



Publication Year	2016
Acceptance in OA	2020-06-19T12:11:05Z
Title	Multiwavelength observations of blazars
Authors	PIAN, Elena
Publisher's version (DOI)	10.22323/1.285.0040
Handle	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/26148
Journal	POS PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE
Volume	285

Multiwavelength observations of blazars

Elena Pian*

*INAF-IASF Bologna, Via P. Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna, Italy,
and Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy
E-mail: elena.pian@sns.it*

The *INTEGRAL* mission has played a major role in blazar science, thanks to its sensitive coverage of a spectral region (3-100 keV) that is critical for this type of sources, to its flexibility of scheduling and to the large field of view of its cameras. A number of flat-spectrum radio quasars (up to $z \sim 3$) and BL Lac objects were observed by *INTEGRAL* together with facilities at all wavelengths. These results have advanced our knowledge of blazars from a physical and cosmological point of view. This paper reviews some of these outcomes, with particular reference to the *INTEGRAL* program for blazars in outburst as targets of opportunity, with a perspective into a future of multi-messenger astronomy.

*11th INTEGRAL Conference Gamma-Ray Astrophysics in Multi-Wavelength Perspective,
10-14 October 2016
Amsterdam, The Netherlands*

*Speaker.

1. Introduction

Blazars are the most luminous persistent (as opposed to gamma-ray bursts) gamma-ray emitters in the Universe. Among extragalactic sources, they are the brightest in the MeV-GeV range and the only detected ones at TeV energies (with the exception of a few very nearby radiogalaxies and starbursts). They represent more than 50% of the significantly-detected sources in the 3rd Fermi-LAT catalog (Ackermann et al. 2015), and virtually the majority of the unidentified LAT targets.

The typical "camel's back" (Falcke et al. 2004) shape of blazar spectral energy distribution is attributed to synchrotron radiation (responsible for emission at the lower frequencies) in a relativistic jet pointing at a small angle ($\lesssim 5 - 10$ deg) with respect to the observer and inverse Compton scattering (at higher frequencies, up to GeV-TeV) of relativistic particles off synchrotron radiation or photon fields external to the jet (accretion disk, broad emission line region, dusty torus). The mutual relevance of external photon fields and jet photons in the inverse Compton scattering process determines the frequencies of the synchrotron and inverse Compton emission components peaks. In flat-spectrum radio quasars, that have broad emission lines, the particles cool more effectively than in BL Lac objects and the characteristic frequencies are accordingly lower (Ghisellini et al. 1998).

A range of continuous properties are observed between the most efficient coolers, flat-spectrum radio quasars with synchrotron peaks located at far-infrared wavelengths, and "extreme-synchrotron" BL Lac objects, where the synchrotron peak is located at soft X-rays and occasionally moves, during outbursts, to hard X-rays (Pian et al. 1998). These and the sources with intermediate properties represent a "blazar sequence" whereby total luminosities increase with decreasing characteristic frequencies (Fossati et al. 1998). Notable exceptions to this picture are a number of high-redshift blazars with broad luminous emission lines and high-frequency-peaked emission components (Arzioli et al. 2015).

The phenomenology of the "blazar sequence" is naturally explained within a scenario where the relativistic jet has a predominantly leptonic composition, which found confirmation in many studies of spectral energy distributions and multi-wavelength variability of blazars (e.g. Ackermann et al. 2012; Ghisellini et al. 2013; Marscher 2014; D'Ammando et al. 2015; Hayashida et al. 2015; Baring et al. 2017). However, an alternative "hadronic" scenario cannot be discounted, and occasionally reproduces the observed spectral energy distribution in a more consistent way than the leptonic scenario, although it requires large powers in relativistic protons (Böttcher et al. 2013; Diltz et al. 2015; Ackermann et al. 2016; Paliya et al. 2016).

2. *INTEGRAL* highlights in blazar research

INTEGRAL detected a large number of blazars with the IBIS/ISGRI instrument both in surveys (Beckmann et al. 2009; Bassani et al. 2014; Krivonos et al. 2015; Malizia et al. 2016) and in targeted observations during flaring states, when the measurement of the hard X-ray spectrum (15-100 keV) and variability contributes, sometimes in a critical way, to the interpretation of the multi-wavelength observations (Courvoisier et al. 2003; Türler et al. 2006; Foschini et al. 2006; Lichti et al. 2008; Vercellone et al. 2009; Collmar et al. 2010; Bottacini et al. 2010a). Here I will

concentrate on the results obtained with the program on blazars in outburst that I have led since mission launch, and few more specific results led by collaborators.

