very Large Telescope (Paranal, Chile) under programme 1102.C-744 and ESO 3.6 m telescope (La Silla, Chile) under programmes 090.C-0540 and 100.C-0750.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

DE, CL and RA led the data analysis and interpretation. DE wrote the paper with contributions from RA. CL led the development of the data reduction pipeline. MZO coordinated the observations and scientific work and performed the first-epoch observation. FP, SC, RRL and NS led the ESPRESSO consortium and building of the instrument. JGH performed the second-epoch observation. FBor, OD, EPa, NS, EB, VB, HMC, NCB, JVS and HT brought decisive contributions to the interpretation. NCB performed an independent data analysis. SS performed the stellar parameter analysis. XD created the CCF mask and retrieved the list of its atomic lines. NH made the radial velocity retrieval. DS provided support with DACE. BL provided the nested sampling algorithm for the analysis. ML derived the transit ephemeris. VA, CA, YA, FBou, VD, PF, RGS, CM, AM, GM, PM, NN, GL, EPo, AS, ASM and SU participated to the scientific preparation and target selection for these observations. The other co-authors provided key contributions to the instrumental, software and operational development of ESPRESSO. All co-authors read and commented the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Collier-Cameron, A. *et al.* Line-profile tomography of exoplanet transits - II. A gas-giant planet transiting a rapidly rotating A5 star. *Monthly Notices RAS* **407**, 507–514 (2010).
2. Gaudi, B. S. *et al.* A giant planet undergoing extreme-ultraviolet irradiation by its hot massive-star host. *Nature* **546**, 514–518 (2017).
3. Evans, T. M. *et al.* An ultrahot gas-giant exoplanet with a stratosphere. *Nature* **548**, 58–61 (2017).
4. Parmentier, V. *et al.* From thermal dissociation to condensation in the atmospheres of ultra hot Jupiters: WASP-121b in context. *A&A* **617**, A110 (2018).
5. Lothringer, J. D., Barman, T. & Koskinen, T. Extremely Irradiated Hot Jupiters: Non-oxide Inversions, H- Opacity, and Thermal Dissociation of Molecules. *ApJ* **866**, 27 (2018).
6. Kitzmann, D. *et al.* The Peculiar Atmospheric Chemistry of KELT-9b. *ApJ* **863**, 183 (2018).
7. Miller-Ricci Kempton, E. & Rauscher, E. Constraining High-speed Winds in Exoplanet Atmospheres through Observations of Anomalous Doppler Shifts during Transit. *ApJ* **751**, 117 (2012).
8. Komacek, T. D. & Showman, A. P. Atmospheric Circulation of Hot Jupiters: Dayside-Nightside Temperature Differences. *ApJ* **821**, 16 (2016).
9. Bell, T. J. & Cowan, N. B. Increased Heat Transport in Ultra-hot Jupiter Atmospheres through H₂ Dissociation and Recombination. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **857**, L20 (2018).
10. Fossati, L. *et al.* Metals in the Exosphere of the Highly Irradiated Planet WASP-12b. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **714**, L222 (2010).
11. Hoeijmakers, H. J. *et al.* Atomic iron and titanium in the atmosphere of the exoplanet KELT-9b. *Nature* **560**, 453 (2018).
12. Hoeijmakers, H. J. *et al.* A spectral survey of an ultra-hot Jupiter. Detection of metals in the transmission spectrum of KELT-9 b. *A&A* **627**, A165 (2019).
13. Casasayas-Barris, N. *et al.* Atmospheric characterization of the ultra-hot Jupiter MASCARA-2b/KELT-20b. Detection of CaII, FeII, NaI, and the Balmer series of H (H α , H β , and H γ) with high-dispersion transit spectroscopy. *A&A* **628**, A9 (2019).
14. Arcangeli, J. *et al.* Climate of an ultra hot Jupiter. Spectroscopic phase curve of WASP-18b with HST/WFC3. *A&A* **625**, A136 (2019).
15. Zhang, J., Kempton, E. M. R. & Rauscher, E. Constraining Hot Jupiter Atmospheric Structure and Dynamics through Doppler-shifted Emission Spectra. *ApJ* **851**, 84 (2017).
16. Snellen, I. A. G., de Kok, R. J., de Mooij, E. J. W. & Albrecht, S. The orbital motion, absolute mass and high-altitude winds of exoplanet HD209458b. *Nature* **465**, 1049–1051 (2010).
17. West, R. G. *et al.* Three irradiated and bloated hot Jupiters: WASP-76b, WASP-82b, and WASP-90b. *A&A* **585**, A126 (2016).
18. Brown, D. J. A. *et al.* Rossiter-McLaughlin models and their effect on estimates of stellar rotation, illustrated using six WASP systems. *Monthly Notices RAS* **464**, 810–839 (2017).
19. Seidel, J. V. *et al.* Hot Exoplanet Atmospheres Resolved with Transit Spectroscopy (HEARTS). II. A broadened sodium feature on the ultra-hot giant WASP-76b. *A&A* **623**, A166 (2019).
20. Pepe, F. *et al.* ESPRESSO: The next European exoplanet hunter. *Astronomische Nachrichten* **335**, 8 (2014).

