



<b>Publication Year</b>	2020
<b>Acceptance in OA</b>	2021-11-25T15:23:43Z
<b>Title</b>	XMM-Newton campaign on ultraluminous X-ray source NGC 1313 X-1: wind versus state variability
<b>Authors</b>	PINTO, CIRO, Walton, D. J., Kara, E., Parker, M. L., Soria, R., Kosec, P., Middleton, M. J., Alston, W. N., Fabian, A. C., Guainazzi, M., Roberts, T. P., Fuerst, F., Earnshaw, H. P., Sathyaprakash, R., Barret, D.
<b>Publisher's version (DOI)</b>	10.1093/mnras/staa118
<b>Handle</b>	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/31150">http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/31150</a>
<b>Journal</b>	MONTHLY NOTICES OF THE ROYAL ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY
<b>Volume</b>	492

# XMM-Newton Campaign On Ultraluminous X-ray Source NGC 1313 X-1: Wind vs. State Variability

C. Pinto,<sup>1,2,3\*</sup> D. J. Walton,<sup>2</sup> E. Kara,<sup>4</sup> M. L. Parker,<sup>5</sup> R. Soria,<sup>6,7</sup> P. Kosec,<sup>2</sup>  
M. J. Middleton,<sup>8</sup> W. N. Alston,<sup>2</sup> A. C. Fabian,<sup>2</sup> M. Guainazzi,<sup>1</sup> T. P. Roberts,<sup>9</sup>  
F. Fuerst,<sup>5</sup> H. P. Earnshaw,<sup>10</sup> R. Sathyaprakash<sup>9</sup> and D. Barret<sup>11</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ESTEC/ESA, Keplerlaan 1, 2201AZ Noordwijk, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Astronomy, Madingley Road, CB3 0HA Cambridge, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup>INAF - IASF Palermo, Via U. La Malfa 153, I-90146 Palermo, Italy

<sup>4</sup>Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Kavli Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA

<sup>5</sup>ESAC/ESA European Space Astronomy Center, P.O. Box 78, 28691 Villanueva de la Canada, Madrid, Spain

<sup>6</sup>College of Astronomy and Space Sciences, University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

<sup>7</sup>Sydney Institute for Astronomy, School of Physics A28, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

<sup>8</sup>Physics & Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, Hampshire SO17 1BJ, UK

<sup>9</sup>Centre for Extragalactic Astronomy, Durham University, Department of Physics, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

<sup>10</sup>Cahill Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

<sup>11</sup>Université de Toulouse, CNRS, IRAP, 9 Avenue du colonel Roche, BP 44346, 31028 Toulouse Cedex 4, France

14 January 2020

## ABSTRACT

Most ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs) are thought to be powered by neutron stars and black holes accreting beyond the Eddington limit. If the compact object is a black hole or a neutron star with a magnetic field  $\lesssim 10^{12}G$ , the accretion disc is expected to thicken and launch powerful winds driven by radiation pressure. Evidence of such winds has been found in ULXs through the high-resolution spectrometers onboard XMM-Newton, but several unknowns remain, such as the geometry and launching mechanism of these winds. In order to better understand ULX winds and their link to the accretion regime, we have undertaken a major campaign with XMM-Newton to study the ULX NGC 1313 X-1, which is known to exhibit strong emission and absorption features from a mildly-relativistic wind. The new observations show clear changes in the wind with a significantly weakened fast component ( $0.2c$ ) and the rise of a new wind phase which is cooler and slower ( $0.06$ – $0.08c$ ). We also detect for the first time variability in the emission lines which indicates an origin within the accretion disc or in the wind. We describe the variability of the wind in the framework of variable super-Eddington accretion rate and discuss a possible geometry for the accretion disc.

**Key words:** Accretion discs – X-rays: binaries – X-rays: individual: NGC 1313 X-1.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs) are bright, point-like, off-nucleus, extragalactic sources with X-ray luminosities above  $10^{39}$  erg/s that result from accretion onto a compact object (see, e.g., Kaaret et al. 2017). Recent studies have shown that some ULXs are powered by accretion onto neutron stars with strong magnetic fields ( $10^{12-14}G$ , e.g. Bachetti et al. 2014, Fürst et al. 2016, Israel et al. 2017a, Israel et al. 2017b, Tsygankov et al. 2016, Carpano et al. 2018, Brightman et al. 2018, Rodriguez Castillo et al. 2019,

Sathyaprakash et al. 2019, Middleton et al. 2019). Moreover, since more than a decade it has been thought that the majority of ULXs consists of stellar-mass compact objects ( $< 100M_{\odot}$ ) at or in excess of the Eddington limit (King et al. 2001; Poutanen et al. 2007; Gladstone et al. 2009; Middleton et al. 2013; Liu et al. 2013; Motch et al. 2014). In particular, the presence of a strong turnover below  $\sim 7$  keV in most ULX (and all *NuSTAR*) spectra (see, e.g., Gladstone et al. 2009, Bachetti et al. 2013 and Walton et al. 2018) and the simultaneous high  $10^{39-41}$  erg/s luminosities are difficult to explain with sub-Eddington accretion models.

However, some ULXs might still host more massive black holes ( $10^{2-5}M_{\odot}$ ) at more sedate Eddington ratios (see,

\* E-mail: ciro.pinto@esa.int

e.g., [Greene & Ho 2007](#); [Farrell et al. 2009](#); [Webb et al. 2012](#); [Mezcua et al. 2016](#)).

At accretion rates approaching the Eddington limit, radiation pressure inflates the thin disc, producing a geometry similar to that of a funnel and a wind is launched (see, e.g., [Shakura & Sunyaev 1973](#) and [Poutanen et al. 2007](#)). Winds driven by radiation pressure in a super-Eddington (or supercritical) regime can reach mildly-relativistic velocities ( $\sim 0.1c$ , see [Takeuchi et al. 2013](#)) and therefore may carry a huge amount of matter and kinetic energy out of the system. They can affect the accretion onto the compact object and the physical state of the nearby interstellar medium by inflating large ( $\sim 100$  pc) bubbles. For a quantitative comparison between the wind power and the energetics of the bubbles see [Pinto, et al. \(2019\)](#) and references therein.

Evidence for such winds was first seen through strong residuals at soft X-ray energies ( $< 2$  keV) to the best-fitting models describing the continuum of ULXs, albeit unresolved, in the low-to-moderate resolution CCD spectra (see, e.g., [Stobbart et al. 2006](#)). The time variability of such residuals and its correlation with the source spectral hardness suggested that they might be produced by the ULX itself and possibly in the form of a wind (see, e.g., [Middleton et al. 2014](#) and [Middleton et al. 2015b](#)).

Finally, our team ([Pinto et al. 2016](#)) identified strong ( $> 5\sigma$ ) rest-frame emission and blue-shifted ( $\sim 0.2c$ ) absorption lines in the high-resolution X-ray spectra of two bright ULXs, namely NGC 1313 X-1 and NGC 5408 X-1, taken with the XMM-Newton Reflection Grating spectrometer (RGS). [Walton et al. \(2016a\)](#) found an FeK component to the outflow in NGC 1313 X-1 significant at the  $3\sigma$  level through the combination of hard X-ray spectra taken with XMM-Newton and NuSTAR (the Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array, [Harrison et al. 2013](#)). Additional evidence of powerful winds was found in the RGS spectra of other sources such as the soft NGC 55 ULX ([Pinto et al. 2017](#)) and the intermediate hardness NGC 5204 ULX-1 ([Kosec et al. 2018a](#)). Recently, [Wang, Soria & Wang \(2019\)](#) found evidence of blueshifted emission lines in *Chandra* and XMM-Newton spectra of a transient ULX in NGC 6946. The first discovery of outflows in the ultraluminous X-ray pulsar NGC 300 PULX ([Kosec et al. 2018b](#)) showed that strong magnetic fields (e.g.  $\sim 10^{12}G$ ) and winds can coexist as is also shown by the theoretical calculation of [Mushtukov et al. \(2019\)](#).

In the last decade, a unification scenario for ULXs has gained popularity, which proposes that the observed behaviour from ULXs (i.e. broadband spectra, variability properties) depends on both their accretion rates and viewing angles (see, e.g., [Poutanen et al. 2007](#); [Middleton et al. 2015a](#); [Feng et al. 2016](#); [Urquhart & Soria 2016](#), [Pinto et al. 2017](#)). Moreover, we should expect higher ionisation states in the wind at low inclinations (on-axis) due to exposure to harder SEDs in the inner regions of the disc ([Pinto, et al. 2019](#)). The study of the winds provides an alternative and complementary tool to understand the physics of ULXs and super-Eddington accretion to the modelling of the broadband spectral continuum.

Despite important discoveries and the progress on ULXs in the last decade, several problems are still to be solved: How does the wind vary with the accretion rate? What is the geometry and the ionisation structure of the wind? What is

the launching mechanism? What is its energy budget? Can the wind affect the ULX surroundings and the accretion of matter onto the compact object? If the compact object is a magnetised neutron star, could a strong magnetosphere disrupt the disc and suppress the wind? When and how jets are launched in ULXs?

In order to place some constraints on these unknowns we were awarded 6 orbits targeting the NGC1313 galaxy with XMM-Newton during AO16 (PI: Pinto) and obtained quasi-simultaneous NuSTAR coverage of the hard X-ray band (PI: Walton) for some observations. Additional observations were taken with *Chandra* (PI: Canizares). This is the first paper in a series focusing on NCG1313 X-1, and presents a study of its wind as seen by XMM-Newton and, in particular, its variability with the ULX spectral state. Detailed broadband spectroscopy and the analysis of the NuSTAR data is performed in [Walton et al. \(2019\)](#), while the search for narrow spectral lines in the hard band with the *Chandra* gratings (HETGS) will be shown in [Nowak et al. \(in prep\)](#). Our campaign has already provided important results such as the first discovery of pulsations in the NGC 1313 X-2 ([Sathyaprakash et al. 2019](#)), which added a new PULX candidate to a list which includes only a handful of sources at the present. We also report the discovery of a soft X-ray lag in new XMM-Newton data of NGC 1313 X-1 from this campaign in a companion paper ([Kara et al. 2020](#)). Soft lags were discovered only in two other ULXs.

We detail our campaign in Sect. 2 and show the spectral analysis in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4 we show the principal component analysis. We discuss the results in Sect. 5 and give our conclusions in Sect. 6. Throughout the paper we use C-statistics ([Cash 1979](#)), adopt  $1\sigma$  error bars and perform the spectral analysis with the SPEX code<sup>1</sup> ([Kaastra et al. 1996](#)).

## 2 THE DATA PRODUCTS

### 2.1 NGC 1313 X-1 archival data

NGC 1313 X-1 is an archetypal bright ULX ( $L_{0.3-10\text{ keV}}$  up to  $\sim 10^{40}$  erg/s). Its relatively small distance ( $D = 4.2$  Mpc, e.g. [Tully et al. 2013](#)) makes it a good target for the high-spectral-resolution Reflection Grating Spectrometers (RGS) aboard XMM-Newton, which cover the 0.3–2 keV energy band where strong (unresolved) features were found in low-spectral resolution CCD spectra ([Middleton et al. 2015b](#)). The strength of these features decreases at higher luminosity and spectral hardness (they define as hardness the ratio of the unabsorbed 1–10 / 0.3–1 keV fluxes, while in this paper we use the standard 2–10 / 0.3–2 keV flux ratio, so our brightest states are also the softest). The RGS spectra resolve the spectral features in a forest of rest-frame emission lines and relativistically-blueshifted ( $\sim 0.2c$ ) absorption lines from a wind as shown by [Pinto et al. \(2016\)](#). In that paper, high-spatial resolution *Chandra* maps indicate that the X-ray emission is confined to a circular region of a few arcseconds, confirming that the residuals are intrinsic to the ULX as shown by [Sutton et al. \(2015\)](#) and [Sathyaprakash et al. \(2019\)](#) for NGC 5408 X-1 and Holmberg IX X-1.