In flat-spectrum radio quasars and "intermediate BL Lac objects", the hard X-ray emission has a flat spectrum and is generally located below the spectral maximum of the inverse Compton component (see Figure 1 in Falomo et al. 2014 and Fig. A1 in Ghisellini et al. 2011); therefore, it traces the behavior of the relativistic particles that produce the radio and infrared part of the synchrotron spectrum and helps locating the frequency of the inverse Compton maximum. This was clearly measured in one of the first blazar sources observed by *INTEGRAL* IBIS, the high-redshift ($z = 2.172$) flat-spectrum radio quasar S5 0836+71, that was detected in a high state and with a very flat spectrum (photon index $\Gamma = 1.3$) during an *INTEGRAL* pointing of the intermediate BL Lac S5 0716+71 (Pian et al. 2005). This observation revealed one of the best advantages of *INTEGRAL* for investigation of the high energy sky, namely the large field of view of its cameras, that allow serendipitous detections of several interesting targets (in that same pointing also two Seyfert galaxies were observed).

Among the brightest blazars of the 3rd *Fermi*-LAT AGN catalog (Ackermann et al. 2015), the flat-spectrum radio quasars 3C 279, 3C 454.3, PKS 1502+106, and PKS 1510–089 were *INTEGRAL* targets in various occasions. Our collaboration observed 3C 454.3 ($z = 0.859$) in May 2005 at many frequencies other than hard X-rays, and found a rather different multi-wavelength spectral shape with respect to an earlier epoch, although the total energy had not varied significantly (Pian et al. 2006). This made this source (which is also a strong *AGILE* source and TeV candidate, Vercellone et al. 2008) an excellent testbed for the "economic" jet model, whereby variations in individual bands can be conspicuous (larger than a factor of 10), with the total energy injected in the emitting region remaining however approximately constant (Katarzyński & Ghisellini 2007; Ghisellini et al. 2007).

PKS 1502+106 ($z = 1.839$) was observed by *INTEGRAL* in 2008 during a bright flare observed by *Fermi*-LAT. IBIS did not detect it, although it set a significant constraint on the behavior of the inverse Compton spectrum (Pian et al. 2011). Incidentally, one of the best studied Seyfert 1 galaxies, Mkn 841, is ~ 8 arcmin away from PKS 1502+106 and detected by IBIS, so that we could compare its hard X-ray spectrum with prior observations and with a "classical" model including a soft X-ray excess, a Comptonized spectrum of a hot corona with high energy cutoff and a reflected component (Bianchi et al. 2001).

The flat-spectrum radio quasar PKS 1510–089 ($z = 0.36$) is well studied at all frequencies, including TeV (HESS Collaboration 2013), and was repeatedly observed by *INTEGRAL*. We used this circumstance to assemble data for this source over a rather long baseline (20 years) and to reconstruct its multi-wavelength history. The spectral energy distribution was consistently compared with a model to trace the time-dependent behavior of the physical parameters and we searched the long data series for possible (quasi-)periodicities which were found however to be not significant (Castignani et al. 2017).

IBIS/ISGRI observed 3C 279 ($z = 0.539$), the best known blazar, for 50 ks during its June 2015 high state, as part of the *INTEGRAL* survey of the Coma region (Bottacini et al. 2016). The broad-band spectral energy distribution is consistent both with a purely leptonic and a lepto-hadronic model, although the latter requires an extreme total jet power close to the Eddington luminosity of a black hole of mass similar to the one thought to lie at the center of 3C 279 ($\sim 2.5 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$).

This high-state of 3C 279 adds to the rather complex multi-wavelength time behavior known from previous campaigns centered on *INTEGRAL* observations (Collmar et al. 2010).

Examples of the good synergy between *INTEGRAL* and *XMM-Newton* are the high-redshift blazars PKS 0537-286 ($z = 3.1$) and PKS 2149-306 ($z = 2.345$) whose flat hard X-ray spectra made them optimal targets for *INTEGRAL*. PKS 0537-286 was observed twice, in 2006 and 2008, and the IBIS spectrum constrained the modelling of the spectral energy distribution (Bottacini et al. 2010b). PKS 2149-306 was observed by *INTEGRAL* IBIS and *Swift* BAT at hard X-rays. The comparison, together with *XMM-Newton* data, puts in evidence two different states, that can be reproduced solely by a change of the bulk Lorentz factor of the jet (Bianchin et al. 2009).