21. Queloz, D. *et al.* Detection of a spectroscopic transit by the planet orbiting the star HD209458. **359**, L13 (2000).
22. Cegla, H. M. *et al.* The Rossiter-McLaughlin effect reloaded: Probing the 3D spin-orbit geometry, differential stellar rotation, and the spatially-resolved stellar spectrum of star-planet systems. *A&A* **588**, A127 (2016).
23. Borsa, F. *et al.* The GAPS Programme with HARPS-N at TNG XIX. Atmospheric Rossiter-McLaughlin effect and improved parameters of KELT-9b. *arXiv.org*, arXiv:1907.10078 (2019).
24. Di Gloria, E., Snellen, I. A. G. & Albrecht, S. Using the chromatic Rossiter-McLaughlin effect to probe the broadband signature in the optical transmission spectrum of HD 189733b. **580**, A84 (2015).
25. Garhart, E. *et al.* Statistical Characterization of Hot Jupiter Atmospheres using Spitzer's Secondary Eclipses. *arXiv.org*, arXiv:1901.07040 (2019).
26. Showman, A. P. *et al.* Atmospheric Circulation of Hot Jupiters: Coupled Radiative-Dynamical General Circulation Model Simulations of HD 189733b and HD 209458b. *ApJ* **699**, 564–584 (2009).
27. Rauscher, E. & Menou, K. Three-dimensional Modeling of Hot Jupiter Atmospheric Flows. *ApJ* **714**, 1334–1342 (2010).
28. Beatty, T. G. *et al.* Spitzer Phase Curves of KELT-1b and the Signatures of Nightside Clouds in Thermal Phase Observations. *arXiv.org*, arXiv:1808.09575 (2018).
29. Keating, D., Cowan, N. B. & Dang, L. Uniformly hot nightside temperatures on short-period gas giants. *Nature Astronomy* **385**, 166 (2019).
30. Malik, M. *et al.* Self-luminous and irradiated exoplanetary atmospheres explored with HELIOS. *AJ* **157**, 170 (2019).

METHODS

Observation log

The observations were carried out as part of the ESPRESSO Guaranteed Time Observation programme 1102.C-744. The observing conditions for both epochs (seeing, air masses, signal-to-noise ratios vs. time) are reported in [Extended Data Fig. 1](#). The seeing at the beginning of the observations was better in epoch 2 (~ 0.9 arcsec) than in epoch 1 (~ 1.3 arcsec). This explains why we opted for a longer exposure time in epoch 1. We excluded exposures obtained at air mass above 2.2 as the atmospheric dispersion corrector (ADC) cannot handle correctly higher air masses.

Stellar parameters

WASP-76 ($01^{\text{h}}46^{\text{m}}, +02^{\circ}42'$) is a F7 star of magnitude $V=9.5$. Its properties were studied in the discovery paper by ref. [17], who used photometry from SuperWASP-North, WASP-South, TRAPPIST, EulerCAM at the Euler telescope and spectroscopy from CORALIE at the Euler telescope and SOPHIE at the OHP 1.93m telescope. One spectroscopic transit was later observed with HARPS at the ESO 3.6m telescope on 11 Nov 2012¹⁸. Ref. [19] recently reported on two new HARPS spectroscopic transits (24 Oct 2017 and 22 Nov 2017), accompanied by simultaneous EulerCAM photometry. In the meantime, the star was observed by *Gaia*: ref. [19] used the *Gaia* DR2 values (parallax, magnitude and effective temperature) and the new EulerCAM photometry to re-assess the stellar parameters. This resulted in increased values for the stellar radius (hence, the radius of the planet) and the stellar mass compared to the ones previously reported^{17,18}, which are based on a combined analysis of the photometric *and* spectroscopic data.

We performed a new analysis of the stellar parameters based on the ESPRESSO spectra and the *Gaia* DR2 parallax ($\pi = 5.12 \pm 0.15$ mas). For this, we combined several of our spectra to obtain a high-signal-to-noise ratio spectrum of $\sim 1,200$ per resolution element, which we analysed using ARES+MOOG following a well-established spectroscopic analysis method³¹⁻³⁴. The new stellar parameters we derive are listed in [Extended Data Table 1](#). In particular, we obtain a stellar effective temperature of $6,329 \pm 65$ K compatible with ref. [18] ($6,250 \pm 100$ K), and a $\log g$ of 4.196 ± 0.106 dex. Using the Padova stellar model isochrones (http://stev.oapd.inaf.it/cgi-bin/param_1.3)^{35,36} and the *Gaia* parallax, we obtain a stellar age of 1.816 ± 0.274 Gyr, a $B-V$ colour of 0.569 ± 0.017 mag, a stellar mass of $1.458 \pm 0.021 M_{\odot}$ and a stellar radius of $1.756 \pm 0.071 R_{\odot}$. The two latter values, strongly constrained by the *Gaia*

parallax, are consistent with the values reported by Brown et al. (2017) and we adopted them as the new default stellar parameters in the rest of this study.

Binary companion

WASP-76 has a candidate companion separated by 0.4438 ± 0.0053 arcsec and a magnitude difference of $2.58 \pm 0.27^{37,38}$, which corresponds to a flux contrast of ~ 10 . By combining these ground-based measurements with Keck and *HST*/STIS images, it is possible to establish that the candidate companion is actually bound to the star (G. Fu, private communication) and determine its effective temperature ($\sim 5,100$ K) and radius ($0.8 R_{\odot}$). WASP-76B thus resembles a late G- or early K-type dwarf. This candidate companion lies at the limit of the entrance of the 0.5 arcsec-radius ESPRESSO fibre. Given the seeing values reported in [Extended Data Fig. 1a,d](#), it most certainly contaminates our spectra and the contamination could vary with the seeing. We checked that the companion does not impact the stellar parameters by repeating our analysis selecting stellar spectra only in conditions of good seeing ($\leq 0.85''$) or bad seeing ($> 1''$). The results are compatible with the values derived above within their stated uncertainties. We performed an extensive search for a spectroscopic signature of WASP-76B; however, we could not find any sign of a contamination of the stellar CCFs of WASP-76A down to the ~ 500 ppm level. Given its above-stated properties, it is surprising that the CCF of WASP-76B remain undetectable. One possibility is that WASP-76B is a fast rotator, producing a broad CCF that would be lost in the noise; however, the system is not particularly young. Another possibility is that the CCF of WASP-76B has almost identical radial velocity, FWHM and contrast as the CCF of the primary star, that would thus efficiently “hide” it. Because it is so well hidden, WASP-76B is unlikely to affect our results (see also “Transit photometry”).