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.sron.nl/spex>

**Table 1.** XMM-Newton observations used in this paper.

OBS_ID	Date	State	Flux photons m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	t <sub>RGS1,2</sub> (ks)	t <sub>pn</sub> (ks)	t <sub>MOS1</sub> (ks)	t <sub>MOS2</sub> (ks)	Notes	Stacking Group
0405090101	2006-10-15	Low-Int	7.8	97	81	99	99		OLD L-I
0693850501	2012-12-16	Low-Int	10.1	112	90	114	113		OLD L-I
0693851201	2012-12-22	Low-Int	11.0	117	85	122	121		OLD L-I
0742590301	2014-07-05	Int-Bright	18.1	62	54	61	61	(*)	
0794580601	2017-03-29	Low-Int	11.0	41	26	39	37	(*)	
0803990101	2017-06-14	Int-Bright	15.5	131	109	128	128		NEW I-B
0803990201	2017-06-20	Int-Bright	13.5	130	111	129	128		NEW I-B
0803990301	2017-08-31	Low-Int	8.6	109	42	70	64	(**)	NEW L-I
0803990401	2017-09-02	Low-Int	8.5	115	53	50	46	(**)	NEW L-I
0803990701	2017-09-24	Low-Int	9.1	121	11	10	10	(***)	NEW L-I
0803990501	2017-12-07	Int-Bright	12.0	90	60	89	86	(**)	NEW I-B
0803990601	2017-12-09	Int-Bright	14.7	109	71	117	116	(**)	NEW I-B

Notes: the ULX *state* refers to the low-to-intermediate and intermediate-to-bright flux levels, primarily chosen from the total flux and shape of the X-ray spectra (see Fig. 2 and A1). Absorbed 0.3–10 keV fluxes are computed with a multi-component model consisting of two modified blackbody components plus comptonisation corrected for Galactic absorption (see Sect. 3.1). Exposure times account for background flaring removal. (\*) RGS spectra contaminated by either X-2 or SNR (only EPIC-pn is used for principal component analysis); (\*\*) EPIC cameras affected by solar flares; (\*\*\*) Additional calibration exposure (only RGS is operating for the full orbit). The acronyms OLD L-I, NEW L-I and NEW I-B stay for old low-intermediate, new low-intermediate and new intermediate-bright states.

**Table 2.** NuSTAR observations used in this paper.

OBS_ID	Date	t <sub>FMPA,B</sub> (ks)	Notes
30002035002	2012-12-16	154	(*)
30002035004	2012-12-21	206	(*)
80001032002	2014-07-05	73	(*)
90201050002	2017-03-29	125	(*)
30302016002	2017-06-14	100	(**)
30302016004	2017-07-17	87	(***)
30302016006	2017-09-03	90	(**)
30302016008	2017-09-15	108	(***)
30302016010	2017-12-09	100	(**)

Notes: (\*,\*\*) archival and new observations simultaneous with XMM-Newton, (\*\*\*) new observations simultaneous with Chandra. All exposures are given to the nearest ks.

XMM-Newton has observed the NGC 1313 galaxy before our campaign for 25 times with exposures ranging from 9 ks (snapshot) to 137 ks (full orbit). However, of these observations, only three had ULX-1 on-axis for a significant amount of time and with an appropriate roll angle.

Kosec et al. (2018a) have shown that observations of about 100 ks are normally required to detect and resolve strong lines in ULX RGS spectra. Moreover, RGS observations have to be performed with accurate pointing (on-axis) and roll angle in order to avoid contamination from any other bright source located along the (long) dispersion direction axis of the RGS field of view ( $\sim 5' \times 30'$ ).

In Table 1 we provide some essential information on the deepest observations of NGC 1313 X-1 (on-axis) taken with XMM-Newton. The three old observations (October 2006 and December 2012) are the same used in Pinto et al. (2016) and Walton et al. (2016a). The two following observations


(July 2014, March 2017) provide a sufficient amount of exposure time, but the roll angle is not optimal since the RGS selection region for ULX-1 intercept either ULX-2 or the supernova remnant SN 1978K. These two observations are therefore not used in our high-spectral-resolution analysis.

## 2.2 NGC 1313 X-1 XMM-Newton campaign

We observed the NGC 1313 galaxy in 2017 with a deeper campaign led by XMM-Newton. The roll angle was chosen to avoid any contamination along the dispersed RGS spectra from the two nearby brightest X-ray sources (ULX-2 and SN 1978K, see Fig. 1). In addition, we observed the source in three different epochs separated by 2-3 months during 2017. The primary goals were a) to detect the source in different spectral states and b) to study the variability of the wind according to the spectral state.

The choice of the time windows was dictated by the historical lightcurve of NGC 1313 X-1 taken with the Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory (hereafter *Swift*; Gehrels et al. 2004), which shows long-term variability on timescales of 2-3 months (see Fig. 2). Such variability is of crucial importance as a  $\sim 60$  days modulation has been detected in some ULXs and interpreted as the orbital or the super-orbital period of the binary system (see, e.g., Kaaret et al. 2006; Motch et al. 2014; Walton et al. 2016b; Fürst et al. 2018; Brightman et al. 2019). Observations of NGC 1313 X-1 separated by 2-3 months may therefore provide insights on the geometry of the ULX system and the complex structure of the wind. More detail on the analysis of the *Swift* observations and the long term variability of NGC 1313 X-1 will be provided elsewhere (Middleton et al. in prep).

The observations of NGC 1313 X-1 were therefore divided in three groups each consisting of a pair of full orbits within a few days of each other in order to obtain a com-



**Figure 1.** XMM-*Newton* image of the NGC 1313 field obtained by combining all the data available from EPIC-pn and MOS 1,2. The green, yellow and white dashed lines indicate the RGS extraction region for the old Low-Int, new Low-Int and new Int-Bright spectra, respectively (see notation in Table 1). The other ULX (X-2) and the supernova remnant (SN 1978) are also labelled. The apparent lower number of datasets is due to the fact that some pointings have the same average position angles.

bined, deep ( $\gtrsim 200$  ks), RGS spectrum of the ULX representative of a specific flux regime or accretion rate.

In addition to the 6 orbits awarded during AO16, one additional orbit was awarded to perform EPIC calibration. During this observation, only RGS and OM could be operated for the full duration of the observation, while the CCD cameras (pn and MOS 1,2) were active for only approximately 10 ks each. We also use these observations, but their CCD data have limited statistical weight.

### 2.3 *NuSTAR* and *Chandra* follow-up

Details of the *NuSTAR* archival and follow up observations are given in Table 2. We also report whether the observations were simultaneous to XMM-*Newton* or *Chandra*. The *Chandra* observations taken throughout 2017 accumulate up to 500 ks of exposure time, but each is relatively short (between 10 and 50 ks). In this paper, we only use the *NuSTAR* time-averaged spectrum to cover the high-energy ( $> 10$  keV) range to build up our broadband spectral energy distribution and perform accurate photoionisation modelling.

### 2.4 Data reduction

In this work we use data from the broadband European Photon-Imaging Camera (EPIC, Turner et al. 2001) and the Reflection Grating Spectrometer (RGS, den Herder et al. 2001) aboard XMM-*Newton* as well as the two focal plane modules (FPMA/B) aboard *NuSTAR* (Harrison et al. 2013).

The primary science is carried out with the RGS which

can detect and resolve narrow spectral features. The broadband cameras (EPIC and FPMA/B) are mainly used to provide the correct spectral continuum and cover the hard X-ray band which is not covered by the RGS. The EPIC count rate is much higher than RGS in the soft ( $< 2$  keV) band. However, the energy resolution of the EPIC CCD cameras is insufficient to resolve narrow features, particularly in the soft band ( $R_{0.3-2\text{ keV, pn}} = \Delta E/E \sim 10 - 20$ ). Therefore, we only use the EPIC-pn spectrum between 2 and 10 keV. Below 2 keV we only use RGS data ( $R_{0.3-2\text{ keV, RGS}} = \Delta E/E \sim 100 - 600$  for the first order spectra). We have decided not to use the MOS 1,2 cameras for spectroscopy but just for imaging as each has 3–4 times less effective area (and even less above 8 keV) than pn. Moreover, we mainly use broadband spectra to constrain the continuum shape and minimise its effects onto the RGS line search.

The *NuSTAR* data are only used here between 10 and 20 keV to cover the hard X-ray band and determine the source continuum. This choice is dictated by the fact that 1) only a few *NuSTAR* and XMM-*Newton* observations are fully simultaneous and 2) we want to maximise the statistical weight of the high-resolution RGS spectra. A detailed and in-depth analysis of the *NuSTAR* data is presented in Walton et al. (2019). Here we briefly give the main steps of the data reduction and the production of the time-averaged *NuSTAR* spectrum. We reduce the *NuSTAR* data with the *NuSTAR* Data Analysis Software (*NuSTAR-DAS* v1.8.0 and caldb v20171204). The unfiltered event files are cleaned with *NUPIPELINE*. We adopt the standard depth correction, which significantly reduces the internal background at high energies, and periods of earth-occultation and passages through the South Atlantic Anomaly are also excluded. Source products are extracted from circular regions of radius  $30''$  and the background is estimated from a larger square in a nearby region of the same detector free of contaminating point sources. Spectra and lightcurves are extracted from the cleaned event files using *NUPRODUCTS* for both focal plane modules (FPMA and FPMB). In addition to the standard ‘science’ data (mode 1), we also extract the ‘spacecraft science’ data (mode 6) following Walton et al. (2016c). Finally, all *NuSTAR* spectra are combined into a single time-averaged spectrum using the *FTOOL* *AD-DASCASPEC*.

We reduce the 12 XMM-*Newton* observations with the *SAS*<sup>2</sup> v16.0.0 (CALDB available on February, 2019). Briefly, EPIC-pn, MOS and RGS data are reduced with the *epproc*, *emproc* and *rgsproc* tasks, respectively, to produce calibrated event files, spectra response matrices and images. Following the standard procedures, we filter the MOS and pn event lists for bad pixels, bad columns, cosmic-ray events outside the field of view (FOV), photons in the gaps (FLAG=0), and apply standard grade selection, corresponding to PATTERN  $\leq 12$  for MOS and PATTERN  $\leq 4$  for pn. We correct for contamination from soft-proton flares through the *SAS* task *euselect* by selecting background-quietest intervals on the light curves for MOS 1,2 and pn in the 10–12 keV energy band, while we use the data from the CCD number 9 for RGS (corresponding to  $\lesssim 7.5 \text{ \AA}$  or  $\gtrsim 1.7 \text{ keV}$ ). The light curves are grouped in 100 s intervals and all the time bins

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/xmm-newton/sas>

with a count rate above 0.4 c/s are rejected for pn, 0.2 c/s for both MOS and RGS. We build the good time interval (GTI) files with the accepted time events for the pn, MOS and RGS data through the SAS task *tabgtigen* and filter the data with these GTI files. For RGS 1 and 2 we join the GTI and obtain the same exposure times. The RGS 1-2, MOS 1-2 and pn total clean exposure times are quoted in Table 1.