Particular interest is associated with the BL Lac object Mkn 421 ($z = 0.031$) both for its X-ray brightness and for the similarity of its overall spectral shape with Mkn 501, the prototypical "extreme synchrotron" blazar. Hard X-ray observations of Mkn 421 in flaring state have the potential to detect a shift of the synchrotron peak frequency of about two orders of magnitude, analogous to that detected in Mkn 501 (Pian et al. 1998), and establish this source as an equally powerful accelerator. Our IBIS observation in April 2013, triggered by a high X-ray state seen by *Swift*-XRT and a simultaneous TeV flare seen by VERITAS, showed the source in a somewhat dimmer hard X-ray state than seen in 2006 (Lichti et al. 2008) and with steeper X-ray spectrum (Pian et al. 2014). The synchrotron peak energy is limited to ~ 1 keV, although *Swift* and *NuSTAR* observations in Jan-Jun 2013, bracketing the interval of our IBIS campaign, detected it to vary between 1 and 10 keV (Kapanadze et al. 2016). The simultaneous *INTEGRAL* IBIS and JEM-X light curves in April 2013 indicate complex variability with direct correlation at the various frequencies, and a possible delay that increases monotonically with energy difference and reaches about 1 hour between the fluxes at the lowest (3-5 keV) and the highest (40-100 keV) frequencies (Pian et al. 2014).

3. Conclusion and future prospects

The simultaneous use of *INTEGRAL* and other space- and ground-based multi-wavelength facilities has led to substantial progress in mapping the behavior of jets both for BL Lac objects and for emission line radio quasars, owing to its sensitivity in a critical interval of the blazar spectrum. This is due both to its flexibility of scheduling and repointing at blazar targets of opportunity following alerts from other missions (see Figure 1), and to the large field-of-view of its cameras that allows serendipitous detection of blazars in active state (see Bottacini et al. 2010a, 2016).

INTEGRAL's blazar legacy is bestowed on future space missions and ground-based telescope networks that will refine the level of coordination, coverage, monitoring duration and sampling rate. Optimization of blazar campaigns will lead to understanding the details of blazar jets structure and physics. In particular, it is becoming increasingly clear that the geometry of the emitting region is complex, so that a homogeneous region approximation may not be valid for an accurate description of multi-wavelength variability. Moreover, different jet composition, leptonic vs lepto-hadronic, may play a critical role (Böttcher 2010).

Blazar investigation will benefit from the addition of the important multi-messenger aspect represented by ultra-high energy neutrinos. IceCube has so far detected a few extremely energetic events above 100 TeV, one of which was recently proposed to be associated with a gamma-ray flare detected by *Fermi*-LAT in blazar PKS B1424-418 at $z = 1.522$ (Kadler et al. 2016). While

neutrino detection may favour a hadronic scenario for blazars, a structured jet as envisaged in a spine-and-sheath geometry may also be viable (Tavecchio & Ghisellini 2015).




Figure 1: *Swift*/XRT light curve (from <http://www.swift.psu.edu/monitoring/>) of the BL Lac object Mkn 501 ($z = 0.034$), between 2005 February and 2015 July. In the abscissa, MJD = 0 corresponds to 2005 Feb 25.0 UT. The two flares of July 2013 and May 2014 are indicated: at these epochs, *INTEGRAL* observations were accomplished (E. Pian et al., in preparation).

4. Acknowledgements

I am indebted to all collaborators who have helped with the success of the blazar *INTEGRAL* program with inputs at so many different levels: P. Barr, A. Bazzano, V. Beckmann, T.M. Belloni, S. Bianchi, V. Bianchin, M. Böttcher, R. Boissay, E. Bottacini, G. Castignani, S. Ciprini, W. Collmar, T. Courvoisier, F. D’Ammando, G. Di Cocco, D. Eckert, C. Ferrigno, M.T. Fiocchi, L. Foschini, L. Fuhrmann, N. Gehrels, G. Ghisellini, P. Giommi, R. Hudec, D. Impiombato, E. Lindfors, G. Malaguti, L. Maraschi, A. Marcowith, P. Michelson, K. Nilsson, G. Palumbo, M. Pasanen, M. Persic, T. Pursimo, C.M. Raiteri, P. Romano, T. Savolainen, M. Sikora, A. Sillanpää, S. Soldi, A. Stamerra, G. Tagliaferri, L. Takalo, F. Tavecchio, D. Thompson, M. Tornikoski, G. Tosti, A. Treves, M. Türler, P. Ubertini, E. Valtaoja, S. Vercellone, M. Villata, R. Walter, and A. Wolter. I am grateful also to E. Kuulkers, P. Kretschmar, G. Belanger and the staff at *INTEGRAL* Science

Operations Center and Science Data Center for their support of the program. Finally, I thank the organizers for a memorable conference, nice tour of Amsterdam and excellent Chinese banquet.