Transit photometry

We performed a new photometric analysis based on all six existing transit light curves of WASP-76b obtained with the EulerCam instrument at the Swiss Euler 1.2 m telescope in La Silla, Chile. We extracted the raw light curves ([Extended Data Fig. 7a](#)) using aperture photometry described in ref. [39]. We jointly analysed all photometric data sets using a differential-evolution Markov chain Monte Carlo code⁴⁰, fitting for the mid-transit time, revolution period, planet-to-star radius ratio and system scale with Gaussian priors. We accounted for instrumental systematics and red noise (see ref. [19] for more details). We used a quadratic limb-darkening law and obtained the corresponding u_1 and u_2 coefficients for WASP-76 with the routines of ref. [41]. The corrected light curves are shown in [Extended Data Fig. 7b](#)

and derived parameters are reported in [Extended Data Table 1](#). These values take into account the dilution caused by WASP-76B. Its main effect is to increase slightly the transit depth and planet-to-star radius ratio. However, the effect of the planet radius is small; we found $1.863_{-0.083}^{+0.070} R_{\text{pl}}$. This value is actually smaller than the one previously reported by ref. [19]; this is because we made use of a smaller (and more accurate) stellar radius (see “Stellar parameters”). We used our newly derived parameters to create a transit model⁴² that we utilised to perform the reloaded Rossiter-McLaughlin analysis (see “Reloaded Rossiter-McLaughlin effect and Doppler shadow”) and extract to convert the residual amplitudes into differential transit depths ([Extended Data Fig. 6c](#)).

Cross-correlation mask

We used the built-in cross-correlation mask corresponding to an F9-type star in the ESPRESSO pipeline to obtain the stellar CCF for each exposure. This mask was created by collecting the position of all lines for the F9.5 star HD1581. The lines were individually identified by querying their wavelengths in the Vienna Atomic Line Database (VALD; <http://vald.astro.uu.se>). Iron (Fe) is by far the most represented element (47% of the 4,653 spectral lines). The following most-represented atoms are nickel (Ni, 6.5%), chromium (Cr, 5.7%) and titanium (Ti, 4.8%). The most represented ion in the F9 mask is Ti^+ (2.8% of the lines). The CCF is then built as a flux-weighted and contrast-weighted mean line profile. Many deep (contrasted) Fe lines are located in spectral regions where the stellar (continuum) flux is high, therefore boosting the importance of the Fe lines. Their actual weight in the F9 mask represents 76% of the spectroscopic information.

Orbital solution

We retrieved the orbital parameters and planet mass from our ESPRESSO measurements. We excluded data points obtained during transits from the analysis to prevent the Rossiter-McLaughlin effect perturbing the orbital solution. This left most of our in-transit data aside, hence we collected new data points to extend our coverage of the orbit (in particular, at the quadratures) at high precision. The new radial velocities are presented in [Extended Data Table 2](#) and [Extended Data Fig. 2](#).

To derive the uncertainties on the orbital parameters from the ESPRESSO data, we computed their posterior distribution with a Monte Markov Chain (MCMC) algorithm. We modelled the signal with a Keplerian and three radial velocity offsets: offsets 1 and 2 correspond to epochs 1 and 2, respectively. A technical intervention on ESPRESSO occurred between these two epochs

and could have changed the reference “zero” radial velocity of the spectrograph; hence the need to introduce an offset. Offset 3 corresponds to the set of subsequent observations (spanning BJD 2,458,684 to 2,458,754) obtained to increase the precision of the radial velocity solution. Our variables are these three offsets, the period P , the semi-amplitude K_* , the eccentricity e , the argument of periastron ω and the inferior conjunction time T_{conj} . We parametrised the noise in the covariance matrix by three terms: two standard deviations for white (σ_W) and red (σ_R) noise and a characteristic timescale τ of the red noise. The prior distributions on all parameters are listed in [Extended Data Table 3](#). The constraints on the period P and $e \cos \omega$ are obtained from the *Spitzer*²⁵ and Euler transits (see “Transit photometry”). The MCMC algorithm is an adaptive Metropolis algorithm⁴³ as implemented in ref. [44]. Efficient numerical methods⁴⁵ can perform the inversion of matrices built as in Equation (2). We used a homegrown package (`spleaf`; Delisle et al. submitted) based on such a method to speed up the covariance calculations. To check the convergence of the chain, we computed the number of effective samples from the autocorrelation function of the chain^{44,46}. We obtained the posterior distribution of the planet mass by computing it as a function of P , K_* , e , the inclination i_* and the stellar mass M_* . We used the MCMC samples of P , K_* , e and sampled independently i_* and M_* from their constraints as given in [Extended Data Table 1](#). The distribution of i_* is approximated by a mixture of two Gaussians (with mean $\mu = 89.623^\circ$, and standard deviations $\sigma_1 = 0.034^\circ$ and $\sigma_2 = 0.005^\circ$). The distribution of M_* is approximated by a Gaussian distribution ($\mu = 1.458 M_\odot$, $\sigma = 0.021 M_\odot$). The maximum-likelihood fit, the posterior median and the 1- σ confidence intervals are given in [Extended Data Table 3](#). The corner plot, made with the `corner.py` code⁴⁷ is presented in [Extended Data Figure 3](#) and the radial velocity data with the maximum-likelihood fit in [Extended Data Figure 2](#).