Solar flares significantly affected the EPIC data throughout the low-flux observations of our campaign for about 50% of the exposure time. Fortunately, solar flares affect the RGS data on a much lower level. We extract EPIC MOS 1-2 and pn images in the 0.3–10 keV energy range and stack them with the *emosaic* task (see Fig. 1). We also extract EPIC-pn spectra from within a circular region of 30" radius centred on the emission peak. The background spectra are extracted from within a larger box in a nearby region on the same chip, but away from bright sources and the readout direction (see Fig. 1). We also make sure that the background region is not placed in the copper emission region (Lumb et al. 2002).

We extract the first-order RGS spectra in a cross-dispersion region of 0.8' width, centred on the emission peak and the background spectra by selecting photons beyond the 98% of the source point-spread-function, making sure that the background regions do not overlap with either X-2 or SN 1978, and check for consistency with blank field data.

#### 2.4.1 Spectral states of NGC 1313 X-1

The EPIC-pn spectra of NGC 1313 X-1 are shown in Fig. A1. It is clear that our observing strategy was appropriate because it enabled us to recover a broad and continuous range of spectra ranging from the low flux to very bright states (or hard ultraluminous and broadened disc, e.g. Sutton et al. 2013). The archival observations provide further useful information and fill some small flux gaps in our new exposures (Fig. A1). It is not strictly accurate to speak of individual spectral states as the source does not jump from one to another but rather moves smoothly among them. However, in order to simplify the terminology, we still refer to low-to-intermediate (hard) and intermediate-to-bright (broadened-disc) states (see also Table 1). For instance, the other ULX in the same galaxy, NGC 1313 X-2, seems to switch between two preferred states (see e.g. Weng & Feng 2018), perhaps due to some processes related to the presence of strong magnetic fields from the neutron star recently discovered (Sathyaprakash et al. 2019).

In order to better understand our spectra and place them within the context of the source variability, we extract the historical lightcurve of NGC 1313 X-1 as obtained by *Swift*/XRT using the website tool<sup>3</sup> (Evans et al. 2009) which processes the data with HEASOFT v6.22. In Fig. 2 (left) we show the *Swift*/XRT lightcurve from June 2013 where good sampling with intervals of a few days was available. The source has shown a remarkable activity in the last 2 years if compared to previous periods of time (more detail on the long term behaviour will be provided by Middleton et al. in prep). The XMM-*Newton* observations were taken towards the end of a bright period (middle June 2017), during the

central part of its low-flux time range (early September) and finally in the beginning of the new rise (early December). Fig. 2 (right) shows the histogram of the countrate taken from the *Swift*/XRT lightcurve with the dashed-red and dotted-blue lines indicating the flux levels of the new and archival XMM-*Newton* observations, respectively. These flux levels are interpolated between the two closest *Swift* points in the lightcurve and provide rough approximations of the actual levels, useful to place the XMM-*Newton* observations in the general context of long-term source variability.


#### 2.4.2 Flux-/time-resolved spectra

We stack the first-order RGS 1 and 2 spectra from the observations with a similar flux level and spectral state (either archival/old low-to-intermediate, or new low-to-intermediate or new intermediate-to-bright) with the task *rgscombine* (see Table 1). Similarly, we stack the EPIC-pn spectra for the archival and the new observations using *epic-speccombine*. The *NuSTAR* data are used mainly to cover the hard band and, since there is no major variability above 10 keV during our campaign and in the archival spectra used in this paper (Walton et al. 2019), we prefer to stack all *NuSTAR* FPMA,B spectra into a single deep spectrum and use only the data points between 10 and 20 keV. We remind the reader that the wind search is driven by the high-resolution RGS spectra. In summary, for the spectral analysis in this paper, we will focus on three pairs of simultaneous XMM-*Newton*/RGS (0.4-2 keV) and EPIC-pn (2-10 keV) deep spectra and one *NuSTAR* (10-20 keV) spectrum.

The flux-resolved RGS and EPIC spectra are shown in Fig. 3. The top panel shows the archival XMM-*Newton* RGS-EPIC spectrum that was also used in Pinto et al. (2016). This spectrum shows the canonical low-to-intermediate flux hard ultraluminous state according to the classification of Sutton et al. (2013). Well known rest-frame emission (and blueshifted absorption) lines are labelled with solid red (dotted/dashed blue) lines. The middle panel shows the low-to-intermediate flux spectrum taken during our new XMM-*Newton* campaign. The spectrum is indeed very similar to the archival spectrum. However, it is clear that some features have weakened (if not disappeared) while some new ones show up in the new spectrum. Some emission lines, in particular, seem to have strengthened. This suggests long-term temporal variability of the wind and provides another reason to avoid the stacking of the old dataset with the new low-intermediate data. This is even more obvious in the high-state (intermediate-to-bright) spectrum of our campaign (bottom panel in Fig. 3) where most emission lines appear stronger and broader than in the low state spectra.

All XMM-*Newton* and *NuSTAR* spectra are sampled in channels of at least 1/3 of the spectral resolution, for optimal binning and to avoid over-sampling, and at least 25 counts per bin, using SAS task *specgroup*. However all stacked spectra have long exposure times and high quality, which means that such binning affects only the spectral range at the very low and high energies. We have double checked and found no effect onto our line or continuum modelling by decreasing the binning to simply 1/3 of the spectral resolution.

<sup>3</sup> [http://www.swift.ac.uk/user\\_objects/](http://www.swift.ac.uk/user_objects/)



**Figure 2.** Left: Long-term *Swift*/XRT lightcurve of NGC 1313 X-1 with the dates of the XMM-*Newton* observations indicated by dotted (old) and dashed (new, 2017) lines. Right: Corresponding count rate histogram with the XMM-*Newton* observations indicated.

**Table 3.** Best-fit broadband continuum models.

Parameter	OLD L-I	NEW L-I	NEW I-B
Baseline Model : <i>hot</i> ( <i>mbb</i> + <i>mbb</i> + <i>comt</i> )			
$L_X$ <i>mbb1</i>	$3.1 \pm 0.4$	$2.4 \pm 0.3$	$5.7 \pm 0.4$
$L_X$ <i>mbb2</i>	$3.5 \pm 0.5$	$3.5 \pm 0.7$	$1.5^{+2.5}_{-1.5}$
$L_X$ <i>comt</i>	$3.7 \pm 0.8$	$3.6 \pm 0.9$	$7.9 \pm 0.9$
$kT_{mbb1}$ (keV)	$0.37 \pm 0.02$	$0.37 \pm 0.02$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$
$kT_{mbb2}$ (keV)	$1.5 \pm 0.2$	$1.6 \pm 0.2$	$1.3 \pm 0.5$
$kT_{in, comt}$ (keV)	$1.5^{(c)}$	$1.6^{(c)}$	$1.3^{(c)}$
$kT_{e, comt}$ (keV)	$3.8 \pm 0.2$	$3.9 \pm 0.2$	$4.1 \pm 0.1$
$\tau_{comt}$	$5.1 \pm 0.4$	$5.1 \pm 0.5$	$3.9 \pm 0.1$
$N_H$ ( $10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2}$ )	$1.9^{(c)}$	$1.9 \pm 0.1$	$1.9^{(c)}$
$C$ -stat/d.o.f.	779/614	789/604	809/614

$L_X$  (0.3–20 keV) luminosities are calculated in  $10^{39}$  erg/s, assuming a distance of 4.2 Mpc and are corrected for absorption (or de-absorbed). The grouped spectra are defined in Sect. 2.4.2. The best-fit models and the corresponding data are shown in Fig. 3. <sup>(c)</sup> coupled parameters: for each observation  $kT_{in, comt} = kT_{mbb2}$ , while  $N_H$  is coupled between the observations. The acronyms OLD L-I, NEW L-I and NEW I-B stay for old low-intermediate, new low-intermediate and new intermediate-bright states.

### 3 SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

In this section we present the spectral analysis of NGC 1313 X-1. We first show the baseline, continuum, model that describes the broadband (0.4–20 keV) spectrum. Then we perform an in-depth analysis of the high-resolution RGS spectra in order to detect strong sharp features and to search for variability in the line strength between different spectral states and epochs. We then build up self-consistent models of gas in photoionisation and collisional-ionisation equilib-


rium to study the ionisation and dynamical structure of the wind responsible for both emission and absorption lines.

#### 3.1 Baseline continuum model

ULX spectra require several components to obtain a satisfactory description of the continuum. Here we adopt a broadband spectral continuum similar to that one used in Walton et al. (2018). Two modified blackbody components, *mbb*<sub>1,2</sub>, account for the outer and inner disc; a comptonised component *comt* describes the hard tail (see also Middleton et al. 2015a). All emission components are corrected by absorption due to the foreground interstellar medium and circumstellar medium using the *hot* model in *SPEX* with a low temperature 0.5 eV (e.g. Pinto et al. 2013). In the spectral fits we couple the column density of the *hot* model across all observations as we do not know physical reasons for which the amount of neutral gas should significantly change on time scales of a few days. Moreover, a preliminary spectral fit performed with free column densities in the three spectra using the *mbb*<sub>1,2</sub> + *comt* model yields consistent column densities.

We apply the *hot*(*mbb* + *mbb* + *comt*) continuum model to the three flux-resolved spectra that we have defined and produced in Sect. 2.4.2. This phenomenological model provides a good description of the three broadband spectra. The results are shown in Fig. 3 and Table 3. We obtain an average column density of  $N_H = (1.9 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2}$ , which is close to the value of  $(2.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2}$  measured for different continuum models in Walton et al. (2019); see also Miller et al. (2013).

The  $C$ -statistics are high when compared to the corresponding degrees of freedom due to strong sharp residuals in the form of absorption and emission features (see Fig. 4) as previously shown in Pinto et al. (2016) for the archival data. The best-fit parameters of the models for the old and new low-to-intermediate spectra are consistent with each other.



**Figure 3.** Flux-resolved XMM-Newton RGS (0.45–2 keV), EPIC-pn (2–10 keV) and *NuSTAR* (10–20 keV) spectra. The top panel shows the RGS and pn spectra obtained by stacking the archival data (see also Pinto et al. 2016). The middle panel shows the stacked spectra produced using the low-to-intermediate flux observations of our 2017 campaign (ID: 0803990301, 0401, 0701). The bottom panel shows the stacked spectra produced using the new intermediate-to-high flux observations (ID: 0803990101, 0201, 0501, 0601); see also Table 1. The range between 10 and 20 keV is covered by the time-averaged *NuSTAR* spectrum. The red lines show the baseline continuum models ( $hot(mbb + mbb + comt)$ , see Table 3). The strongest emission lines are indicated with solid red labels. Previously known and confirmed absorption features are indicated with dotted blue lines. New possible features are shown as dashed light-blue lines.


This means that we can compare these spectra to obtain information on wind long-term variability at different epochs. The comparison between the bright and low spectra of our 2017 campaign instead provide useful constraints on changes occurring at time scales of about 2-3 months, similarly to the super-orbital periods detected in some ULXs (e.g. Walton et al. 2016b) and might be associated to precession in analogy to that seen in SS433 (e.g. Fabrika 2004).