References

- [1] M. Ackermann, et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 751, 159
- [2] M. Ackermann, et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 810, 14
- [3] M. Ackermann, et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 824, L20
- [4] B. Arsioli, et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 579, A34
- [5] M. Baring, M. Böttcher, E.J. Summerlin 2017, *MNRAS*, 464, 4875
- [6] L. Bassani, et al. 2014, *A&A*, 561, A108
- [7] V. Beckmann, et al. 2009, *A&A*, 505, 417
- [8] S. Bianchi, et al. 2001, *A&A*, 376, 77
- [9] V. Bianchin, et al. 2009, *A&A*, 496, 423
- [10] M. Böttcher 2010, "Fermi meets Jansky – AGN at Radio and Gamma-Rays" Savolainen, T., Ros, E., Porcas, R.W., & Zensus, J.A. (eds.), June 21–23, 2010, Bonn, Germany (arXiv:1006.5048)
- [11] M. Böttcher, A. Reimer, K. Sweeney, A. Prakash 2013, *ApJ*, 768, 54
- [12] E. Bottacini, et al. 2010a, *ApJ*, 719, L162
- [13] E. Bottacini, et al. 2010b, *A&A*, 509, A69
- [14] E. Bottacini, M. Böttcher, E. Pian, W. Collmar 2016, *ApJ*, 832, 17
- [15] G. Castignani, et al. 2017, *A&A*, 601, A30
- [16] W. Collmar, et al. 2010, *A&A*, 522, A66
- [17] T. J.-L. Courvoisier, et al. 2003, *A&A*, 411, L343
- [18] F. D’Ammando, et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 450, 3975
- [19] C. Diltz, M. Böttcher, G. Fossati 2015, *ApJ*, 802, 133
- [20] H. Falcke, E. Körding, S. Markoff 2004, *MNRAS*, 414, 895
- [21] R. Falomo, E. Pian, A. Treves 2014, *A&ARv*, 22, 73
- [22] L. Foschini, et al. 2006, *A&A*, 450, 77
- [23] G. Fossati, et al. 1998, *MNRAS*, 301, 451
- [24] G. Ghisellini, et al. 1998, *MNRAS*, 299, 433
- [25] G. Ghisellini, L. Foschini, F. Tavecchio, E. Pian 2007, *MNRAS*, 382, L82
- [26] G. Ghisellini, et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, 414, 2674
- [27] G. Ghisellini, et al. 2013, *MNRAS*, 428, 1449
- [28] M. Hayashida, et al. 2015, *ApJ* 807, 79
- [29] HESS Collaboration 2013, *A&A* 554, A107

- [30] M. Kadler, et al. 2016, *Nature Physics*, 12, 807
- [31] B. Kapanadze, et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 831, 102
- [32] K. Katarzyński & G. Ghisellini 2007, *A&A*, 463, 529
- [33] R. Krivonos, et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 448, 3766
- [34] G. G. Lichti, et al. 2008, *A&A*, 486, 721
- [35] A. Malizia, et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 460, 19
- [36] A. P. Marscher 2014, *ApJ*, 780, 87
- [37] V. Paliya, et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 817, 61
- [38] E. Pian, et al. 1998, *ApJ*, 492, L17
- [39] E. Pian, et al. 2005, *A&A*, 429, 427
- [40] E. Pian, et al. 2006, *A&A*, 449, L21
- [41] E. Pian, et al. 2011, *A&A*, 526, A125
- [42] E. Pian, et al. 2014, *A&A*, 570, A77
- [43] F. Tavecchio & G. Ghisellini 2015, *MNRAS*, 451, 1502
- [44] M. Türler, et al. 2006, *A&A*, 451, L1
- [45] S. Vercellone, et al. 2008, *ApJ*, 676, L13
- [46] S. Vercellone, et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 690, 1018