The maximum-likelihood of the eccentricity and its posterior median are close to 0. Previous studies based on CORALIE data have adopted a null eccentricity^{17,18} and our more precise ESPRESSO measurements also points towards a circular orbit. The best constraint comes from the *Spitzer* measurement of $e \cos \omega = -0.00135 \pm 0.00083$ ²⁵, which also gives a strong indication towards a null eccentricity for the most likely (small) values of ω . A null eccentricity is also strongly favoured by theory, considering the expected short circularisation time scale: an equilibrium tide⁴⁸ would damp an eccentricity of 10% in ~ 30 Myr. Given the age of the star (1.8 ± 0.3 Gyr), there were ample time for the orbit to fully circularise, especially considering that a dynamical tide in the fluid layers of the planet would result in a higher dissipation factor. Note that the stellar tide could potentially excite the eccentricity if its rotation is fast enough (if

the spin of the star is larger than 18/11 of the orbital frequency; Refs. [49,50]). This is not the case here since the stellar rotation frequency ($\sim 0.03 \text{ d}^{-1}$; see “Spin-orbit angle and stellar rotation”) is much smaller than $18/11 \times P^{-1} = 0.9 \text{ d}^{-1}$. This was also probably the case in the past for much more time than 30 Myr (see Fig. 2 in ref. [51]). Considering all of this, we decided to fix the eccentricity to 0.

Reloaded Rossiter-McLaughlin effect and Doppler shadow

The idea of the reloaded Rossiter-McLaughlin effect is to directly track the stellar surface radial velocity behind the transiting planet^{22,52,53}. Following ref. [22], we shifted the stellar CCFs into the stellar rest frame. For this, we made use of the orbital solution obtained above. Since ESPRESSO observations are not flux-calibrated, the continuum levels of the CCFs are arbitrary. We normalised each CCF by its continuum level determined from the Gaussian fit. We then scaled each normalised CCF according to its timing with respect to the planetary transit. For this, we calculated the theoretical transit light curve as described in the “Transit photometry” section. We shifted in velocity the rescaled CCFs by the velocity measured for a mean “master” out-of-transit CCF. This is done so that the final result is independent of the velocity offsets (systemic velocity as well as instrument offsets discussed in “Orbital solution”). Finally, we produced the CCFs of the occulted stellar surface (“local” CCFs) by subtracting each scaled in-transit CCF from the master out-of-transit CCF.

The projected velocities of the stellar surface behind the planet during the transit appears first blueshifted, then redshifted, which indicates a prograde planetary orbit. The surface velocities roughly follow a straight line, indicative of solid-body rotation. We verified this using a dedicated stellar rotation models described below, which we adjusted to the data, at the exception of the flattened portion seen after mid-transit, at a time when the planet shimmer intersects with the Doppler shadow. We also excluded local CCFs where the stellar line was not detected at more than 5σ ; these CCFs have been obtained at the ingress and egress.

Spin-orbit angle and stellar rotation

We fitted the stellar surface velocities with a model of stellar surface rotation assuming solid-body rotation²². For WASP-76, there is a known degeneracy between the projected spin-orbit angle λ , the projected equatorial rotational velocity of the star $v_{\text{eq}} \sin i_*$ (where i_* is the inclination of the stellar spin with respect to the plane of the sky) and the impact parameter¹⁸ the very small value of the impact parameter (the transit is almost central). The impact parameter can be expressed as $a/R_* \cos i_p$, where i_p is the inclination of the planetary orbit. We chose λ ,

$v_{\text{eq}} \sin i_*$, a/R_* and i_p as free parameters. We embedded the model in a nested sampling retrieval algorithm⁵⁴ to efficiently explore the full parameter space. The priors on the four parameters were set as: (i) a uniform prior on λ ranging from -180° to 180° , (ii) a Gaussian prior ($\mu = 1.61 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $\sigma = 0.28 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) on $v_{\text{eq}} \sin i_*$, which we derived as the quadratic difference between the FWHM of the stellar local master CCF and the FWHM of the stellar master-out CCF; (iii, iv) the posterior distributions of the Euler photometry (see “Transit photometry”) were chosen as priors for a/R_* and i_p . We performed a run of 5,000 living points; the best-fit parameters are the ones maximising the logarithm of the evidence, $\log \mathcal{Z}$. The maximum $\log \mathcal{Z}$ of 8.59 ± 0.04 was obtained for $\lambda = 61.28_{-5.06}^{+7.61} \text{ deg}$, $v_{\text{eq}} \sin i_* = 1.48 \pm 0.28 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $a/R_* = 4.09 \pm 0.07$ and $i_p = 89.74_{-0.11}^{+0.15}$. The quoted 1σ uncertainties are obtained from the posterior distributions of the parameters, which are shown in [Extended Data Fig. 4](#). Based on the value of λ and the host star effective temperature of 6,329 K, WASP-76b lies at the transition between aligned and misaligned hot gas giants⁵⁵. The slow (projected) rotation velocity we derived hints at a non-negligible inclination i_* of the stellar spin axis towards the line of sight (which is not constrained by the Rossiter-McLaughlin effect). In fact, $i_* = 90^\circ$, would yield a rotation period of 60 days, which is much larger than the typical range of $\sim 15\text{-}40$ days expected for F stars {2015MNRAS.452.2745S, SuarezMascareno:2016co}. A light curve of WASP-76 obtained with the All Sky Automated Survey for Supernovae (ASAS) hints at a periodicity of ~ 35 days, which would yield an inclination of $i_* \approx 36^\circ$ with respect to the line of sight, i.e. the star would be close to pole-on.

Constraints on the temperature rise across the evening terminator of an ultra-hot gas giant

Ultra-hot gas giants are an emerging class of exoplanets. In addition to WASP-76b, some of its representative objects are WASP-12b⁵⁶, WASP-33b¹, WASP-103b⁵⁷, WASP-121b⁵⁸, MASCARA-2b⁵⁹ and KELT-9b². Observations have enabled the measurement of some of their physical and chemical properties, such as their temperature structures or composition^{3,10-14,19,60,61}; however, no consistent picture of these extreme climates exists yet, as interpretations are essentially based on global circulation models established for less-irradiated hot gas giants^{7,8,15,26,27,62,63}. While these models can be used to interpret wind measurements in planets like HD 209458b¹⁶ or HD 189733b⁶⁴, they are less adapted to objects like WASP-76b. Recent theoretical developments towards understanding ultra-hot atmospheres^{4-6,9,65} and future works will be the basis to finely interpret spectroscopically- and temporarily-resolved measurements such as the ones presented here.