### 3.2 Line search with the flux-resolved spectra

Following the approach used in Pinto et al. (2016) we search for narrow spectral features by scanning the spectra with Gaussian lines. We adopt a logarithmic grid of 450 points with energies between 0.45 (27.5 Å) and 10 keV (1.24 Å). This choice provides a spacing that is comparable to the RGS and pn resolving power in the energy range we are in-

vestigating ( $R_{\text{RGS}} \sim 100 - 500$  and  $R_{\text{pn}} \sim 20 - 50$ ). We test the FWHM line widths between 500 km/s (comparable to the RGS spectral resolution) and 10000 km/s, which is a few times the EPIC resolution. At each energy we record the  $\Delta C$ -stat improvement to the best-fit continuum model and express the significance (at the energy of the grid) as the square root of the  $\Delta C$ -stat. This provides the maximum significance for each line (because it neglects the number of trials performed). We also multiply it by the sign of the gaussian normalisation in order to distinguish between emission and absorption features.

In Fig. 5 we show the results of the line scan obtained for the three RGS+pn spectra using line widths of 1000, 5000 and 10000 km/s. The line scan of the archival data once again picks out the strong absorption feature above 1 keV already reported in Pinto et al. (2016) along with other features. We can notice that such an absorption feature is not



**Figure 4.** Flux-resolved XMM-Newton RGS (0.45–2 keV), EPIC-pn (2–10 keV) and NuSTAR (10–20 keV) spectra. The plots show the residuals calculated for the spectra in Fig. 3 with respect to the baseline continuum models ( $hot(mbb + mbb + comt)$ , see Table 3). The labels for the lines and the spectra are the same as in Fig. 3. The ‘ins’ refers to an EPIC-pn instrumental feature around 2.5 keV.

significantly detected in the new data, but the lower-energy features have strengthened along with the emission lines. Moreover, the relative strength of the O VII triplet ( $\sim 22 \text{ \AA}$  or 0.56 keV) and the O VIII (19.0  $\text{\AA}$  or 0.653 keV) emission line seem to have changed. This suggests that the ionisation structure of the line-emitting gas is variable, which was not clear in previous work, mainly due to the lack of deep RGS observations from different epochs and spectral states.


### 3.2.1 Variability of the emission lines

We compare the observed fluxes of the strongest emission lines detected with the Gaussian scan for the three flux-resolved spectra in Fig. 6. Here, we use the results of the broad core (FWHM=5000 km/s), which maximises the O VII and O VIII significance. Owing to low statistics above 20  $\text{\AA}$  and the large line width, the O VII triplet is not well resolved. We therefore prefer to accumulate the fluxes of the


O VII lines (resonance 21.6  $\text{\AA}$ , intercombination 21.8  $\text{\AA}$  and forbidden 22.1  $\text{\AA}$  lines).

It is clear that the emission lines significantly change, particularly during the bright state, but it is not straightforward to understand the variability of the emission measure distribution by simply comparing the single gaussian lines (Fig. 6). However, the largest changes are seen in the O VIII and other high-ionisation lines, whose brightening might suggest a higher ionisation state in the brighter spectrum. A self-consistent model is required to tie the results from multiple lines together and obtain further insights into the gas dynamics. This is done in Sect. 3.3.3 where we build up photoionisation and collisional-ionisation emission models and perform model-scans onto the data.

It is difficult to determine whether the emitting gas is in collisional or photoionisation equilibrium owing to the limited statistics in each line, but some diagnostics can be




**Figure 5.** Gaussian line scan performed on the three XMM-*Newton* spectra shown in Fig. 3 using RGS between 0.45–2 keV and EPIC-pn from 2–10 keV. The results for three different line widths (FWHM) are shown. The line significance is calculated as square root of the  $\Delta C$ -stat times the sign of the gaussian normalisation (positive/negative for emission/absorption lines). The right panel is a zoom in the 0.5–0.8 keV energy range. The labels ‘F’, ‘I’ and ‘R’ refer to the forbidden, intercombination and resonant lines of the O VII triplet.



**Figure 6.** Fluxes of the strongest emission lines in the RGS stacked spectra of NGC 1313 X-1 (new intermediate-bright and old low-intermediate and old low-intermediate). The fluxes of the O VII triplet have been accumulated. Notice the significant line variability especially in the new observations. The centroids of the lines have been slightly shifted for displaying purposes. In two cases only 90% upper limits could be obtained.

obtained using the following well-known ratios:

$$r = \frac{F}{I} \quad (1)$$



**Figure 7.** O VIII Lyman  $\alpha$  ( $1s - 2p$ ) velocity profile for the three RGS flux-resolved spectra of NGC 1313 X-1 (see also Fig. 3). A positive velocity indicates gas motion towards the observer. A line centroid of  $18.967 \text{ \AA}$  ( $\sim 0.654 \text{ keV}$ ) is adopted (blue dashed line). The dotted vertical lines indicate shifts of  $\pm 3000 \text{ km/s}$ . In the brighter state the line seems to exhibit a stronger red wing.

$$g = \frac{F + I}{R} \quad (2)$$

where  $F$ ,  $I$  and  $R$  are the fluxes of the forbidden, intercombination and resonance lines. By combining the results from all three spectra we can place some constraints which would be difficult, if not impossible, to do for each indi-

vidual spectrum. Accounting for uncertainties in the line widths, the continuum and the RGS calibration, we measure for the O VII triplet the following ratios:  $r = 0.6 \pm_{0.4}^{1.0}$  and  $g = 1.3 \pm_{0.8}^{1.6}$ . The uncertainties on these ratios are still large, but their values suggest a high-density hybrid gas ( $n_{\text{H}} \sim 10^{10-12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) where both recombination and collisional processes occur (Porquet & Dubau 2000).

The emission lines are broadly consistent with being at their rest wavelengths, although the centroids of the O VII and O VIII lines in the bright state seem to be redshifted by  $\sim 3000 \text{ km/s}$  with respect to those of the low state as clearly shown in Fig. 7 for the O VIII Lyman  $\alpha$  line.

### 3.3 Photoionisation modelling

An accurate photoionisation model requires the knowledge of the radiation field. Pinto, et al. (2019) have studied the thermal stability of ULX winds and compared them with other high Eddington sources such as Narrow Line Seyfert 1 galaxies. In that paper the spectral energy distribution (SED) of NGC 1313 X-1 was computed from IR to hard X-ray energies using literature data for the IR/optical/UV domain, complemented with the optical monitor aboard XMM-Newton. The X-ray spectral portion is provided by XMM-Newton and NuSTAR.

#### 3.3.1 SED and stability curves

In this work we construct the SEDs of the low-to-intermediate and intermediate-to-bright states using the low-energy portion (IR, optical and far-UV) of the SED computed by Pinto, et al. (2019) for the time-averaged spectrum of NGC 1313 X-1. For the high-energy part (soft and hard X-rays from 0.4 to 20 keV) we use the best-fit continuum model,  $hot(mbb + mbb + comt)$ , estimated in Sect. 3.1, Fig. 3 and Table 3. The absorption from the ISM is removed to obtain the intrinsic source spectrum. As shown in the spectral modelling, the SED above 10 keV is taken to be constant and is provided by the time-averaged NuSTAR spectrum. In fact, Walton et al. (2019) show that there is very little variability above 10 keV in the NuSTAR spectra of NGC 1313 X-1. The final SEDs are shown in Fig. 8 (top).

The study of the relationship between the UV and X-ray flux will be done elsewhere, as well as a detailed time-resolved study of NGC 1313 X-1 comparing individual exposures or nearby pairs of exposures. For this work we adopt a constant flux at the low energies (IR, optical and far-UV). A study of some systematic effects introduced by the variability of the low-energy portion of the spectrum onto the ionisation balance is briefly discussed in Pinto, et al. (2019). They show that the main effect of a lower IR-to-UV flux is that of strengthening thermal instabilities in the plasma at intermediate temperatures and ionisation parameters (e.g.  $\text{Log } T \text{ (K)} \sim 5$  and  $\text{Log } \xi \text{ (erg/s cm)} \sim 1.5$ , respectively), but are not expected to produce major effects to our results.

Plasmas in photoionisation equilibrium are characterized by the ionisation parameter,  $\xi$ , defined as (see, e.g., Tarter et al. 1969):

$$\xi = \frac{L_{\text{ion}}}{n_{\text{H}} R^2} \quad (3)$$

where  $L_{\text{ion}}$  is the ionising luminosity (taken between 1 and 1000 Rydberg, i.e. 13.6 eV and 13.6 keV),  $n_{\text{H}}$  the hydrogen number density and  $R$  the distance from the ionising source. The ionisation balance slightly depends on the abundances; here we adopt the recommended proto-Solar abundances of Lodders & Palme (2009), which are default in SPEX. Although ULXs are often found in star-forming galaxies where non-Solar metallicity might be expected, currently there does not seem to be strong evidence for highly non-solar abundances for the ULXs themselves ( $Z \sim 0.6-0.7 Z_{\odot}$ , see, e.g., Winter et al. 2007, Mizuno et al. 2007 and Pintore & Zampieri 2012). Moreover, the evidence of sub-Solar abundances in the ULX host galaxy (or along the line of sight) does not necessarily indicate the abundances in the accretion disc (Prestwich et al. 2013).

We calculate the ionisation balance using SPEX newly implemented *pion* code, which is optimised to perform instantaneous calculation of ionisation balance in the regime of photoionisation. We also test the *xabsinput* tool in SPEX, which performs the same calculation and provides in output the ionisation balance in a format useful for several photoionisation absorption models (see Sect. 3.3.2). The results obtained with *pion* and *xabsinput* are consistent. Following the method used in Pinto, et al. (2019) for the stability (or  $S$ ) curve of the low state SED, we compute the ionisation balance for the high state. The  $S$  curves show the ionisation parameter,  $\xi$ , as a function of the ratio between the radiation pressure ( $F/c$ ) and thermal pressure ( $n_{\text{H}} kT$ ), given by

$$\Xi = \frac{F}{n_{\text{H}} c kT} = 19222 \frac{\xi}{T}, \quad (4)$$


with  $F = L/4\pi r^2$  (Krolik et al. 1981). The stability curves computed for the three SEDs are shown in Fig. 8 (bottom panel). Along these curves heating equals cooling and, therefore, the gas is in thermal balance. Where the  $S$  curve has a positive gradient, the photoionised gas is thermally stable or, in other words, small perturbations upwards (downwards) will be balanced by an increase of cooling (heating).

The stability curves of the three spectra under investigation are very similar, which means that thermal instabilities should not affect the evolution of the wind. The study of thermal stability in each observation is relevant but is beyond the scope of this paper and will be done elsewhere.

We have tested the effect of non-Solar metallicity by calculating the ionisation balance for  $Z$  between 0.5 and 1.5. Mildly sub-Solar ( $\sim 0.7$ ) metallicities have very small effects onto the ionisation balance with shifts comparable to those observed in Fig. 8. In particular, sub-Solar metallicities tend to increase the stable brunch. For  $Z \gtrsim 1.5$  the unstable portion of the  $S$  curves increases. We therefore do not expect significant issues in our ionisation balance calculation and spectral fits due to the uncertainties in the abundance pattern (see also Pinto et al. 2012).

#### 3.3.2 Absorbing gas

Physical models are useful to determine the significance and nature of spectral features and to study plasma dynamics.




**Figure 8.** Spectral energy distribution (top) and thermal stability curve (ionisation parameter versus radiation/thermal pressure ratio, bottom) computed for the three spectra under investigation (see also Pinto, et al. 2019). The solid lines in the bottom panel indicate the ionisation parameters ( $\pm 1\sigma$  errors) of the photoionised absorbers with higher significance in the archival (2012 at latest) and in the new (2017) spectra (see Table A1). The labels “F” and “S” refers to the fast and slow components, while “F?” indicates the component with lower significance ( $\lesssim 3\sigma$ ) in the new data.

We first focus on the modelling of the absorption features by using the fast *xabs* model in SPEX. *xabs* calculates the transmission of a slab of material, where all ionic column densities are linked through a photoionisation model.

In principle, one could fit for several parameters of the *xabs* model such as the column density,  $N_{\text{H}}$ , the ionisation parameter,  $\xi$ , the line-of-sight velocity,  $v_{\text{LOS}}$ , the velocity dispersion, the abundances, etc. However, in order to determine the best solution based on the observational dataset, we perform automated spectral scans with the *xabs* model using a pre-defined multidimensional grid, similar to Kosec et al. (2018b). This technique is very efficient at locating possible solutions and prevents the fits from getting stuck in local minima, although is computationally expensive. It also provides a proxy for the absorption (emission) measure distribution if applied to line absorption (emission) models.

In this case, we construct a multidimensional grid of



**Figure 9.** Photoionisation absorption model scan of the archival data (top), the new low-to-intermediate (middle) and the new intermediate-to-bright spectra (bottom). The color is coded according to the  $\Delta C - \text{stat}$  fit improvement to the continuum model. The black contours refer to the 2.5, 3.0, 3.5 and 4.0  $\sigma$  confidence levels estimated through  $3 \times 10^4$  Monte Carlo simulations.

$v_{\text{LOS}}$  and  $\xi$ , whilst keeping free the  $N_{\text{H}}$ . We adopted a  $v_{\text{LOS}}$  blueshift ranging from 0 to 0.3c with steps of 500 km/s (comparable to the spectral resolution of the highest-resolution instrument, RGS). A grid of  $\log \xi$  from 0.1 to 5.0 with a 0.1 step is also used. We also do not fit the line widths but rather assume a grid of specific values similarly to the line scan in Sect. 3.2 ( $\sigma_{v,1D} = 1/2.355$  FWHM of 500, 1000, 5000 km/s). All element abundances are chosen to be Solar

for the same reasons mentioned in Sect. 3.3.1. Freeing the abundances could possibly provide significant improvements to the model search, but also increase the number of trials and the computing time. For every  $(\xi, v_{\text{LOS}}, \sigma_v)$  combination a spectral fit is performed to each of the three flux-resolved spectra, starting from the baseline continuum model defined in Sect. 3.1, with the continuum parameters and the column density of the absorber free to vary, and the statistical fit improvement in  $\Delta C$  is recovered, as done for the Gaussian line scan in Sect. 3.2.

In Fig. 9 we show the probability distributions (in  $\Delta C$  and  $\sigma$ ) from scans of the three spectra with  $\sigma_v = 500$  km/s for the archival data and 1000 km/s for the two new spectra, which yield strong detections of both emission and absorption lines. As expected, our code finds and confirms the best-fit  $\sim 0.2c$  solution previously found in Pinto et al. (2016). Additional, weaker, peaks are found at lower ionisation states ( $\log \xi \sim 0.6 - 0.8$ ) and velocities ( $0.06 - 0.08c$ ).

As anticipated by the line scan in Fig. 5, the model scan shows that the absorber has radically changed, with the bulk of the absorption occurring at lower velocities (see Fig. 9) in the new data. These velocities agree with those suggested by principal component analysis (see Sect. 4). Secondary peaks ranging from  $0.2 - 0.25c$  with higher  $\xi$  similar to the archival data are also found in the new data albeit at much lower significance.


The two components with low or high  $(\xi, v)$  are likely independent as they account for different features in the RGS spectra. Although their individual significance is lower when both components (and the model for the line emission) are present in the model, they still provide substantial improvements to the fits (see Appendix A, Table A1 and Fig. A2).

We note that the global  $\Delta C$  levels are lower than those quoted in Pinto et al. (2016) because here we do not use the EPIC data below 2 keV, which has a larger count rate but lower spectral resolution than RGS, by more than an order of magnitude, in order to decrease the degeneracy. We have done a quick check by temporarily including pn data between 0.3-2 keV and obtained  $\Delta C$  of up to 48 with respect to the continuum (no absorption model) for the archival (OLD L-I) data and  $\Delta C$  up to 36 and 83 for the new spectra (NEW L-I and NEW I-B, respectively). These values correspond to the highest peaks shown in Fig. 9.

As can be seen in Fig. 9, our data selection is not optimal for plasmas with  $\log \xi \gtrsim 4.0$ . The significance and thorough modelling of the putative, weaker, absorption features in the Fe K energy band (see Fig. 4) will require to combine data from EPIC and FPM-A/B as previously done in Walton et al. (2016a) and will be focus of a forthcoming paper.

### 3.3.3 Emitting gas

In order to determine the emission measure distribution of the plasma in the three spectra, we perform a similar model scan through the *pion* model in *SPEX*. The *pion* model calculates the transmission and emission of a photoionised plasma, whilst the *xabs* model is only optimized for absorption. The photoionisation equilibrium in this model is calculated self-consistently. In principle, we could just use *pion* for both emission and absorption. However, this model re-calculates the ionisation balance at every iteration and



**Figure 10.** Photoionisation emission model scan of the archival data (top), the new low-to-intermediate spectra (middle) and the new intermediate-to-bright spectra (bottom panel). The color is coded according to the  $\Delta C$ -stat fit improvement to the 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0  $\sigma$ , etc. confidence levels estimated through 10000 Monte Carlo simulations for each spectrum. Negative values of  $\Delta C$ -stat for high  $N_{\text{H}}$  at low-to-mild  $\xi$  are kept to zero for displaying purposes.

therefore is much more computationally expensive than the *xabs* model. For this reason we prefer to use it only for emission studies. The *pion* model shares several parameters with *xabs*, but it also allows us to fit the density (for line emission) and retrieve more information on the plasma properties.

The emission lines detected with the Gaussian line scan are consistent with being at their rest-frame wavelengths

(Fig. 6), which agrees with what was previously found in Pinto et al. (2016). We therefore build a simple grid of *pion* models with  $\xi$  between 0.1 and 5.0 with 0.1 steps and  $N_{\text{H}}$  between  $10^{20-24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . Based on the results of the line scan and the O VII triplet shape discussed in Sect. 3.2.1, we adopt the plasma density to be equal to  $n_{\text{H}} = 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and the line width  $\sigma_v = 1000 \text{ km/s}$ . We prefer not to increase the  $n_{\text{H}}$  further as there are some issues with certain level populations at very high densities in *pion*. These  $\xi$  grids are used for the *pion* model, which is then an additive component in our spectral model on top of the continuum model (the *xabs* absorption component is removed from this model). For the *pion* model we also adopt covering fraction equal to zero (i.e. using *pion* only to produce emission lines) and solid angle  $\Omega = 4\pi$ . Fitting additional parameters such as  $\Omega$  might provide even better fits but would significantly increase the computing time. Zero line-of-sight velocity is adopted here.

We run the model scan for the three spectra in investigation and plot the results in Fig. 10. Each panel shows the probability distribution of the emitting gas in terms of  $\Delta C$ -stat and the confidence level expressed in  $\sigma$ , which is constrained using Monte Carlo simulations (i.e. including look-elsewhere effects). As we predicted above, the main peaks of the distributions show up at lower ionisation parameters during the 2017 observations, particularly in the low flux spectrum. However, the picture seems rather complex with evidence for multiphase structure and larger fractions of hotter gas during the high state.

#### 4 PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS

In this section we use an alternative technique to search for time-dependent spectral features at lower spectral resolution using principal component analysis (PCA) and the high-statistics EPIC-pn spectra to search for spectral features that respond to continuum variations.

In the field of active galactic nuclei, principal component analysis (PCA) has proven to be a reliable tool to detect broadband or narrow spectral features that have correlated variability (see, e.g., Mittaz et al. 1990, Francis & Wills 1999, Vaughan & Fabian 2004, Miller et al. (2007), Miller et al. 2008, Parker et al. 2014). For instance, Parker et al. (2017b) used PCA to detect the spectral component of the ultrafast ( $\sim 0.2c$ ) outflow in Narrow Line Seyfert 1 IRAS 13224–3809 thanks to the fact that the wind responds to the variations in the source continuum (Parker et al. 2017a, Pinto et al. 2018). They identified a series of variability peaks in both the first PCA component and  $F_{\text{var}}$  spectrum, which correspond to the strongest predicted absorption lines from the ultrafast outflow previously found in high-resolution X-ray spectra. The main requirements for this method to be successful are a) availability of a large dataset, b) source variability at time scales larger than the time slices used (typically 1-10 ks) and c) wind and continuum correlated variability.

We apply this technique, using the code of Parker et al. (2017a), to the whole NGC 1313 X-1 EPIC-pn data in order to search for similar peaks (all 12 observations in Table 1). We adopt 10 ks time bins and a logarithmic energy grid for a total of 165 pn spectra. The results for principal component one, PC1, are shown in Fig 11. Some features appear at intermediate energies (1–3 keV) that would correspond to a 0.08c

outflow if we identify them with the strongest transitions in this energy band (also detected in IRAS 13224–3809). At high energies noise dominates and it is difficult to obtain further information, while at low energies either PCA is not very sensitive to the features or they are weakly correlated to the continuum or the variability is weaker in the soft band. There is an interesting tentative drop at 5.9 keV similar to the bright spectrum (Fig. 5).

We fit the PCA spectrum with a cubic spline, using the UNIVARIATESPLINE function from SCIPY, weighting the points by the inverse of their errors. This gives a reasonable description of the broadband shape, which we can then use to estimate the line significances. For the transitions that have clear corresponding peaks in the PCA spectrum (Ne X, Mg XII, Si XIV, S XVI and Ar XVIII), we calculate their deviation from the spline in  $\sigma$  (1.2, 3.6, 2.5, 1.7, and 0.8, respectively). We convert these to probabilities, assuming Gaussian statistics, and multiply them together to calculate the probability of finding five lines of this strength at the same blueshift. We then account for the number of trials by dividing by the number of resolution elements over the relevant range of velocities (for  $v = 0$  to  $v = 0.4c$ , at 2 keV, this gives  $\sim 8$  resolution elements). This gives a final chance probability of  $2.75 \times 10^{-7}$ , or  $5.0\sigma$ . Considering only the strongest and most obvious three features (Mg XII, Si XIV, S XVI) this falls to  $P = 2.8 \times 10^{-6}$ , or  $4.5\sigma$ .


We attempt to repeat the PCA analysis using only the new data from the 2017 campaign and obtained consistent results albeit with higher noise. The archival data alone, where strong absorption was detected above 1 keV, is not ideally deep for our PCA analysis, but a quick check shows a weak peak above 1 keV, interestingly at the same location of the absorption features detected by the line scan (see Fig. 3 and 5, and previously published in Pinto et al. 2016 for both RGS and EPIC spectra).