In particular, we exploit here the idea that atoms recombine into molecules across the evening terminator and molecules dissociate into atoms across the morning terminator of an ultra-hot gas giant⁹. Applying this to iron atoms make it possible to use the Fe signature as a thermometer. The lowest absorption depth of $\delta_{\text{atm}}^{\text{eve}} = 434 \pm 32$ ppm is measured when only the evening terminator contributes to the signal (between steps 1 and 2 of the scenario depicted in Fig. 3). This absorption depth can be expressed as $\delta_{\text{atm}}^{\text{eve}} \approx 2(R_p/R_*)^2 (H^{\text{eve}}/R_p) n_H$, where H^{eve} is the atmospheric scale height at the evening terminator and n_H is the number of scale heights over which the absorption takes place. The largest absorption depth of $\delta_{\text{atm}}^{\text{day}} = 628 \pm 49$ ppm is observed at the end of the transit, when the line-of-sight through the trailing limb probes regions close to the dayside hot spot (step 3 in Fig. 3). Assuming the absorption signal takes place over the same number of scale heights as on the evening terminator, we can write that $\delta_{\text{atm}}^{\text{day}}/\delta_{\text{atm}}^{\text{eve}} = H^{\text{day}}/H^{\text{eve}}$. Since $H = kT/\mu g$, where k is Boltzmann's constant, μ is the mean molecular mass of the atmosphere, g is the surface gravity and T is the temperature, it comes that $\delta_{\text{atm}}^{\text{day}}/\delta_{\text{atm}}^{\text{eve}} = T^{\text{day}}/T^{\text{eve}} \approx 1.5 \pm 0.2$. An occultation of WASP-76b by its host star was observed with *Spitzer*, providing a measurement of the dayside brightness temperature at 3.6 μm : $2,693 \pm 56$ K²⁵. Using this value and the variation of the absorption depth measured here, we can estimate that WASP-76b has an evening terminator temperature of $1,795 \pm 242$ K.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author (DE). The data are not publicly available due to the proprietary status of data obtained in the framework of the ESPRESSO Guaranteed Time Observations. At the end of the proprietary period, the data will be publicly available on the ESO archive (archive.eso.org).

Code availability

The ESPRESSO DRS is public software available from ESO at <https://www.eso.org/sci/software/pipelines/espresso/espresso-pipe-recipes.html>. The main analysis routines have been written by the authors in Interactive Data Language and are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author (DE).

31. Santos, N. C., Israelian, G. & Mayor, M. Spectroscopic [Fe/H] for 98 extra-solar planet-host stars. Exploring the probability of planet formation. *A&A* **415**, 1153–1166 (2004).
32. Sousa, S. G. *et al.* Spectroscopic parameters for 451 stars in the HARPS GTO planet search program. Stellar [Fe/H] and the frequency of exo-Neptunes. *A&A* **487**, 373–381 (2008).
33. Sousa, S. G. *et al.* SWEET-Cat updated. New homogenous spectroscopic parameters. *A&A* **620**, A58 (2018).
34. Sousa, S. G. in *Determination of Atmospheric Parameters of B-, A-, F- and G-Type Stars* (eds. E, N., B, S. & W, P.) 297–310 (Determination of Atmospheric Parameters of B-, 2014). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-06956-2_26
35. Da Silva, R. *et al.* Elodie metallicity-biased search for transiting Hot Jupiters. I. Two Hot Jupiters orbiting the slightly evolved stars HD 118203 and HD 149143. *A&A* **446**, 717–722 (2006).
36. Bressan, A. *et al.* PARSEC: stellar tracks and isochrones with the PAdova and TRieste Stellar Evolution Code. *Monthly Notices RAS* **427**, 127–145 (2012).
37. Ginski, C. *et al.* A lucky imaging multiplicity study of exoplanet host stars - II. *Monthly Notices RAS* **457**, 2173–2191 (2016).
38. Woellert, M. & Brandner, W. A Lucky Imaging search for stellar sources near 74 transit hosts. *A&A* **579**, (2015).
39. Lendl, M. *et al.* WASP-42 b and WASP-49 b: two new transiting sub-Jupiters. **544**, A72 (2012).
40. Lendl, M. *et al.* Signs of strong Na and K absorption in the transmission spectrum of WASP-103b. *A&A* **606**, A18 (2017).
41. Espinoza, N. & Jordán, A. Limb darkening and exoplanets: testing stellar model atmospheres and identifying biases in transit parameters. *Monthly Notices RAS* **450**, 1879–1899 (2015).
42. Mandel, K. & Agol, E. Analytic Light Curves for Planetary Transit Searches. *ApJ* **580**, L171 (2002).
43. Haario, H., Saksman, E. & Tamminen, J. An adaptive Metropolis algorithm. *Bernoulli* **7**, 223–242 (2001).
44. Delisle, J. B. *et al.* The HARPS search for southern extra-solar planets. XLIII. A compact system of four super-Earth planets orbiting HD 215152. *A&A* **614**, A133 (2018).
45. Foreman-Mackey, D., Agol, E., Ambikasaran, S. & Angus, R. Fast and Scalable Gaussian Process Modeling with Applications to Astronomical Time Series. *The Astronomical Journal* **154**, 220 (2017).
46. Sokal, A. in *Functional Integration* **361**, 131–192 (Springer).
47. Foreman-Mackey, D. corner.py: Scatterplot matrices in Python. *The Journal of Open Source Software* **1**, 24 (2016).
48. Hansen, B. M. S. Calibration of Equilibrium Tide Theory for Extrasolar Planet Systems. *ApJ* **723**, 285–299 (2010).
49. Leconte, J., Chabrier, G., Baraffe, I. & Levrard, B. Is tidal heating sufficient to explain bloated exoplanets? Consistent calculations accounting for finite initial eccentricity. *A&A* **516**, A64 (2010).
50. Bolmont, E., Raymond, S. N. & Leconte, J. Tidal evolution of planets around brown dwarfs. *A&A* **535**, A94 (2011).
51. Gallet, F., Bolmont, E., Mathis, S., Charbonnel, C. & Amard, L. Tidal dissipation in rotating low-mass stars and implications for the orbital evolution of close-in planets. I. From the PMS to the RGB at solar metallicity. *A&A* **604**, A112 (2017).