#### 5 DISCUSSION

##### 5.1 The NGC 1313 X-1 campaign

It is currently believed that the majority of ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs) consists of stellar-mass compact objects accreting above the Eddington limit by factors of a few up to hundreds, particularly after the discoveries of pulsars in some ULXs and mildly relativistic winds as predicted by models of super-Eddington accretion. This research field is rather young and several problems are still to be solved regarding the nature and launching mechanism(s) of the winds, their energy budget and effects on the circumstellar medium and on the life of the binary system.

We have been awarded 6 orbits targeting the NGC 1313 galaxy with XMM-Newton with the aim of achieving a better understanding of ULX winds. Some of these observations have been taken simultaneously with NuSTAR in order to improve the coverage of the hard X-ray band and Chandra to search for further narrow lines in the 2–7 keV energy band, which is not covered by RGS and where EPIC has low energy resolution. In this first paper we focus on the study of the wind in NGC 1313 X-1 and, in particular, its variability with the ULX spectral state based on the well-known spectral variability (Middleton et al. 2015a) and detection of



**Figure 11.** XMM/EPIC-pn PCA 1st order spectrum, calculated from the entire dataset (top). The main relevant transitions, blueshifted by  $0.08c$ , are marked by vertical lines, and the shaded area shows the  $1\sigma$  errors. The black line shows a spline fit to these data. The bottom panel shows the residuals to this fit, in units of standard deviations. Dashed horizontal lines mark the  $3\sigma$  levels.

emission and absorption lines (Pinto et al. 2016). We notice that this campaign has already provided interesting results such as the first discovery of pulsations in NGC 1313 ULX-2 (Sathyaprakash et al. 2019), which added a new candidate to the presently small list of PULXs.

The study of the broadband spectral continuum is presented in a companion paper (Walton et al. 2019). The search for additional narrow spectral features at intermediate energies (1–7 keV) with *Chandra* is presented in Nowak et al. (in prep). The spectral-timing analysis and the application of Fourier techniques onto our new data is also described in another paper of this series (Kara et al. 2020) where we show the first discovery of a soft lag in NGC 1313 X-1 similarly to other two ULXs with wind detections: NGC 5408 X-1 and NGC 55 X-1.

Here we briefly note that our observations scheduled in pairs separated by long periods of 2-3 months enabled us to detect NGC 1313 X-1 at different fluxes covering a smooth range of spectra (see *Swift*/XRT lightcurve in Fig. 2 and spectra Fig. 3 and A1). The source shows a continuum behaviour typical of the subclass of ULXs with hard spectra at low fluxes and softer, broad disc-like, spectra at high fluxes in according to the classification of Sutton et al. (2013).

XMM-*Newton* EPIC and RGS spectra from observations with similar flux levels and spectral shape have been combined to compare the wind appearance in three different epochs and luminosity regimes (see Fig. 3). The three broadband spectra have been modelled with a multi-component spectral continuum model consisting of two modified-disc-blackbody components to reproduce the classical two broad peaks around 1 and 3–7 keV in the low state (see Table 3, Fig. 3 and A1) plus a comptonisation component to fit the hard tail often found in ULXs (see, e.g., Walton et al. 2018).

Our results are broadly consistent with those presented

in the paper by Walton et al. (2019) to whom we refer for a more detailed analysis of the X-ray broadband continuum and of its variability. During the bright state the spectral curvature around 2 keV decreases (see Fig. 3) and the two thermal components are not well distinguished in our model (see Table 3) but this has no effects on the search for narrow spectral features.

## 5.2 The wind

### 5.2.1 Emission lines

Strong positive residuals are found in correspondence of the transition energies of several ions such as O VII, O VIII, Ne X and Fe XVII in agreement with Pinto et al. (2016) and confirmed in this work (see Fig. 4 and 5).

Some emission lines clearly change, becoming stronger in the softer, bright, state (see Fig. 6). This occurs for O VIII and several lines around 1 keV. However, their equivalent widths are lower in the bright state because the continuum around 1 keV is about 4 times higher than in the L-I hard state. This broadly agrees with the results Middleton et al. (2015b) who showed that the residuals around 1 keV weaken in the bright spectra. Hints of variability in both the absorption and emission lines were already found in Pinto et al. (2016), but this is the first time that we can robustly confirm it. Photoionisation emission modelling further strengthens the variability of the emission lines with the spectral state and with the time (see Fig. 10). This is the first unambiguous evidence that the emission lines are intrinsic to the ULX.

The emission lines are stronger, broader, and likely redshifted in the bright state (see Fig. 7), which would be consistent with them being emitted from the outer disc and progressively stronger at high accretion rates. They resemble the flat-top profile with steep wings of Paschen lines in broad line regions of AGN or disc winds of X-ray binaries such as GRO J1655-40 (Soria et al. 2000). In this case the emission lines may be produced near or outside the spherisation radius, in the irradiated part of the disc, with their strength proportional to accretion rate (see cartoon in Fig. 12).

The  $g, r$  line ratios of the O VII triplet suggest a high density hybrid gas ( $n_{\text{H}} \sim 10^{10-12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) where both recombination and collisional processes occur (Porquet & Dubau 2000). Models of collisionally-ionised emitting plasma provide similar results to those obtained using photoionisation equilibrium, but generally slightly worse fits (see Appendix A and Fig. A3). Photoionisation is therefore preferred, but we cannot rule out some contribution from collisions e.g. due to shock between the wind and the companion star or the surrounding interstellar medium.

The luminosity of the line-emission component is remarkably high ( $L_{0.3-10 \text{ keV}} > 10^{38} \text{ erg/s}$ ), about 2-3 orders of magnitude higher than winds - including colliding winds - in classical supergiant X-ray binaries (hosting a neutron star accreting below the Eddington limit from a supergiant OB star, see e.g. El Mellah & Casse 2017) and much higher than lines from accretion disc coronae of low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs, see, e.g., Kallman et al. 2003). CCD spectra provide comparable results (see, e.g., Middleton et al. 2015b and references therein). Such luminosity is consistent with that measured by Wang, Soria & Wang (2019) for the

plasma producing blueshifted emission lines in *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton* spectra of a transient ULX in NGC 6946.

Interestingly, both the column densities of  $10^{21-22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  and the volume densities ( $n_{\text{H}} \sim 10^{10-12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) measured for the line-emitting gas in NGC 1313 X-1 (assuming Solar abundances, see Table A1) are comparable to those measured in accretion disc coronae of some LMXBs (see, e.g., Cottam et al. 2001, van Peet et al. 2009, Iaria et al. 2013 and Psaradaki et al. 2018). We note that we adopt a full solid angle for the emitting gas in order to speed up our fit in this paper. A lower solid angle of e.g.  $\Omega/4\pi \sim 0.1$ , as found in the plasmas around many X-ray binaries, would yield a larger column density in NGC 1313 X-1. We remark that our volume density estimates might be affected by uncertainties due to the low statistics above  $20 \text{ \AA}$  and the possible contribution from collisional ionisation. For a volume density  $n_{\text{H}} \sim 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and an ionisation parameter  $\xi \sim 100 \text{ erg/s cm}$ , using Eq. (3), we obtain a distance  $R \sim 0.5 \text{ U.A.}$ , which is comparable to the size of the roche lobe estimated for the pulsating ULX NGC 7793 P13 by Fürst et al. (2018).

### 5.2.2 Absorption lines

In Pinto et al. (2016), a highly significant ultrafast outflow was detected in NGC 1313 X-1 through the combination of the EPIC and RGS absorption features in the soft X-ray band. Here we confirm the detection, even using only RGS below 2 keV (albeit at lower significance because of the more restrictive data selection used in this work; see Fig. 5, top left panel). The wind has remarkably changed from 2012 (and before) to 2017 with the fast,  $\sim 0.2c$ , and highly-ionised,  $\log \xi \sim 2.3$ , component significantly weaker and a slower,  $0.08c$ , and lower-ionisation,  $\log \xi \sim 0.8$ , phase now also clearly detected (see Fig. 9). The relative strengthening of such a ‘cooler’ phase compared to the ‘hotter’ one is more evident in the bright state. The absorption lines of this new cooler phase appear broader (see Fig. 5 and Table A1).

These findings are corroborated by the results of the principal component analysis (see Fig. 11) which showed new features at intermediate energies that agree with the most relevant Lyman  $\alpha$  lines of Mg, Si, S and Ar all blueshifted by about  $0.08c$ . The variations in the wind velocity and ionisation parameter may explain why its features are weak in the PCA. This technique commonly shows stronger features in coherently-changing winds and at consistent velocities.

### 5.3 A scenario of variability in the accretion rate

Our results are consistent with a scenario in which a higher accretion rate has occurred during the middle and the end of 2017 and, possibly, during the previous year (as suggested by the high variability and average flux levels in the *Swift* light curve from 2016, see Fig. 2). We speculate that the recent higher accretion rate has caused the brighter and softer state of the source, the appearance of a cooler and slower component of the wind, the strengthening of the emission lines and the broadening of most emission and absorption lines.

Regarding the emission lines, assuming that they are produced near the spherisation radius, an increase in the accretion rate would move the spherisation radius outwards,

broadening the emitting region and the velocity pattern. Otherwise, if they are emitted from the portion of the disc external to the spherisation radius, then their broadening might be caused by the inner wind that increasingly shields the outer disc at higher  $\dot{m}$ , which lowers the ionisation of the disc and enables emission of (broader) lines from smaller radii, where previously the ions were fully ionised.


Assuming that the velocities measured in our line of sight are proxies for the (escape) launching velocities of the wind, we estimate an outer launching radius ( $\sim 150 R_S$  for  $0.08c$ ) than for the faster component detected previously ( $\sim 25 R_S$  for  $0.2c$ ). The smaller launching radius and the higher velocity are comparable to those estimated in the inner regions of the disc through GR-MHD simulations, while the slower and outer wind are similar to the outer region where the wind becomes clumpy (see e.g. Takeuchi et al. 2013 and Kobayashi et al. 2018).

The emission lines would be produced even further out (see Fig. 12). During the L-I state in September 2017 the imbalance between the slow and fast phases seems milder than in the I-B state (see Fig. 9 and Table A1), maybe due to a lower accretion rate. The fast wind could be still hard to detect if the inner regions are obscured by the newly discovered cooler phase of the wind (as illustrated in Fig. 12, right panel). We note that the lower statistics of the new L-I state prevent us from making strong claims. Finally, the kinetic power of the two main wind components is comparable ( $L_{\text{kin, Fast/Slow}} \sim 1$ ). This is because the velocity of the fast component  $v_{\text{Fast}} \sim 2 - 3 v_{\text{Slow}}$ , the ionisation parameter  $\xi_{\text{Fast}} \sim 10 - 30 \xi_{\text{Slow}}$ , the ionising luminosity (see Table A1)  $L_{\text{ion, I-B}} \sim 1.5 L_{\text{ion, L-I}}$  (see e.g. Table 3) and the kinetic power goes as  $L_{\text{kin}} \propto L_{\text{ion}} (v_{\text{out}}^3 / \xi) C_V \Omega$ . Here we assume the same covering factor and opening angle of the wind components, which can of course differ.

In summary, the general picture may be that the X-ray continuum comes from the inner (super-critical) disc, the line absorption comes from the wind launched inside the spherisation radius and the line emission comes from the thinner, slower wind launched from the irradiated standard-disc surface outside the spherisation radius. This is consistent with the fact that blueshifted emission lines are seen in NGC 55 ULX (see Pinto et al. 2017), a spectral hybrid between soft ULXs and supersoft ultraluminous sources (see, e.g., Feng et al. 2016) that is believed to be viewed at a larger inclination than NGC 1313 X-1. Further, in-depth, work is required to confirm this scenario and indeed several forthcoming papers are being produced focusing on the broadband variability spectral variability on different time scales (e.g. Walton et al. 2019 and Kara et al. 2020).

### 5.4 Future studies of ULX winds with *ATHENA*

Current X-ray observatories such as *XMM-Newton* and *Chandra* have opened up new research channels to understand ULXs and, more in general, super-Eddington accretion. However, their high-energy-resolution spectrometers have low effective area, requiring exposure times of 1-2 days to achieve high statistics. This prevent us from tracking the wind variability down to time scales as short as 1 ks (or even less), which are similar to the time lags found in some ULXs including NGC 1313 X-1 (Kara et al. 2020) and those shown by the PCA (Sect. 4). The study of the winds be-



**Figure 12.** Simplified scheme of a variable high mass accretion rate source. The compact object is surrounded by an inner corona (or accretion column) and a thick disc of matter from the companion star. *Left panel:* Above the Eddington limit ( $\dot{m}_1 > \dot{m}_E$ ) radiation pressure thickens the disc and launches a powerful wind from a region around the spherisation radius ( $R \sim 25 R_S$  for  $v_{\text{esc}} \sim 0.2c$ ). *Right panel:* At even higher accretion rates ( $\dot{m}_2 > \dot{m}_1 > \dot{m}_E$ ), the source simultaneously brightens and softens, the spherisation radius extends to larger radii and a cooler phase of the wind is launched at larger radii ( $\sim 150 R_S$  for  $0.08c$ ). The emission lines, presumably coming from the outer irradiated disc, also become stronger and broader. These trends are observed in NGC 1313 X-1.


haviour at such time scales could place stronger constraints on their nature and structure. The future X-ray mission *XRISM* (Guainazzi & Tashiro 2018) will enable to detect and resolve features from hotter wind phases in the hard X-ray band ( $\sim 2 - 10$  keV), providing complementary information to RGS but still requiring days of observations (see Pinto, et al. 2019 for a *XRISM* simulation of NGC 1313 X-1).

X-ray astronomy will dramatically change in the 2030s after the launch of *ATHENA* (Nandra et al. 2013). Its X-ray Integral Field Unit (X-IFU), in particular, will be the first X-ray microcalorimeter to provide both high spatial ( $5''$ ) and spectral ( $2.5$  eV) resolution with an effective area an order of magnitude higher than any current high-spectral-resolution X-ray detector, which gives an improvement of almost 2 orders of magnitude in the detection of narrow features in the canonical  $0.3 - 10$  keV X-ray energy band (see e.g. Barret et al. 2018).

We simulate three X-IFU spectra of NGC 1313 X-1 using the best-fit wind models of the three RGS spectra (see Table A1 and Fig. A2) and adopting an X-IFU exposure time of 25 ks each. The expected results are extremely promising with the lines obviously changing between the states, while in RGS spectra - without a careful analysis - might appear comparable (Fig. 13). A short snapshot of 1 ks with the X-IFU will still provide a high signal-to-noise spectrum with several emission and absorption lines detected at  $5\sigma$  each. This will enable to study the wind at the variability time scales probed by the PCA (Sect. 4) and by ULX soft lags (few 10-to-1000 s). Moreover, the X-IFU will detect winds in ULXs at much larger distance, boosting the explored volume of ULXs and determining wind properties at much higher accuracy. The comparison between their properties with those of the interstellar cavities around many ULXs will determine the role ULXs feedback, particularly in the early Universe.

## 6 CONCLUSIONS

Most ULXs are believed to be powered by super-Eddington accreting neutron stars and black holes. If the compact object is not a neutron star with a magnetar-like magnetic



**Figure 13.** *ATHENA* / X-IFU simulations of the ULX NGC 1313 X-1 for the three spectra with overlaid the best-fit multiphase wind model. The wind model consists of photoionised emitting plasma and a two-phase absorber (see Table A1 and Fig. A2). Cosmic X-ray background and particle background are accounted for. The X-IFU response matrix is dated 10/2018 with  $1.4 \text{ m}^2$  effective area at 1 keV. Note how the lines strongly differ in these spectra.

field, the disc is expected to thicken and launch powerful winds through radiation pressure or magnetic fields might launch winds themselves. Evidence of winds has been found in the ULXs through the high-resolution spectrometers on-board XMM-Newton. In order to understand the wind geometry, its relationship with the accretion rate and the source state, we undertook a large observing campaign with XMM-Newton to study the NGC 1313 (UL)X-1, which exhibits strong rest-frame emission and blueshifted absorption lines.

The new observations show remarkable wind variability, with the previously known fast component ( $\sim 0.2c$ ) to have weakened, and a new, cooler and slower ( $\sim 0.08c$ ), wind component appearing. Significant variability is found in the highly significant emission lines, showing for the first time that they are most likely produced in the accretion disc or the outer wind. We describe the simultaneous change of the wind and the spectral continuum in terms of variability in the super-Eddington accretion rate. The geometry that can describe the observables and agrees with the theoretical models of super-Eddington accretion discs, implies that the continuum is emitted within the inner super-critical regions, the line absorption is produced by the wind launched near the spherisation radius and the emission lines come from a slower wind component in the outer, likely irradiated, disc.

**APPENDIX A: TECHNICAL DETAILS**

In this section we put the plots and tables that are excluded from the main body of the paper to facilitate the reading.

Fig. A1 (left panel) shows the EPIC-pn spectra extracted for the 12 individual exposures. In the right panel the spectra are in the same units, but have been shifted in the Y-axis, multiplying for a constant for display purposes.

The best-fit wind models with the three main emission and absorption components are shown in Fig. A2 with their relevant parameters detailed in Table A1. We also quote the spectral fit improvement  $\Delta C$  and the significance  $\sigma$  for all the components. We report them in the case where each component was fit alone with the continuum as for the model scans ( $\Delta C^a$  and  $\sigma^a$ ) and also where all emission and absorption components were included in the model ( $\Delta C^e$  and  $\sigma^e$ ). The latter represents a test for independence among the several components. Here their individual significances decrease but each of them still provides substantial improvements to the spectral fits, some of which well beyond 3 and 4  $\sigma$ .

In Fig. A3 we show the search for a shocked wind performed by scanning an emission model of gas in collisional equilibrium (*cie* model in SPEX) over the three flux-/time-resolved spectra: archival low-to-intermediate state (solid black line), the new low-to-intermediate state (dashed green line) and the new intermediate-to-bright state (dotted red line); see also Sect. 3.3.3. For a comparison with a similar scan using photoionisation emission models see Fig. 10. Collisional-ionisation equilibrium provides generally worse fits than photoionisation except for the archival data.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work is based on observations obtained with XMM-Newton, an ESA science mission funded by ESA Member

**Table A1.** NGC 1313 X-1: main wind components.


Phase	Parameter	OLD L-I	NEW L-I	NEW I-B
EMI (rest)	$N_H$	$3.0 \pm 0.8$	$1.4 \pm 0.3$	$3.5 \pm 1.3$
	$\log \xi$	$2.4 \pm 0.1$	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$2.2 \pm 0.2$
	$v_{LOS}$ (km/s)	$100 \pm 300$	$2000 \pm 700$	$-550 \pm 350$
	$v_\sigma$ (km/s)	$200 \pm 200$	$1600 \pm 400$	$2100 \pm 500$
	$\Delta C^a(e)$	25(21)	40(35)	55(50)
	$\sigma^a(e)$	3.5(3.1)	> 4(> 4)	> 4(> 4)
ABS 1 (slow)	$N_H$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.7 \pm 0.1$
	$\log \xi$	$0.8 \pm 0.3$	$0.7 \pm 0.2$	$0.9 \pm 0.2$
	$v_{LOS}$ (c)	$0.081 \pm 0.004$	$0.084 \pm 0.003$	$0.084 \pm 0.004$
	$v_\sigma$ (km/s)	$700 \pm 400$	$800 \pm 400$	$3500 \pm 1500$
	$\Delta C^a(e)$	17(10)	25(21)	38(30)
	$\sigma^a(e)$	2.6(2.0)	3.5(3.2)	> 4(> 4)
ABS 2 (fast)	$N_H$	$4.1 \pm 1.5$	$0.7 \pm 0.2$	$0.6 \pm 0.2$
	$\log \xi$	$2.3 \pm 0.1$	$1.8 \pm 0.1$	$1.8 \pm 0.1$
	$v_{LOS}$ (c)	$0.191 \pm 0.002$	$0.240 \pm 0.002$	$0.210 \pm 0.002$
	$v_\sigma$ (km/s)	$100 \pm 100$	$420 \pm 260$	$120 \pm 120$
	$\Delta C^a(e)$	26(21)	18(15)	19(13)
	$\sigma^a(e)$	3.7(3.1)	2.9(2.5)	2.9(2.3)

Best-fit wind components: photoionised emission (EMI) and absorption (ABS, see also Fig. 9 and Fig. 10). The ionisation parameters are in  $\log(\xi, \text{erg cm s}^{-1})$  and the column densities,  $N_H$ , in  $10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2}$ . The line-of-sight velocities,  $v_{LOS}$ , are in units of light speed  $c$  for ABS 1,2 and km/s for EMI (assumed positive for blueshift and motion towards the observed); the line widths,  $v_\sigma$ , are in  $\text{km s}^{-1}$ . The spectra are defined in Sect. 2.4.2. The  $\Delta C^a(e)$  refer to the  $\Delta C$ -statistics of each component computed when the component is the only one in model (a) or when the other two are included (e). The same applies to the detection significances,  $\sigma^a(e)$ , which are evaluated with Monte Carlo simulations.

States and USA (NASA). CP, MP and FF are supported by European Space Agency (ESA) Research Fellowships. We acknowledge support from STFC and ERC Advanced Grant Feedback 340442 and the European Union’s Horizon 2020 Programme under the AHEAD AO5 project (grant agreement n. 654215). We also thank J. de Plaa and M. Mehdipour for support in optimising SPEX and I. Psaradaki for help with PYTHON. We thank the XMM-Newton SOC and, in particular, Jan-Uwe Ness for excellent support in optimising our observing campaign. We finally acknowledge the anonymous referee for useful comments that improved the clarity of the paper.


**REFERENCES**

Bachetti M., Harrison F. A., Walton D. J., Grefenstette B. W., Chakrabarty D., et al., 2014, Nature, 514, 202  
 Bachetti M., Rana V., Walton D. J., Barret D., Harrison F. A., et al., 2013, ApJ, 778, 163  
 Barret D., Lam Trong T., den Herder J.-W., Piro L., et al., 2018, in SPIE Vol. 10699 of Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, The ATHENA X-ray Integral Field Unit (X-IFU). p. 106991G  
 Brightman M., Harrison F. A., Bachetti M., et al., 2019, ApJ, 873, 115  
 Brightman M., Harrison F. A., Fürst F., Middleton M. J., Walton D. J., et al., 2018, Nature Astronomy, 2, 312  
 Carpano S., Haberl F., Maitra C., Vasilopoulos G., 2018, MNRAS, 476, L45



**Figure A1.** *Left panel:* XMM-Newton/EPIC-pn spectra for both archival and new (080399) observations. The spectra cover a continuous distribution of fluxes from low (ultraluminous-hard) to the bright (broadened-disc) states. Most flux variations occur in the soft-intermediate X-ray energy band, while the spectra overlap beyond 5 keV. *Right panel:* same data and color code as shown in the left panel, but here the spectra have been shifted along the Y-axis for displaying purposes.