52. Bourrier, V., Cegla, H. M., Lovis, C. & Wyttenbach, A. Refined architecture of the WASP-8 system: A cautionary tale for traditional Rossiter-McLaughlin analysis. *A&A* **599**, A33 (2017).
53. Bourrier, V. *et al.* Orbital misalignment of the Neptune-mass exoplanet GJ 436b with the spin of its cool star. *Nature* **553**, 477–480 (2018).
54. Lavie, B. *et al.* HELIOS–RETRIEVAL: An Open-source, Nested Sampling Atmospheric Retrieval Code; Application to the HR 8799 Exoplanets and Inferred Constraints for Planet Formation. *The Astronomical Journal* **154**, 91 (2017).
55. Winn, J. N., Fabrycky, D., Albrecht, S. & Johnson, J. A. Hot Stars with Hot Jupiters Have High Obliquities. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **718**, L145–L149 (2010).
56. Hebb, L. *et al.* WASP-12b: The Hottest Transiting Extrasolar Planet Yet Discovered. *ApJ* **693**, 1920–1928 (2009).
57. Southworth, J. *et al.* High-precision photometry by telescope defocusing - VII. The ultrashort period planet WASP-103. *Monthly Notices RAS* **447**, 711–721 (2015).
58. Delrez, L. *et al.* WASP-121 b: a hot Jupiter close to tidal disruption transiting an active F star. *Monthly Notices RAS* **458**, 4025–4043 (2016).
59. Talens, G. J. J. *et al.* MASCARA-2 b - A hot Jupiter transiting the $m_V = 7.6$ A-star HD 185603. *A&A* **612**, A57 (2018).
60. Kreidberg, L. *et al.* Global Climate and Atmospheric Composition of the Ultra-hot Jupiter WASP-103b from HST and Spitzer Phase Curve Observations. *The Astronomical Journal* **156**, 17 (2018).
61. Arcangeli, J. *et al.* H- Opacity and Water Dissociation in the Dayside Atmosphere of the Very Hot Gas Giant WASP-18b. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **855**, L30 (2018).
62. Dobbs-Dixon, I., Cumming, A. & Lin, D. N. C. Radiative Hydrodynamic Simulations of HD209458b: Temporal Variability. *ApJ* **710**, 1395 (2010).
63. Heng, K., Frierson, D. M. W. & Phillipps, P. J. Atmospheric circulation of tidally locked exoplanets: II. Dual-band radiative transfer and convective adjustment. *Monthly Notices RAS* **418**, 2669–2696 (2011).
64. Louden, T. & Wheatley, P. J. Spatially Resolved Eastward Winds and Rotation of HD 189733b. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **814**, L24 (2015).
65. Helling, C. *et al.* Understanding the atmospheric properties and chemical composition of the ultra-hot Jupiter HAT-P-7b: I. Cloud and chemistry mapping. *arXiv.org*, arXiv:1906.08127 (2019).

Extended Data Table 1 | Parameters for WASP-76 and its planet.

Parameter	Unit	Value	Reference/Methods
<i>Gaia</i> DR2 ID	–	2512326349403275520	CDS Simbad
Right ascension (J2000)	hms	01 ^h 46 ^m 31.9 ^s	CDS Simbad
Declination (J2000)	dms	+02°42′02.0″	CDS Simbad
<i>V</i>	mag	9.52±0.03	CDS Simbad
Spectral type	–	F7	CDS Simbad
Systemic velocity, γ_{sys}	km s ⁻¹	-1.11±0.50	CDS Simbad
Parallax, π	mas	5.12±0.16	<i>Gaia</i> DR2
Stellar properties derived from ESPRESSO spectra			
Stellar mass, M_{\star}	M_{\odot}	1.458±0.021	“Stellar parameters”
Stellar radius, R_{\star}	R_{\odot}	1.756±0.071	“Stellar parameters”
Effective temperature, T_{eff}	K	6,329±65	“Stellar parameters”
Stellar surface gravity, $\log g$	cgs	4.196±0.106	“Stellar parameters”
Turbulent velocity, v_{turb}	km s ⁻¹	1.543±0.027	“Stellar parameters”
Colour, $B-V$	mag	0.569±0.017	“Stellar parameters”
Metallicity, [Fe/H]	–	0.366±0.053	“Stellar parameters”
Age	Gyr	1.816±0.274	“Stellar parameters”
Projected equatorial rotational velocity, $v_{\text{eq}} \sin i_{\star}$	km s ⁻¹	1.48±0.28	“Spin-orbit angle”
Spin-orbit projected angle, λ	deg	61.28 ^{+7.61} _{-5.06}	“Spin-orbit angle”
System properties retrieved from radial velocities			
Eccentricity, e	–	0 (fixed)	“Orbital solution”
Semi-amplitude of the stellar RVs, K_{\star}	m s ⁻¹	116.02 ^{+1.29} _{-1.35}	“Orbital solution”
Planet mass, M_p	M_{J}	0.894 ^{+0.014} _{-0.013}	“Orbital solution”
Systemic velocity for epoch 1, γ_{181}	m s ⁻¹	-1,162.00 ^{+2.86} _{-2.63}	“Orbital solution”
Systemic velocity for epoch 2, γ_{182}	m s ⁻¹	-1,167.54 ^{+2.79} _{-2.73}	“Orbital solution”
Systemic velocity for epoch 3, γ_{193}	m s ⁻¹	-1,171.11 ^{+1.28} _{-1.36}	“Orbital solution”
System properties retrieved from photometry			
Period P	days	1.80988198 ^{+0.00000064} _{-0.00000056}	“Transit photometry”
Mid-transit time T_c	BJD	58080.626165 ^{+0.000418} _{-0.000367}	“Transit photometry”
Radius ratio R_p/R_{\star}	–	0.10852 ^{+0.00096} _{-0.00072}	“Transit photometry”
System scale a/R_{\star}	–	4.08 ^{+0.02} _{-0.06}	“Transit photometry”
Inclination i	deg	89.623 ^{+0.005} _{-0.034}	“Transit photometry”
Phases of contacts I and IV, φ_{1-4}	–	±0.043	“Transit photometry”
Phases of contacts II and III, φ_{2-3}	–	±0.034	“Transit photometry”
Ingress duration, ΔT_{12}	min	23.6	“Transit photometry”
Total transit duration, ΔT_{14}	min	230	“Transit photometry”
Transit depth	%	1.178 ^{+0.077} _{-0.076}	“Transit photometry”
Semi-major axis, a	au	0.0330±0.0002	“Transit photometry”
Impact parameter, b	–	0.027 ^{+0.13} _{-0.023}	“Transit photometry”
Quadratic limb-darkening coefficient u_1	–	0.393	“Transit photometry”
Quadratic limb-darkening coefficient u_2	–	0.219	“Transit photometry”
Combined parameters			
Semi-amplitude of the planet RVs, K_p	km s ⁻¹	196.52±0.94	This work
Planet radius, R_p	R_{J}	1.854 ^{+0.077} _{-0.076}	“Transit photometry”
Planet density, ρ_p	g cm ⁻³	0.17±0.02	This work
Planet surface gravity, g_p	m s ⁻²	6.4±0.5	This work
Total stellar irradiance	S_{\odot}^N	4,104±896	This work
Equilibrium temperature for null albedo	K	2,228±122	This work
Dayside brightness temperature at 3.6 μm	K	2,693±56	Ref. [25]
Atmospheric scale height (dayside)	km	1,501±130	This work
Differential transit depth of one scale height	ppm	266±26	This work


Extended Data Table 2 | Radial velocities of WASP-76 obtained with ESPRESSO.

Offsets 1, 2 and 3 have been applied to epochs 1 (2018-09-02), 2 (2018-10-30) and 3 (Fall 2019), respectively. These data exclude the points obtained during transit.


BJD	RV (m s ⁻¹)	σ_{RV} (m s ⁻¹)	Epoch				
				58422.80857	-1216.66	0.89	2
				58422.81266	-1213.72	0.89	2
58364.65995	-1114.88	1.67	1	58422.81693	-1218.75	0.94	2
58364.66760	-1116.34	1.39	1	58422.82116	-1216.22	0.96	2
58364.67561	-1123.08	1.00	1	58422.82543	-1222.85	0.95	2
58364.68299	-1124.66	0.79	1	58422.82970	-1218.60	0.98	2
58364.69069	-1131.50	0.85	1	58422.83398	-1223.08	0.90	2
58364.86077	-1194.98	0.55	1	58422.83819	-1222.37	0.90	2
58364.86842	-1198.83	0.56	1	58422.84241	-1222.71	0.94	2
58364.87606	-1200.42	0.58	1	58422.84661	-1224.40	1.01	2
58364.88374	-1200.96	0.72	1	58684.91813	-1095.81	0.69	3
58364.89110	-1201.34	1.00	1	58684.92351	-1096.32	0.71	3
58364.89953	-1206.00	0.97	1	58695.85571	-1119.54	1.01	3
58364.90687	-1209.20	0.91	1	58696.92344	-1152.16	1.50	3
58364.91482	-1212.37	0.86	1	58706.93572	-1208.77	0.77	3
58364.92248	-1215.44	0.83	1	58719.85812	-1283.64	0.63	3
58422.55814	-1114.88	1.04	2	58721.85408	-1285.71	0.72	3
58422.56232	-1115.76	1.01	2	58725.88068	-1157.62	1.02	3
58422.56641	-1118.47	0.99	2	58731.80455	-1061.76	0.69	3
58422.57082	-1119.13	1.01	2	58741.64369	-1284.85	0.64	3
58422.57510	-1122.64	0.95	2	58741.65148	-1286.11	0.60	3
58422.57924	-1124.56	0.90	2	58741.65905	-1286.98	0.58	3
58422.58352	-1126.14	0.91	2	58741.66666	-1286.84	0.56	3
58422.58780	-1126.88	0.90	2	58752.63975	-1282.47	5.69	3
58422.59203	-1126.98	0.90	2	58752.64642	-1279.18	1.81	3
58422.59621	-1130.71	0.94	2	58752.65049	-1276.87	1.79	3
58422.60051	-1131.66	1.02	2	58752.65472	-1275.84	1.77	3
58422.60477	-1134.06	0.95	2	58752.65877	-1279.07	1.94	3
58422.60907	-1135.32	0.93	2	58752.66323	-1275.61	1.84	3
58422.61325	-1136.36	0.86	2	58752.66730	-1273.82	1.75	3
58422.77451	-1201.85	0.88	2	58752.67154	-1275.40	1.87	3
58422.77879	-1201.62	0.85	2	58752.67574	-1270.26	2.06	3
58422.78295	-1201.66	0.86	2	58752.67983	-1273.41	1.83	3
58422.78722	-1208.19	0.92	2	58752.68687	-1272.35	1.19	3
58422.79147	-1206.84	0.85	2	58752.69488	-1268.70	0.99	3
58422.79571	-1209.68	0.84	2	58752.70012	-1253.64	21.49	3
58422.79999	-1211.27	0.83	2	58753.67498	-1086.73	0.95	3
58422.80421	-1210.52	0.85	2	58754.79119	-1177.80	0.70	3

Extended Data Table 3 | Orbital elements from the MCMC retrieval on the radial velocities.