- Cash W., 1979, *ApJ*, 228, 939
- Cottam J., Sako M., Kahn S. M., Paerels F., Liedahl D. A., 2001, *ApJ*, 557, L101
- den Herder J. W., Brinkman A. C., Kahn S. M., et al., 2001, *A&A*, 365, L7
- El Mellah I., Casse F., 2017, *MNRAS*, 467, 2585
- Evans P. A., Beardmore A. P., Page K. L., Osborne J. P., O’Brien P. T., et al., 2009, *MNRAS*, 397, 1177
- Fabrika S., 2004, *Astrophysics and Space Physics Reviews*, 12, 1
- Farrell S. A., Webb N. A., Barret D., Godet O., Rodrigues J. M., 2009, *Nature*, 460, 73
- Feng H., Tao L., Kaaret P., Grisé F., 2016, *ApJ*, 831, 117
- Francis P. J., Wills B. J., 1999, in Ferland G., Baldwin J., eds, *Quasars and Cosmology Vol. 162 of Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Introduction to Principal Components Analysis*. p. 363
- Fürst F., Walton D. J., Harrison F. A., et al., 2016, *ApJ*, 831, L14
- Fürst F., Walton D. J., Heida M., Harrison F. A., Barret D., et al., 2018, *A&A*, 616, A186
- Gehrels N., Chincarini G., Giommi P., Mason K. O., Nousek J. A., et al., 2004, *ApJ*, 611, 1005
- Gladstone J. C., Roberts T. P., Done C., 2009, *MNRAS*, 397, 1836
- Greene J. E., Ho L. C., 2007, *ApJ*, 656, 84
- Guainazzi M., Tashiro M. S., 2018, *ArXiv e-prints*
- Harrison F. A., Craig W. W., Christensen F. E., Hailey C. J., Zhang W. W., et al., 2013, *ApJ*, 770, 103
- Iaria R., Di Salvo T., D’Ai A., Burderi L., Mineo T., Riggio A., Papitto A., Robba N. R., 2013, *A&A*, 549, A33
- Israel G. L., Belfiore A., Stella L., Esposito P., Casella P., et al., 2017a, *Science*, 355, 817
- Israel G. L., Papitto A., Esposito P., Stella L., Zampieri L., et al., 2017b, *MNRAS*, 466, L48
- Kaaret P., Feng H., Roberts T. P., 2017, *ARA&A*, 55, 303
- Kaaret P., Simet M. G., Lang C. C., 2006, *ApJ*, 646, 174
- Kaastra J. S., Mewe R., Nieuwenhuijzen H., 1996, in K. Yamashita & T. Watanabe ed., *UV and X-ray Spectroscopy of Astrophysical and Laboratory Plasmas SPEX: a new code for spectral analysis of X & UV spectra..* p. 411
- Kallman T. R., Angelini L., Boroson B., Cottam J., 2003, *ApJ*, 583, 861
- Kara E., Pinto C., Walton D. J., Alston W. N., Bachetti M., et al., 2020, *MNRAS*, 491, 5172
- King A. R., Davies M. B., Ward M. J., Fabbiano G., Elvis M., 2001, *ApJ*, 552, L109
- Kobayashi H., Ohsuga K., Takahashi H. R., Kawashima T., Asahina Y., Takeuchi S., Mineshige S., 2018, *PASJ*, 70, 22
- Kosec P., Pinto C., Fabian A. C., Walton D. J., 2018a, *MNRAS*, 473, 5680
- Kosec P., Pinto C., Walton D. J., et al., 2018b, *MNRAS*, 479, 3978
- Krolik J. H., McKee C. F., Tarter C. B., 1981, *ApJ*, 249, 422
- Liu J.-F., Bregman J. N., Bai Y., Justham S., Crowther P., 2013, *Nature*, 503, 500
- Lodders K., Palme H., 2009, *Meteoritics and Planetary Science Supplement*, 72, 5154
- Lumb D. H., Warwick R. S., Page M., De Luca A., 2002, *A&A*, 389, 93
- Mezcua M., Civano F., Fabbiano G., Miyaji T., Marchesi S., 2016, *ApJ*, 817, 20
- Middleton M. J., Brightman M., Pintore F., et al., 2019, *MNRAS*, 486, 2
- Middleton M. J., Heil L., Pintore F., Walton D. J., Roberts



**Figure A2.** RGS (left), EPIC-pn and FPM A,B(right) spectra with overlaid the best-fit multiphase wind model (red line) and the broadband continuum model (black line). The wind model consists of a two-phase photoionised absorber and a photoionised emission component (see Table A1). Data and line labels are the same as in Fig. 3.

T. P., 2015a, MNRAS, 447, 3243

Middleton M. J., Miller-Jones J. C. A., Markoff S., Fender R., Henze M., et al., 2013, Nature, 493, 187

Middleton M. J., Walton D. J., Fabian A., Roberts T. P., Heil L., Pinto C., et al., 2015b, MNRAS, 454, 3134

Middleton M. J., Walton D. J., Roberts T. P., Heil L., 2014, MNRAS, 438, L51

Miller J. M., Walton D. J., King A. L., Reynolds M. T., Fabian A. C., et al., 2013, ApJ, 776, L36

Miller L., Turner T. J., Reeves J. N., 2008, A&A, 483, 437

Miller L., Turner T. J., Reeves J. N., George I. M., Kraemer S. B., Wingert B., 2007, A&A, 463, 131

Mittaz J. P. D., Penston M. V., Snijders M. A. J., 1990, MNRAS, 242, 370

Mizuno T., Miyawaki R., Ebisawa K., Kubota A., Miyamoto M., et al., 2007, PASJ, 59, 257

Motch C., Pakull M. W., Soria R., Grisé F., Pietrzyński G., 2014, Nature, 514, 198

Mushtukov A. A., Ingram A., Middleton M., Nagirner D. I., van der Klis M., 2019, MNRAS, 484, 687

Nandra K., Barret D., Barcons X., Fabian A., den Herder J.-W., Piro L., Watson M., Adami C., Aird J., Afonso J. M., et al., 2013, ArXiv e-prints

Parker M. L., Alston W. N., Buisson D. J. K., Fabian A. C.,

et al., 2017b, MNRAS, 469, 1553

Parker M. L., Pinto C., Fabian A. C., Lohfink A., Buisson D. J. K., et al., 2017a, Nature, 543, 83

Parker M. L., Walton D. J., Fabian A. C., Risaliti G., 2014, MNRAS, 441, 1817

Pinto C., Alston W., Parker M. L., Fabian A. C., Gallo L. C., et al., 2018, MNRAS, 476, 1021

Pinto C., Alston W., Soria R., Middleton M. J., Walton D. J., et al., 2017, MNRAS, 468, 2865

Pinto C., Kaastra J. S., Costantini E., de Vries C., 2013, A&A, 551, A25

Pinto C., Mehdipour M., Walton D. J., Middleton M. J., Roberts T. P. et al., 2019, MNRAS.tmp, 3026

Pinto C., Middleton M. J., Fabian A. C., 2016, Nature, 533, 64

Pinto C., Ness J.-U., Verbunt F., Kaastra J. S., Costantini E., Detmers R. G., 2012, A&A, 543, A134


Pintore F., Zampieri L., 2012, MNRAS, 420, 1107

Porquet D., Dubau J., 2000, A&AS, 143, 495

Poutanen J., Lipunova G., Fabrika S., Butkevich A. G., Abolmasov P., 2007, MNRAS, 377, 1187

Prestwich A. H., Tsantaki M., Zezas A., Jackson F., Roberts T. P., et al., 2013, ApJ, 769, 92

Psaradaki I., Costantini E., Mehdipour M., Díaz Trigo M.,



**Figure A3.** Wind search through scans of collisional ionisation emission models for the archival low-to-intermediate (solid black line), the new low-to-intermediate (dashed green line) and the new intermediate-to-bright spectra (dotted red line); see also Sect. 3.3.3. The X-axis shows the plasma temperature,  $kT$  (slightly shifted for displaying purposes). The top panel shows  $\Delta C$ -stat fit improvement for each  $kT$  and the bottom panel is the corresponding emission measure ( $\text{Log } n_e n_H V [10^{58} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$ ).

2018, A&A, 620, A129

Rodríguez Castillo G. A., Israel G. L., et al., 2019, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:1906.04791

Sathyaprakash R., Roberts T. P., Siwek M. M., 2019, MNRAS, p. 1986

Sathyaprakash R., Roberts T. P., Walton D. J., Fuerst F., et al., 2019, MNRAS, p. L104

Shakura N. I., Sunyaev R. A., 1973, A&A, 24, 337

Soria R., Wu K., Hunstead R. W., 2000, ApJ, 539, 445

Stobbart A.-M., Roberts T. P., Wilms J., 2006, MNRAS, 368, 397

Sutton A. D., Roberts T. P., Middleton M. J., 2013, MNRAS, 435, 1758

Sutton A. D., Roberts T. P., Middleton M. J., 2015, ApJ, 814, 73

Takeuchi S., Ohsuga K., Mineshige S., 2013, PASJ, 65, 88

Tarter C. B., Tucker W. H., Salpeter E. E., 1969, ApJ, 156, 943

Tsygankov S. S., Mushtukov A. A., Suleimanov V. F., Poutanen J., 2016, MNRAS, 457, 1101

Tully R. B., Courtois H. M., Dolphin A. E., Fisher J. R., Héraudeau P., et al., 2013, AJ, 146, 86

Turner M. J. L., Abbey A., Arnaud M., Balasini M., Barbera M., et al., 2001, A&A, 365, L27

Urquhart R., Soria R., 2016, MNRAS, 456, 1859

van Peet J. C. A., Costantini E., Méndez M., Paerels F. B. S., Cottam J., 2009, A&A, 497, 805

Vaughan S., Fabian A. C., 2004, MNRAS, 348, 1415

Walton D. J., Fürst F., Bachetti M., Barret D., Brightman M., et al., 2016b, ApJ, 827, L13

Walton D. J., Fürst F., Heida M., Harrison F. A., Barret

D., et al., 2018, ApJ, 856, 128

Walton D. J., Middleton M. J., Pinto C., Fabian A. C., Bachetti M., et al., 2016a, ApJ, 826, L26

Walton D. J., Tomsick J. A., Madsen K. K., Grinberg V., Barret D., et al., 2016c, ApJ, 826, 87

Walton D. J., Pinto C., Nowak M., Bachetti M., Sathyaprakash R., et al., 2019, submitted to MNRAS, arXiv:1911.09622

Wang C., Soria R., Wang J., 2019, ApJ, 883, 44

Webb N., Cseh D., Lenc E., Godet O., Barret D., et al., 2012, Science, 337, 554

Weng S.-S., Feng H., 2018, ApJ, 853, 115

Winter L. M., Mushotzky R. F., Reynolds C. S., 2007, ApJ, 655, 163