Parameter	Unit	Prior	Maximum likelihood	Posterior median
Period P	days	Gaussian ($\mu=1.80988198$ d, $\sigma=6.4\times 10^{-7}$ d)	1.8098821	1.8098819(7)
Semi-amplitude K_*	m s^{-1}	Uniform on [0,30] km s^{-1}	115.94	$116.02^{+1.29}_{-1.35}$
$T_{\text{conj}} - T_c$	s	Gaussian on T_{conj} ($\mu=58,080.626165$ BJD, $\sigma=4.1\times 10^{-4}$ d)	37	5^{+24}_{-34}
$\sqrt{e} \cos \omega$	–	Gaussian on $e \cos \omega$ ($\mu=-0.0013$, $\sigma=8\times 10^{-4}$)	-0.0562	$-0.0169^{+0.0132}_{-0.0102}$
$\sqrt{e} \sin \omega$	–	Uniform	0.001	$-0.062^{+0.092}_{-0.078}$
σ_W^2	m s^{-1}	Truncated Gaussian on σ_W^2 ($\sigma=100 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$)	1.67	$1.29^{+0.25}_{-0.28}$
σ_R^2	m s^{-1}	Truncated Gaussian on σ_R^2 ($\sigma=100 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$)	1.41	$3.13^{+1.01}_{-1.33}$
Correlation time scale τ	days	Log-uniform on $1/\tau$ on [0.001,1000] d	0.04	$1.090^{+2.56}_{-1.08}$
Offset 1	m s^{-1}	Uniform on [-200,200] km s^{-1}	-1,160.70	$-1,162.00^{+2.86}_{-2.63}$
Offset 2	m s^{-1}	Gaussian ($\mu=\text{offset 1}$, $\sigma=10 \text{ m s}^{-1}$)	-1,167.78	$-1,167.54^{+2.79}_{-2.73}$
Offset 3	m s^{-1}	Gaussian ($\mu=\text{offset 1}$, $\sigma=10 \text{ m s}^{-1}$)	-1,171.36	$-1,171.11^{+1.28}_{-1.36}$
Planet mass M_p	M_{J}		0.894	$0.894^{+0.014}_{-0.013}$




Extended Data Figure 1 | Variations of the observing conditions during transit epoch 1 (a,b,c) and epoch 2 (d,e,f). The seeing (a,d), signal-to-noise ratio per pixel at 550 nm (b,e) and airmass (c,f) are shown as a function of the time in transit. Vertical dotted lines represent the transit contacts. The horizontal dashed lines in panels c and f indicate the airmass of 2.2 beyond which the data are discarded from the analysis.




Extended Data Figure 2 | ESPRESSO radial velocities of WASP-76. **a**, Stellar radial velocities (blue points) and the maximum-likelihood fit using values from [Extended Data Table 3](#). The transit occurs at the inferior conjunction (0 h). In-transit data have been removed as they are affected by the Rossiter-McLaughlin effect and the atmospheric absorption from the planet. **b**, Residuals of the radial velocities after subtraction of the maximum-likelihood fit. The standard deviation of the residuals is $\sim 2.8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$.




Extended Data Figure 3 | MCMC chain corner plot for the orbital parameters representing the posterior distribution of variables used for the MCMC computations of the orbital parameters. The posterior distribution medians are reported in [Extended Data Table 3](#).




Extended Data Figure 4 | Doppler shadow of WASP-76. **a,c,** Local stellar CCFs behind the planet represented as a function of time for epoch 1 (**a**) and epoch 2 (**c**). The horizontal dashed lines represent (from bottom to top) the 2nd contact, mid-transit and 3rd contact. **b,d,** 1D view of the local stellar CCFs (black lines) with their Gaussian fits (red curves).




Extended Data Figure 5 | Parameters of the stellar surface rotation model. The corner plot shows the posterior distributions of the four free parameters of the model, the projected spin-orbit angle, λ , the projected equatorial stellar rotational velocity $v_{\text{eq}} \sin i_*$, the system scale a/R_* and the planetary orbit inclination i_p . The posterior distribution medians and their 1σ uncertainties are represented by vertical dashed lines.



Extended Data Figure 6 | Absorption signature of WASP-76b. a,b,c, On 2 September 2018 (epoch 1). **d,e,f,** On 30 October 2018 (epoch 2). The planetary absorption signal is shown in the stellar rest frame (a,d), the planet rest frame (b,e) and is time-averaged in the planet rest frame to produce the atmospheric absorption profile integrated over the whole limb (c,f). An indicative Gaussian fit (red curves) is overplotted on the absorption profiles. Both epochs show compatible results.



Extended Data Figure 7 | Measured properties of the planetary absorption signature as a function of time. Data from epoch 1 (orange), epoch 2 (green) and both epochs combined (binned by 2; black curve with 1σ uncertainty in dark grey) are shown. They result from Gaussian fits to the planetary absorption signal in the residual maps of Fig. 2b and Extended Data Figs. 5b and e. A factor of $(R_p/R_\star)^2/(1 - \Delta F/F(t))$ was applied to the residual maps before the fit, where $\Delta F/F(t)$ is the model light curve used to extract the Doppler shadow. **a**, Radial velocity of the planetary signal in the planet rest frame. The light grey region shows the FWHM associated to each point. **b**, The FWHM of the signal. The weighted-mean (horizontal dashed line) is $8.6 \pm 0.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Horizontal dotted lines indicate the standard deviation of the values. **c**, Amplitude of the shimmer representing the differential transit depth. The weighted-mean is $494 \pm 27 \text{ ppm}$. The hatched area in all panels represents the overlap between the Doppler shadow and the planetary signal; data between -0.2 h and $+0.7 \text{ h}$ from mid-transit are excluded from the analysis.



Extended Data Figure 8 | Photometric transit light curve of WASP-76b obtained with the EulerCam instrument on the Swiss Euler 1.2 m telescope in La Silla, Chile. The last three transits (bottom rows) have been previously reported in ref. [19]. **a**, Raw light curves with their best-fit models including systematic effects. **b**, Normalised light curves.